

Research Article

Combining ability and economic heterosis for yield and oil quality traits in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern & Coss)

¹Binod Kumar*, ¹Anil Pandey and ³Sanjay Kr. Singh

¹Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Rajendra Agricultural University, Pusa (Samatipur) Bihar-848125.

³ Department of Soil Science, Rajendra Agricultural University, Pusa (Samatipur), Bihar-848125

*Email: <u>binod_gpb022@rediffmail.com</u>

(Received: 03 Feb 2014; Accepted: 01 Apr 2014)

Abstract

The estimates of *gca* and *sca* variances showed the preponderance of non-additive gene action for all the traits studied except siliqua density and 1000 seed weight. Among the lines and testers, good general combiner RH-30, RAURD 214, EC 401574 and Rajendra Sufalam have exhibited desirable negative and highly significant gca effect for days to 50% flowering and days to maturity and positive and highly significant for number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of siliqua per plant, siliqua length, siliqua density, 1000 seed weight, biological yield per plant, stearic acid, oleic acid, oil content and seed yield per plant indicating the presence of additive gene action or additive x additive interaction effects. Heterotic cross combinations were more frequently observed in crosses involving H x H, L x H and L x L *gca* of the parents.

Key words: Indian mustard, Genetic divergence, combining ability, quantitative traits.

Introduction

Cultivated oilseeds brassica shows considerable diversity, which can be suitability exploited through focused breeding programme. The future thrust areas of research in rapeseed-mustard interalia include exploitation of available heterosis in Indian mustard for yield targeting low and high input situations. Hence, it has become necessary to enhance the present production by developing superior varieties in terms of quality and productivity in crop Brassicas. It is well established that heterosis breeding approach produces desired crop varieties. Comprehensive analysis of the combining ability involved in the inheritance of quantitative characters and in the phenomenon of heterosis is necessary for the evaluation of various possible breeding procedures (Allard, 1960). Improvement of superior varieties could be done by reshuffling the genes through hybridization from proper parents. Moreover, it is also necessary to know about the nature and magnitude of gene action responsible for controlling the inheritance of various yield attributes along with combining ability of the parents and their cross combinations in order to make use of them in further crop improvement program. The value amount of heterosis as well as the GCA and SCA effects is important consideration for hybrid breeding. Knowledge about the type and amount of genetic effects is required for an efficient use of genetic variability of crops. Information and exact study of combining ability can be useful in regard to selection of breeding methods and selection of lines for hybrid combination. Thus, the present investigation on genetic divergence and combining ability in relation to economic heterosis for yield and oil quality traits in Indian mustard was taken up.

Material and Methods

lines viz., RAURD-172, RAURD-32, Ten RAURD-78, RAURD-34, RAURD-214, RAURD-153, RAURD-170, RH -30, EC 399788, EC 401574 and 4 testers namely, JD-6, Pusa Bold, Vardan and Rajendra Suflam were crossed in line x tester (Kempthorne, 1957) design during 2011-12 and to obtain 40 F1 crosses, evaluating these 40 F₁s and 14 parents along with check (Varuna) in Randomized Block Design with three replications during the crop season 2012-13 at the research farm of Tirhut College of Agriculture, Dholi, Muzaffarpur (Rajendra Agricultural University-Pusa), Bihar. This site is located at to 25.5° N latitude, 35.4°E longitude and an altitude 52.2 m average sea level. All the entries were grown in Randomized Block Design with three replications. Each entries was sown in a plot consisting of three rows of 5m length in three replications with inter and intra row spacing of 30cm x 10cm. Recommended package of practices for Indian mustard were followed to raise a healthy crop. Data was recorded on five randomly selected competitive plants of each genotype in all the replications for twenty one characters viz., days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height



(cm), primary branches per plant, secondary branches per plant, number of siliqua per plant, siliqua length (cm), number of seeds per siliqua, length of main raceme (cm), number of siliqua on main raceme, siliqua density, 1000 seed weight (g), biological yield per plant (g), harvest index (%), palmitic acid, stearic acid, oleic acid, linoleic acid, linolenic acid, erucic acid, oil content (%) and seed yield per plant (g) and their mean values were subjected to various statistical and biometrical analyses. Heterosis expressed as percent increase or decrease of hybrids (F₁) over standard heterosis (Hc) was determined as outlined by (Meredith and Bridges, 1972). The degree of dominance was also calculated as ((σ^2D/σ^2A)0.5.

Results and Discussion

The analysis of variance (Table 1) showed highly significant differences among the crosses for all the yield and oil quality traits except days to maturity. The mean sum of squares due to lines were observed highly significant for days to 50% flowering, main shoot length, siliqua length, siliqua density, 1000 seed weight, biological yield per plant and linolenic acid. Variances due to testers found highly significant for main shoot length, siliqua density, 1000 seed weight, linoleic acid and linolenic acid; for all the quantitative and quality traits. The mean sum of squares due to line/tester were highly significant, proving that the parental lines used in present investigation are comprising the diverse genetic background. The magnitude of additive variance (gca) was highly significant for days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, main shoot length, primary branches per plant, number of siliqua per plant, number of siliqua on main raceme, siliqua length, siliqua density, 1000 seed weight, biological yield per plant, oleic acid, linoleic acid, linolenic acid and erucic acid. Non-additive variance (sca) observed highly significant for all the characters except seed yield per plant. Preponderance of additive as well as non-additive gene effects as reflected in the present investigation for the expression of characters under study was similar to the findings by Gupta et al. (2010), Vaghela et al. (2011) and Azzinia (2012). The ratio of gca and sca variance as less than one and degree of dominance more than one for days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, main shoot length, primary branches per plant, secondary branches per plant, number of siliqua per plant, number of siliqua on main raceme, siliqua length, number of seeds per siliqua, biological yield per plant, harvest index, palmitic acid, stearic acid, oleic acid, linoleic acid, linolenic acid, erucic acid, oil content and seed yield per It indicated greater role of non-additive plant. component in the inheritance of these characters. The presence of predominantly large amount of non-additive gene action would be necessitating the maintenance of heterozygosity in the population. Breeding methods i.e. Biparental

mating followed by one/two cycle of reciprocal recurrent selection may increase frequency of genetic recombination and hasten the rate of genetic improvement as reported by Singh et al. (2007), Akbar et al. (2008) and Vaghela et al. (2011). EC401574 was identified as best general combiner for yield and oil content; whereas RH-30 and RAURD 214 for number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, biological yield per plant, stearic acid; RAURD 34 for early flowering, early maturity, short stature plant, siliqua on main raceme, 1000 seed weight, harvest index, linoleic acid and highest negative significant gca effect for erucic acid can be included in the breeding programme for accumulation of favorable alleles in a single genetic background. The good general combiners generally possess high additive effects. Thus, it can be inferred that high sca effects of crosses involving H x H and H x L combiner might have resulted due to the interaction of additive type of epistasis and that of L x L combiners might be due to non-additive type of gene action. In case of H x H crosses there was possibility of complementary epistatic effects acting in the direction of additive effects of good combiners and L x L combiners might be due to dominance x recessive interaction expected to produce desirable segregates (Gupta et al., 1991). It may not always be necessary to attempt crosses between H x H gca parents. Crosses with low or average gca parents can also manifest high sca in suitable cross combinations and attributable to interaction effects (Singh and Sachan, 2003). Hybrids between lines of diverse origin generally display a greater heterosis than those between closely related parents, but maximum heterosis generally occurs at an optimal or intermediate level of diversity. Further crosses involving one high/ average and another low general combiner displayed high sca effects there by producing more heterotic effects in Indian mustard (Sutariya et al., 2011).

References

- Akbar, M., Tahira, Babar, M. A. and Hussain, M. 2008. Combining Ability Studies in Rapeseed (*Brassica napus*). Int. J. Agric. and Biol., 10 (2): 205–208.
- Allard, R.W. 1960. Principles of plant breeding. John Wiley and Sons. New York.
- Azizinia, S. 2012. Combining Ability Analysis of Yield Component Parameters in Winter Rapeseed Genotypes (*Brassica napus* L.) J. Agric. Sci. 4(4): 87-94.
- Gupta, P., Chaudhary, H. B. and Lal, S. K. 2010. Heterosis and combining ability analysis for yield and its components in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern & Coss) Front. Agric. China, 4(3): 299–307.
- Gupta, V. P., Sekhon, M. S. and Satija, D. R. 1991. Studies on genetic diversity, heterosis and combining ability in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss.]. *Indian J. Genet.*, 51: 448-453.



Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 5(2): 203-207 (June 2014) ISSN 0975-928X

Meredith, W.R. and Bridge, R. R.1972. Heterosis and gene action in cotton. *Crop Sci.*, **12**: 304-310.

- Singh, R.A., Yadav, R. and Pahuja, S.K. 2007. Evaluation of Egyptian clover germplasm using multivariate analysis. *Indian J. Genet.*, 67 (2):135-139.
- Singh, Y. and Sachan, J. N. 2003. Combining ability analysis for seed yield and its components in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern & Coss.). *Indian J. Genet.*, **63**:83-84.
- Sutariya, D. A., Patel, K. M., Bhadauria, H. S., Vaghela, P. O., Prajapati, D. V. and Parmar, S. K. 2011. Genetic diversity for quality traits in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) J. Oilseed Brassica. 2(1): 44-47.
- Vaghela, P. O., Thakkar, D. A., Bhadauria, H. S., Sutariya, D. A., Parmar, S. K. and Prajapati, D. V. 2011. Heterosis and combining ability for yield and its component traits in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) J. Oilseed Brassica, 2(1): 39-43.



Sources	df	DFF	DM	MSL	PBP	SBP	S	PP	SMR	SL	SS	SD
Replicates	2	1.23	5.63	4.32	1.42	4.57	1.	.63	3.19	0.02	7.08	0.00
Crosses	39	99.68**	28.17	675.94**	5.62**	112.94**	22124	5.28**	133.76**	0.51**	4.34**	0.07**
Line Effect	9	321.45**	76.56	1191.38**	6.38	144.19	2081	97.75	177.53	1.09*	5.17	0.14**
Tester Effect	3	56.39	23.34	1891.23**	8.13	79.89	2163	35.41	156.57	0.25	2.15	0.24**
Line * Tester Eff.	27	30.57**	12.57**	369.10**	5.09**	106.20**	22614	0.00**	116.64**	0.35**	4.31**	0.03**
Error	78	2.40	3.39	11.91	1.24	3.93	11	.75	5.11	0.06	0.89	0.01
Total	119	34.26	11.55	229.41	2.68	39.67	725	16.69	47.24	0.20	2.13	0.03
Var. gca		8.89**	2.21**	72.87**	0.28**	5.14	1010	7.39**	7.70*	0.03**	0.13	0.008**
Var. sca		9.48**	3.03**	119.39**	1.26**	34.07**	75370	5.21**	37.11**	0.09**	1.15**	0.008**
gca/sca		0.94	0.73	0.61	0.22	0.15	0.	14	0.21	0.30	0.12	1.01
Degree of dominance		1.03	1.17	1.28	2.11	2.57	2.	.73	2.19	1.82	2.94	0.99
Sources	df	TSW	BYP	HI	OC	PA	SA	OA	LA	LNA	EA	SYP
Replicates	2	0.11	13.44	0.36	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.06	2.05
Crosses	39	1.56**	1171.30**	38.91**	1.37**	0.55**	0.21**	4.49**	8.80**	10.91**	50.53**	112.48**
Line Effect	9	4.28**	2084.64*	28.90	1.59	0.41	0.15	4.39	8.57	17.26**	72.82	94.28
Tester Effect	3	2.64**	985.84	0.44	0.43	0.24	0.13	9.32	29.44*	44.48**	104.57	65.65
Line * Tester Eff.	27	0.53**	887.45**	46.52**	1.40**	0.63**	0.24**	3.99**	6.58**	5.06**	37.10**	123.74**
Error	78	0.06	16.69	1.60	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	3.04
Total	119	0.55	395.04	13.81	0.46	0.18	0.07	1.47	2.88	3.57	16.59	38.89
Var. gca		0.16**	72.34**	0.61	0.05	0.02	0.007	0.33*	0.90*	1.47**	4.22**	3.68
Var. sca		0.15**	29.05**	14.90**	0.46**	0.21**	0.801**	1.33**	2.19**	1.68**	12.36**	40.35
gca/sca		1.05	0.25	0.04	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.25	0.41	0.87	0.34	0.09
Degree of dominance		0.98	2.00	4.93	3.12	3.70	3.44	2.02	1.56	1.07	1.71	3.31

Table 1. Analysis of variance for combining ability of twenty one quantitative and quality traits in Indian mustard.

DFF= Days to 50% flowering, DM= Days to maturity, MSL= Main shoot length, PBP= Primary branches per plant, SBP= Secondary branches per plant, SPP= Siliqua per plant, SMR= Siliqua on main raceme, SL= Siliqua length, SS= Seeds per siliqua, SD= Siliqua density, TSW=1000 seed weight, BYP= Biological yield per plant, HI= Harvest index, OC= Oil content, PA= Palmitic acid, SA= Stearic acid, OA= Oleic acid, LA= Linoleic acid, LNA= Linolenic acid, EA= Erucic acid, SYP= Seed yield per plant



CharactersBest heterotic crossesEconomic heterosis (%)gcascaDays to 50%RAURD 153/JD-6-25.44H x H-6.64Days to 50%RAURD 153/JD-6-25.44H x H-6.64	Per se performance 42.00
	42.00
	12:00
flowering RAURD 34/Vardan -12.43 H x L -2.61	49.33
RAURD 214/Rajendra Sufalam -10.65 H x H 0.06	50.33
Days to maturity	-
Main shoot lengthRAURD 172/JD-6-19.89H x H-12.51	183.11
RAURD 153/JD-6 -19.69 H x H -17.16	183.56
RAURD 32/JD-6 -14.51 H x H -6.43	195.39
Primary branches RAURD 153/Pusa Bold 45.16 L x H 1.78	12.00
per plant RAURD 78/Pusa Bold 41.13 L x H 1.67	11.67
RH-30/Vardan 39.52 H x L 1.49 Secondary EC399788/Pusa Bold 72.24 H x H 9.95	11.53 38.47
branches per plant RAURD 170/JD-6 57.01 H x H 8.59	35.07
RH-30/Pusa Bold 51.34 H x H 4.66	33.80
Siliqua per plant RAURD 32/JD-6 148.82 H x H 642.66	1724.33
RH-30/Vardan 110.12 H x L 481.92	1456.13
EC401574/Rajendra Sufalam 76.57 H x L 326.58	1223.60
Siliqua on main EC401574/Rajendra Sufalam 46.62 L x H 12.03	66.47
raceme RAURD 78/Vardan 35.29 H x L 10.32	61.33
RAURD 214/Pusa Bold 21.91 L x H 8.65	55.27
Siliqua length RAURD 153/Vardan 36.01 H x H 0.59	4.63
RAURD 214/RAjendra Sufalam 32.29 H x L 0.61	4.51
RAURD 78/JD-6 22.90 H x L 0.47	4.19
Seeds per siliqua RAURD 172/JD-6 33.13 H x H 1.35	14.20
RAURD 32/Vardan 25.63 H x L 1.40	13.40
RAURD 34/Vardan 23.75 L x L 2.05	13.20
Siliqua density RH-30/Rajendra Sufalam 36.17 H x L 0.12	1.49
RH-30/Vardan 31.61 H x L 0.07	1.44
RAURD 32/JD-6 31.61 H x H 0.08	1.44
1000 seed weight RAURD 78/Rajendra Sufalam 30.35 H x H 0.89	6.03
RAURD 34/Rajendra Sufalam 16.08 H x H 0.24	5.37
RAURD 153/Vardan 18.67 H x H 0.34	5.49
Biological yieldEC401574/Rajendra Sufalam30.18H x H26.60	167.07
per plant RAURD 214/Rajendra Sufalam 20.78 H x H 11.87	155.00
RH-30/Vardan 19.06 H x H 38.90	152.80
Harvest indexRH-30/Rajendra Sufalam61.97H x L5.18DAUDD 78 (D x)Daula59.10L5.45	28.71
RAURD 78/Pusa Bold 58.19 L x L 5.45 EC401574/Design dag Surfalari 56.21 L x L 5.45	28.04
EC401574/Rajendra Sufalam 56.31 L x L 1.59 Oil content	27.70
	- 3.34
Palmitic acidRAURD 153/Rajendra Sufalam82.96H x L1.00RAURD 34/JD-666.27H x H0.70	3.04
EC 399788/Rajendra Sufalam 55.45 H x L 0.47	2.84
Stearic acid RAURD 170/JD-6 117.06 L x L 0.45	1.08
EC 399788/Pusa Bold 116.39 L x H 0.45	1.00
RAURD 170/Pusa Bold 116.19 L x H 0.36	1.07
Oleic acidRAURD 153/Rajendra Sufalam74.18H x H1.67	12.39
RH-30/ Rajendra Sufalam 74.07 H x H 1.84	12.38
RAURD 32/ Rajendra Sufalam 70.43 H x H 0.67	12.13
Linoleic acid RAURD 32/ Rajendra Sufalam 50.94 H x H 2.19	20.25
RAURD 34/Vardan 45.19 H x H 1.69	19.48
RAURD 172/Vardan 41.95 H x H -0.91	19.05
Linolenic acid EC 399788/Pusa Bold -13.19 L x L 0.36	9.92
RAURD 170/Pusa Bold -12.75 L x L -1.24	9.97
RAURD 172/Pusa Bold -4.37 L x L 0.29	10.93
Erucic acid RAURD 34/Pusa Bold -6.59 L x H -8.62	39.17
RAURD 34/JD-6 -1.70 L x L -2.01	41.22
Seed yield per EC 401574/Rajendra Sufalam 103.76 L x H 13.55	46.27
plant RAURD 214/Pusa Bold 82.22 H x H 10.35	41.38
RAURD 78/Pusa Bold 66.71 L x H 9.31	37.85

Table 2. Combining ability effects, heterosis, clusters and per se performance over standard variety in Indian mustard