Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding





Evaluation of altered biomass mutant contributing increased grain yield in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

S. Vinothini Bakya^{1*}, S. Geetha¹, P. Jeyaprakash², S. Rajeswari¹, M. Raveendran³, P.Jeyakumar⁴, S. Athira¹ and S. Robin¹

¹Centre for Plant Breeding and Genetics, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Pin code - 641 003, Tamil Nadu, India.

²Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Anbil Dharmalingam Agricultural College and Research Institute, Trichy, Pin code- 620 009, Tamil Nadu, India.

³Dept. of Plant Biotechnology, CPMB&B, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Pin code - 641 003, Tamil Nadu, India.

⁴Dept. of Plant Physiology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Pin code - 641 003, Tamil Nadu, India. **E-Mail:**vinothinisankaran@gmail.com

Abstract

Yield improvement through crop breeding is a constant process to meet increasing yield demand in context of decreasing cultivable land and erratic climatic conditions. Breeding for yield improvement can be achieved through altering plant type. Improving plant biomass can pave way for improving grain yield as grain yield is product of biomass and harvest index. EMS induced mutants serve as a source for creating novel variations for altering plant type. In this view, EMS mutant of Nagina 22 was identified with altered biomass and grain yield. A mapping population of N22 x N22-CC-M4-HT-1333 was studied in F_2 and F_3 for traits contributing for altered biomass and grain yield. The correlation analysis in F_2 and F_3 showed that dry matter produced was significantly correlated with grain yield showing the increase in grain yield of the mutant was contributed by dry biomass. This mutant is potential for unraveling the novel ways to improve grain yield and further functional genomics studies.

Keywords

mutant, altered biomass, grain yield, variability, correlation, rice

INTRODUCTION

Rice is staple food crop for 3.5 billion people across the globe covering major countries of Asia, Africa (GRiSP, 2013). By 2050, the estimated increase of total global population will be 9.7 billion from 7.6 billion populations. This demands an increase of 100 % crop yield increase in major food crops (FAO, 2015). This necessitates an increase in rice production - through breeding of high yielding varieties, improved agronomic practices in spite of erratic climate and shrinking cultivable land. First phase leap of yield increase was through green revolution by introducing semi dwarf rice varieties and improved fertilizer usage. This gave yield increase from 6 to 10 t/ha. Later, the second leap in yield was due to hybrids with increased grain yield and heterosis. Again the yield increase has attained plateau and further developments should have novel breeding methods. Grain yield is process of dry matter accumulation and distribution. Grain yield is product of biomass and harvest index (Wu *et al.*, 2008). Hence, future thrust of breeding for yield improvement can be planned based on increasing biomass or harvest index.

The varieties released from IRRI prior to 1980 were reported to have high harvest index leading to yield increase. The yield increase in varieties released after 1980 were due to increased aboveground biomass and concluded that future breeding to increase yield can be fruitful by improving biomass (Peng *et al.*, 2000). New plant type concept introduced in IRRI for improving the yield through modifying the plant architecture (Khush, 1995). This can be used to improve lodging resistance, improving sink capacity and less unproductive tillers. This

led to breeding of cultivars having large panicles with few unproductive tillers but the grain yield was poor. This was reported due to poor aboveground biomass and poor grain filling. In breeding for second generation NPT, increased tillering capacity, pest and disease resistance were identified to improve grain yield. This resulted in high yield than Indica check and increased yield was associated with increased aboveground biomass and grain weight (Peng et al., 2008). Super hybrid rice Liangyoupeijiu reported to have 12% hike in above ground total biomass than Shanyou63. The high biomass was associated with high leaf area index, leaf area duration, thick leaf, high chlorophyll content and photosynthetic rate. The increased vield of Liangvoupeiiiu was due to high leaf area duration before heading, biomass accumulation before heading, number of grains and more translocation of carbohydrates from source to sink during grain filling period (Katsura et al., 2007). In this view, an attempt was made to characterize the altered biomass mutant of Nagina 22. EMS treated N22 mutant screened for altered plant architecture resulted in identifying a mutant and named as N22-CC-M4-HT-1333. This was characterized for seedling vigour, crop growth rate to identify the biomass of N22-CC-M4-HT-1333in comparison with N22. It was also studied in F₂ and F₃ generation of mapping population of N22 x N22-HT-1333.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The research was focused to exploit the altered biomass mutant N22-CC-M4-HT-1333 in terms of biomass accumulation. The traits contributing for increased biomass accumulation and grain yield pertinent to mutant was studied using F_2 and F_3 of N22 x N22-CC-M4-HT-1333 mapping population. The study was carried at Department of Rice, Centre for plant breeding and Genetics, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during 2018-2019. One hundred and forty plants were raised in F_2 in 20 cm x 20 cm spacing and evaluated for biometrical traits. The 140 F_3 families were raised in two rows in 2.4 m row with a spacing of 20 cm x 20 cm. Three

Table 1 Damus mean DOV COV besitebility/UV

plants per family were tagged and a total of 420 plants in F_o were evaluated for biometrical traits. The plants were observed for plant height, number of tillers and productive tillers, days to fifty per cent flowering, flag leaf length, flag leaf width, panicle length, third leaf length, third leaf breadth, third leaf weight, leaf area, specific leaf weight, wet biomass, dry matter produced, harvest index and single plant yield. The data were collected and analyzed for the estimation of phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation as suggested by Burton (1952). Heritability was estimated according to Lush (1940) and genetic advance as percentage of mean was calculated according to Johnson et al. (1955). The correlation was performed using R package (Pearson's coefficient using Corrplot, v.0.84) and significant correlation coefficient was observed at 5 and 1 % level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The phenotypic characterization for plant height, number of tillers, number of productive tillers, days to fifty per cent flowering, flag leaf length, flag leaf width, panicle length, third leaf length, third leaf breadth, third leaf weight, leaf area, specific leaf weight, wet biomass, dry matter produced, harvest index and single plant yield (Table 1). The dry matter production and grain yield has increased in N22-CC-M4-HT-1333 than N22 in both the seasons. This showed that the parent selected was efficient. This mutant parent needs to be evaluated more for the identification of dry mater produced. The dry matter accumulation increase may be attributed by crop growth rate and increased duration of crop growth (Yoshida, 1983). The biomass production during vegetative and grain filling stages contributed significantly for biomass (Ying, 1998). The higher biomass production may be due to prolonged growth duration in super hybrid rice (Zhang, 2009).

The phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation, heritability, genetic advance as percentage of mean are given in **Table 1**. In F_2 , the variability analysis for the characters like number of tillers, productive tillers per plant,

fmaan (CAN) in E and E

Table 1. Range, mean, PCV, GCV, neritability(Π), genetic advance as percentage of mean (GAM) in F_2 and F_3
population of N22 x N22-CC-M4-HT-1333

Traits	N22	N22-CC- M4-HT- 1333	F ₂ F ₃													
			Min	Мах	Mean	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	H (%)	GAM (%)	Min	Max	Mean	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	H (%)	GAM (%)
PH	95.05	105.4	76	120.5	100.5	7.41	6.29	72.11	11	61.4	129.4	96.24	11.5	11.2	95.14	22.57
NT	20	28	5	30	13	40.8	21.2	26.92	22.61	4	34	14	37.2	32	74.28	56.84
NPT	14	17	2	27	10	49.7	33.5	45.35	46.42	1	23	9	35.3	25.3	51.41	37.35
FLL	27.6	31.4	23.5	46	33.1	12.3	11.7	91.33	23.1	15.4	48.5	26.6	31.3	29.8	90.8	58.45
FLW	1.1	1.4	1.1	2	1.5	13.1	11.8	80.69	21.82	0.6	1.8	1.2	22.7	21.2	87.32	40.81
PL	16.4	20.3	17.5	30.5	22	11.5	7.34	40.91	9.67	1.6	30.5	19.7	19	17.3	83.03	32.41
TLL	27	34.4	21	62.5	39.3	15.4	10.6	47.57	15.1	9	45.1	23.3	21.8	21	92.81	41.76
TLB	1	1	0.8	2.1	1.4	20.6	20.1	95.02	40.28	0.4	1.2	0.8	20	16.1	64.8	26.69
LA	21.34	24.77	16.63	76.5	39.53	22.4	16.5	54.53	25.12	3.86	28.86	12.82	15.1	11	53.32	16.53
TLW	24.1	75.62	0.08	0.52	0.21	33.7	30.8	83.11	57.75	20.72	231.62	89.03	31	24.4	61.71	39.46
SLA	195.61	120.77	102.37	449.2	193.6	34.2	31.6	85.52	60.17	88.25	249.22	150.85	40.5	40.4	99.5	83.04
SLW	5.11	8.72	2.23	9.77	5.52	27.9	26.9	92.76	53.3	4.01	11.33	6.81	16.4	13.2	64.62	21.83
WB	49.9	75.44	18	147	66.72	25.3	22.8	81.37	42.36	5.5	53.91	17.56	16.2	10.7	43.63	14.57
DMP	31.56	69.8	12	125	54.54	41.6	40.4	94.16	80.68	5.09	49.62	15.94	34	32.5	91.49	64.11
HI	0.44	0.49	0.26	0.51	0.43	45.3	42.8	89.32	83.28	0.12	0.83	0.47	34.4	33.4	94.06	66.66
GY	16.5	43.64	5	56.2	23.6	11.5	9.6	69.94	16.54	1.79	67.47	14.84	17	16.9	98.8	34.53





Fig. 1. PCV, GCV of seventeen characters in F₂ and F₃ population of N22 x N22-CC-M4-HT-1333

third leaf length, leaf area, leaf weight, specific leaf area, specific leaf weight, wet biomass, dry matter produced and grain yield showed high PCV and GCV. The narrow variation of PCV and GCV in traits like leaf area, leaf weight, specific leaf area, specific leaf weight, wet biomass, dry matter produced and grain yield (Fig. 1). In F₃, number of tillers, productive tillers per plant, efficient tillering per cent, flag leaf length, panicle length, leaf area, leaf weight, wet biomass, dry matter produced and grain yield showed high PCV and GCV. Similar reports of high PCV and GCV were given by Tiwari (2015) and Singh et al. (2016) for productive tillers per plant, Priyanka et al. (2019) and Mamtha et al. (2018) for single plant yield in F₂ population, Khatun *et al.* (2015) for dry matter produced. These results make us to understand that these traits having variability contributed by genetic factors and less influenced by environment, hence selection for these traits

may be fruitful. In F₂, the traits like efficient tillering percentage, flag leaf length and panicle length registered moderate PCV and GCV, whereas flag leaf width and harvest index showed moderate PCV and low GCV. This revealed that the former traits had comparatively less environmental influence than the later. In F₃, plant height, flag leaf width, third leaf length, third leaf breadth, specific leaf area, specific leaf weight, harvest index showed moderate PCV and GCV. Selection of these traits can be done based on heritability. The plant height in F₂ has low PCV and GCV showing that the variation for plant height is less and selection may not be rewarding. The traits viz., number of tillers, productive tillers, third leaf length leaf breadth, leaf area, specific leaf area and weight, wet biomass and dry matter produced showed higher variability in F₂ compared to F₃ generation.

	PH	NT	NPT	FLL	FLW	PL	TLL	TLB	LW	WB	DMP	HI	GY
PH	1.000												
NT	0.827**	1.000											
NPT	0.855**	0.961**	1.000										
ETP	0.677*	0.418	0.600*										
FLL	0.636*	0.696**	0.728**	1.000									
FLW	0.532	0.507	0.574*	0.503	1.000								
PL	0.758**	0.770**	0.829**	0.685**	0.627	1.000							
TLL	-0.239	-0.306	-0.311	-0.219	-0.121	-0.283	1.000						
TLB	-0.481	-0.515	-0.500	-0.309	-0.260	-0.596	0.239	1.000					
LW	-0.058	-0.064	-0.040	-0.009	0.039	-0.089	0.722**	0.453	1.000				
WB	0.866**	0.917**	0.916**	0.718**	0.588*	0.864**	-0.329	-0.560	-0.104	1.000			
DMP	0.881**	0.926**	0.929**	0.742**	0.610	0.897**	-0.299	-0.578	-0.089	0.966**	1.000		
HI	0.014	-0.085	-0.061	-0.091	0.049	-0.020	0.081	-0.031	0.004	-0.078	-0.066	1.000	
GY	0.852**	0.860**	0.877**	0.691**	0.620*	0.869**	-0.235	-0.574	-0.067	0.909**	0.947**	0.231	1.00

 $r_{0.05} = 0.552, \ r_{0.01} = 0.683$

https://doi.org/10.37992/2020.1101.030

Heritability and genetic advance as percentage of mean gives a picture of additive or non- additive gene action, thus it may be helpful in selection procedures. In this study, many traits showed high heritability and genetic advance. The traits like plant height, efficient tillering percent, flag leaf length, third leaf length, leaf area, specific leaf area, specific leaf weight, wet biomass, dry matter produced and grain yield showed high heritability and genetic advance in F_2 generation (**Table. 1, Fig. 2**). In F_3 , plant height, number of tillers, efficient tillering per cent, flag

leaf length, flag leaf width, third leaf length, leaf weight, specific leaf area, wet biomass, dry matter produced, harvest index and grain yield expressed high heritability and genetic advance. This shows that these traits controlled by additive gene action and selection may be effective. Priyanka *et al.* (2019) and Mamtha *et al.* (2018) for single plant yield and Khatun *et al.* (2015) for dry matter produced had reported high heritability and genetic advance.



Fig. 2. Heritability and genetic advance of seventeen characters in F_2 and F_3 population of N22 x N22-CC-M4-HT-1333

The simple linear correlation was performed in F₂ and F₃ generations for single plant yield with twelve traits (Table. 2 ; Fig. 3). The results of F_2 correlation showed that the single plant yield was significantly positively correlated with plant height (r = 0.852, $r_{0.01}$ = 0.683), number of tiller (r = 0.860, $r_{0.01}$ = 0.683), number of productive tillers $(r = 0.877, r_{0.01} = 0.683), flag leaf length (r = 0.691, r_{0.01} =$ 0.683), flag leaf width (r = 0.620, $r_{0.05}$ = 0.552), panicle length (r = 0.869, $r_{0.01}$ = 0.683), wet biomass (r = 0.909, $r_{0.01}$ = 0.683) and dry matter produced (r = 0.947, $r_{0.01}$ = 0.641). Third leaf breadth showed significant negative correlation with grain yield. In F₃ the tiller per plant had significant positive correlation with grain yield wet biomass (r = 0.567, r0.05=0.552), dry matter produced (r = 0.605, r0.05=0.552)r0.05=0.552) and harvest index (r = 0.644, r0.05=0.552) (Table. 3; Fig. 3). Based on correlation analysis in F₂ and F₃, it was observed that the key contributor for grain yield was dry matter produced and wet biomass. This showed that the mutant N22-CC-M4-HT-1333 selected for altered biomass contributing grain yield was efficient. Among all the traits, wet biomass and dry matter produced showed highest correlation coefficient showing strong correlation

of trait with dry matter produced with grain yield. This was supported by Wu et al. (2008) where they studied the correlation of grain yield with dry matter accumulation at different growth stages of super hybrid rice. They found that the biomass yield was key in improvement of super hybrid rice rather than harvest index. Bueno and Lafarge (2009) reported that the biomass accumulation and harvest index were significantly correlated to grain yield. Chen et al, (2012) found that grain per m²guadratically correlated with stem dry matter at heading stage and suggested that the dry matter accumulation during heading was more contributing for grain yield and dry matter produced prior to heading may have negative effect. Plant height was reported to have positive correlation with biomass in Indica hybrids by Li et al. (2019). Yield improvement can be done by increasing harvest index by reducing plant height especially in semi dwarf rice varieties (Khush, 1999). In this study, even though plant height showed significant correlation with grain yield, dry matter produced, number of tillers and productive tillers in F₂ generation, it showed no significance correlation with grain yield, dry matter

produced, number of tillers and productive tillers in $\rm F_{_3}$ generation. Lakshmi *et al.* (2014), Kishore *at al.* (2015) and Kahani and Hittalmani (2016) have reported

significant positive correlation of number of productive tillers, panicle length, and plant height.



Fig. 3. Heatmap of correlation coefficient in N22 x N22-CC-M4-HT-1333 of a. F_2 generation; b. F_3 generation

b.

	PH	NT	NPT	FLL	FLW	PnL	TLL	TLB	TLW	WB	DMP	HI	GY
PH	1.000												
NT	-0.151	1.000											
NPT	0.061	0.506	1.000										
FLL	0.467	-0.243	-0.136	1.000									
FLW	0.213	-0.053	-0.008	0.436	1.000								
PnL	0.384	-0.254	-0.150	0.539	0.290	1.000							
TLL	0.071	-0.044	0.085	0.038	0.039	0.058	1.000						
TLB	0.029	-0.095	-0.013	0.062	0.170	0.117	0.557*	1.000					
TLW	-0.015	-0.063	0.040	0.007	0.040	0.058	0.884**	0.709**	1.000				
WB	0.280	0.426	0.453	0.063	0.087	0.028	-0.028	-0.106	-0.063	1.000			
DMP	0.314	0.405	0.453	0.100	0.093	0.063	-0.001	-0.093	-0.045	0.976**	1.000		
HI	0.168	-0.187	-0.127	0.224	0.096	0.235	-0.016	0.018	-0.005	-0.109	-0.087	1.000	
GY	0.390	0.096	0.183	0.245	0.130	0.244	0.015	-0.038	-0.018	0.567*	0.605*	0.644*	1.000

 $r_{0.05} = 0.552, r_{0.01} = 0.683$

Grain yield is a complex trait governed by quantitative trait loci and environmentally influenced (Wang *et al.*, 2012, Zeng *et al.*, 2017 and Li *et al.*, 2019). In rice, yield increase is explored in many ways for crop improvement. Ideotype breeding by altering plant architecture is an established strategy for yield improvement (Jeon *et al.*, 2011). Based on this study, it was found that the mutant parent N22-CC-M4-HT-1333 was efficient parent in increasing grain yield through altered biomass and dry matter production. Upon exploring the genomic regions contributing for altered plant type, it can be concluded that the key factor for increasing grain yield is increase in

REFERENCES

- Bueno, C. S., and Lafarge, T. (2009). Higher crop performance of rice hybrids than of elite inbreds in the tropics: 1.
 Hybrids accumulate more biomass during each phenological phase. *Field Crops Research*, *112*(2– 3), 229–237. [Cross Ref]
- Burton, G.W. (1952) Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proceedings of 6th International Grassland Congress, 1, 277-283
- Chen, S., Zhang, X., Zhang, G., Wang, D., and Xu, C. (2012). Grain yield and dry matter accumulation response to enhanced panicle nitrogen application under different planting methods (Oryza sativa L.). *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 6(12), 1630– 1636.
- FAO. (2015). The future of food and agriculture: Trends and challenges. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Fischer, R. (1983). Growth and Yield of Field Crops (Wheat). Symposium on Potential Productivity of Field Crops Under Different Environments.
- GRiSP. (2013). Rice Almanac. IRRI, Los Baños, Philippines.

biomass production. This mutant parent is good source for studying functional genomics of altered plant architecture, biomass production and grain yield to serve in future breeding programs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to acknowledge DBT for providing fund and material under the scheme "Maintenance, characterization and use of EMS mutants of upland variety Nagina 22 for functional genomics in Rice – Phase II" for conducting research.

- Jeon, J.S., Jung, K.-H., Kim, H.-B., Suh, J.P., and Khush, G. S. (2011). Genetic and Molecular Insights into the Enhancement of Rice Yield Potential. *Journal of Plant Biology*, **54**(1), 1–9. [Cross Ref]
- Kahani, F., and Hittalmani, S. (2016). Identification of F_2 and F_3 segregants of fifteen rice crosses suitable for cultivation under aerobic situation. Sabrao Journal of Breeding and Genetics, **48**(2), 219–229.
- Katsura, K., Maeda, S., Horie, T., and Shiraiwa, T. (2007). Analysis of yield attributes and crop physiological traits of Liangyoupeijiu, a hybrid rice recently bred in China. *Field Crops Research*, **103**(3), 170–177. [Cross Ref]
- Khush, G. S. (1995). Breaking the yield frontier of rice. *GeoJournal*, **35**(3), 329–332. [Cross Ref]
- Kishore, N., Srinivas, T., Nagabhushanam, U., Pallavi, M., and Sameera, S. (2015). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and yield components in promising rice (Oryza sativa L.) genotypes. SAARC Journal of Agriculture, **13**(1), 99–108. [Cross Ref]

- Lakshmi, L., Rao, M.V.B, Raju, Ch.S. and Reddy, S.N. (2017). Variability, Correlation and Path Analysis in Advanced Generation of Aromatic Rice. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* **6**(7): 1798-1806. [Cross Ref]
- [Cross Ref] Li, R., Li, M., Ashraf, U., Liu, S., and Zhang, J. (2019). Exploring the relationships between yield and yieldrelated traits for rice varieties released in china from 1978 to 2017. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, **10** (543).
- [Cross Ref] Lush, J. L. (1940). Intra – sire Correlation and regression of offspring in rams as a method of estimating heritability of characters. *Proc. American Soc. Animal Product.*, **33**: 292 – 301.
- Mamata, K., Rajanna, M. and Savita. S. (2018). "Assessment of genetic parameters for yield and its related traits in F₂ populations involving traditional varieties of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)." *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 7 (1):2210-2217. [Cross Ref]
- Peng, S, Laza, R. C., Visperas, R. M., Sanico, A. L., and Cassman, K. G. (2000). Grain Yield of Rice Cultivars and Lines Developed in the Philippines since 1966. *Crop Science*, **40**(2), 307–314. [Cross Ref]
- Peng, S., Khush, G. S., Virk, P., Tang, Q., and Zou, Y. (2008). Progress in ideotype breeding to increase rice yield potential. *Field Crops Research*, (108), 32–38. [Cross Ref]
- Singh, N., Choudhury, D. R., Tiwari, G., Singh, A. K., Kumar, S., Srinivasan, K., Tyagi, R., Sharma A., Singh, N. and Singh. R. (2016). "Genetic diversity trend in Indian rice varieties: an analysis using SSR markers." *BMC genetics* **17** (1):127. [Cross Ref]

- Tiwari, G. C. (2015). "Variability, heritability and genetic advance analysis for grain yield in rice." *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications* **5** (7):46-49.
- Tuhina-Khatun, M., Hanafi, M. M., Rafii Yusop, M., Wong, M. Y., Salleh, F. M., and Ferdous, J. (2015). Genetic Variation, Heritability, and Diversity Analysis of Upland Rice (Oryza sativa L.) Genotypes Based on Quantitative Traits. *BioMed Research International*, 2015, 1–8. [Cross Ref]
- Wang, S., Wu, K., Yuan, Q., Liu, X., Liu, Z., Lin, X., Zeng, R., Zhu, H., Dong, G., Qian, Q., Zhang, G., and Fu, X. (2012). Control of grain size, shape and quality by OSSPL16 in rice. *Nat. Genet.* 44, 950–954.
- [Cross Ref] Wu, W.ge, Zhang, H. cheng, Qian, Y. Fei, Cheng, Y., Wu, G. cheng, Zhai, C. qun, and Dai, Q. gen. (2008). Analysis on Dry Matter Production Characteristics of Super Hybrid Rice. *Rice Science*, **15**(2), 110– 118. [Cross Ref]
- Ying, J., Peng, S., He, Q., Yang, H., Yang, C., Visperas, R. M., and Cassman, K. G. (1998). Comparison of highyield rice in tropical and subtropical environments I. Determinants of grain and dry matter yields. *Field Crops Research*, **57**(1), 71–84. [Cross Ref]
- Zeng, D., Tian, Z., Rao, Y., Dong, G., Yang, Y., Huang, L., *et al.* (2017). Rational design of high-yield and superior-quality rice. *Nat. Plants* **3**:17031. [Cross Ref]
- Zhang, Y., Tang, Q., Zou, Y., Li, D., Qin, J., Yang, S., Chen, L., Xia, B., Peng, S. (2009). Yield potential and radiation use efficiency of "super" hybrid rice grown under subtropical conditions. *Field Crops Research*, **114(**1), 91–98. [Cross Ref]