

# **Research Note** Heterosis analysis for yield and yield attributed traits in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]

## Jitendra Meena\*, Harsha, Usha Pant and Ram Bahjan

Division of Genetics and Plant Breeding, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology Pantnagar- 263145(UK), India \*Email: jitendrakrmeena89@gmail.com

(Received: 3<sup>rd</sup> Apr 2015; Accepted: 20<sup>th</sup> Oct 2015)

#### Abstract

The present investigation entitled "Identification of promising heterotic combinations for yield and yield attributed traits in Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss]" consists analysis of 15×15 half-diallel set of crosses in Indian mustard revealed that non-additive gene effects was found to be predominant for secondary branches per plant, whereas preponderance of additive gene effects for the inheritance of rest of the characters. The evaluation of heterosis revealed that PRB-2004-3-4×PRE-2009-9(-9.627\*\*), Bhaghirathi ×PRE-2009-9 (-16.53\*\*), RGN-145×PR-2006-1(-4.64\*\*) for early maturity, PRB-2008-5× PRB-2004-3-4 (-24.37\*\*), PRB-2008-5× PRE-2009-9 (-24.89\*\*), PRE-2009-9×NDYR-8 (-23.32\*\*) for dwarf plant height, PR-2006-1×NDRE-4 (201.74\*\*), PR-2006-1×NDYR-8 (137.26\*\*), Maya×PRE-2004-3-4 (40.26\*\*) for seed yield, PRE-2009-9×NDYR-8 (15.84\*\*), RGN-74×PRE-2007-6 (2.91\*\*), Maya×NDYR-8 (2.09\*\*) for oil content, most promising were heterotic crosses for mid parent heterosis, better parent and economic heterosis respectively. All three type of heterosis with respect to over mid parent, better parent and standard check were observed significant in desirable direction for all the characters except glucosinolate content. The manifestation of high amount of heterosis for seed yield and component traits by a large number of crosses suggesting need to maintain heterozygosity in the population for maximum expression of traits in desirable direction. Foregoing results and inferences revealed that the presence of wide spectrum of exploitable variability in research material studied with respect to various quantitative traits projecting, thereby, immense scope for genetic improvement in Indian mustard. Therefore, with the help of heterosis studies, the superior cross combination of promising hybrids could be identified for developing high yielding cultivars.

#### Keywords

Half-diallel, Heterosis, Indian mustard, yield

Indian mustard [Brassica juncea L. (Czern & coss)] is an important oil seed crop of the world. It plays a major role in catering edible oil demand of the country. It has 38 to 42% oil and 24% protein. Diallel analysis provides a mating design whereby the selected parents are crossed in all possible combinations (Griffing, B. 1956a). Many studies have been conducted to address the effects of GCA and SCA on manifestation of heterosis for yield and yield components in different crops (Gupta et al .2010 and Maurya et al.2012.), but the research studies regarding gene action for yield and yield components in brassica is unsolved and needs consideration. The present study aims to identify the best crops combination among various selected F<sub>1</sub> hybrids on the basis of their per see performance for yield and its contributing traits, which in combinations would provide desirable segregation or may be hybridized either to exploit heterosis or to accumulate fixable genes. The magnitude of heterosis provides a basis for evaluation of genetic diversity and a guide for the choice of desirable parents for developing superior F1 hybrids to exploit hybrid vigour and for building gene pools to be employed in breeding programme.

The experimental material for present study comprised of a set of 15 diverse genotypes of Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L.) involving four early maturing genotypes (NDRE-4, PRE-2007-6, PR-2006-1 and PRE-2009-9), six agronomically superior genotypes (NDYR-8, Kranti, Maya, Bhaghirathi, RGN-74 and RGN-145), two late maturing genotypes (Vardan and Ashirvad) and three bold seeded genotypes (PRB-2006-5, PRB-2008-5 and PRB-2004-3-4). The parents were crossed in all possible combinations excluding reciprocals during rabi season 2011-12. Experiment material consisting of 15 parents and 105 F<sub>1</sub>'s was evaluated in a randomized block design with three replications during rabi season 2012-13. Each plot comprised of 1 row of 3 meter long. The crop was sown at a distance of 30 cm and plant to plant distance of 10 cm was maintained by thinning after 20-25 days of sowing. Single row of Indian mustard cultivar NDRE-4 was sown on either side of each block as guard row. Five competitive plants from parents and F<sub>1</sub>'s were randomly selected from each plot and tagged at the time of vegetative stage for recording of data on Days to 50% flowering, Days to maturity, Chlorophyll content (SPAD value), Plant height (cm),Length of main raceme (cm), Siliqua length (cm), Number of primary branches per plant,



Number of secondary branches per plant, Number of siliquae on main raceme ,No. of Seeds per siliqua Siliqua density ,Seed yield per plant, (g),Test weight (g),Oil content (%), Glucosinolate content ( $\mu$ mole/g fat free meal). Heterosis, expressed as percent increase or decrease in the performance of F<sub>1</sub> hybrid over the check variety Kranti (standard or economic heterosis) and better parent (heterobeltiosis) was computed for each character using standard formulas (Shull, 1952).

M id p arent heterosis = 
$$\frac{\overline{F_1} - \overline{MP}}{\overline{MP}} \times 100$$

Heterobeltiosis = 
$$\frac{\overline{F_1} - \overline{BP}}{\overline{BP}} \times 100$$
  
Standard heterosis =  $\frac{\overline{F_1} - \overline{SV}}{\overline{SV}} \times 100$ 

The significance of heterosis was tested with 't' test .

(a) For mid parent  
heterosis  
$$t = \frac{\overline{F_{1}} - \overline{MP}}{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}Me/r}}$$
(b) For heterobeltiosis  
$$t = \frac{\overline{F_{1}} - \overline{BP}}{\sqrt{2Me/r}}$$
(c) For standard heterosis  
$$t = \frac{\overline{F_{1}} - \overline{SV}}{\sqrt{2Me/r}}$$

The evaluation of heterosis revealed that PRB-2004-3-4×PRE-2009-9(-9.627\*\*), Bhaghirathi ×PRE-2009-9 (-16.53\*\*), RGN-145×PR-2006-1(-4.64\*\*) for early maturity, PRB-2008-5× PRB-2004-3-4 (-24.37\*\*), PRB-2008-5×PRE-2009-9 (-24.89\*\*), PRE-2009-9×NDYR-8 (-23.32\*\*) for plant dwarf height, PR-2006-1×NDRE-4  $(201.74^{**}),$ PR-2006-1×NDYR-8  $(137.26^{**}),$ Maya×PRE-2004-3-4 (40.26\*\*) for seed yield, PRE-2009-9×NDYR-8 (15.84\*\*), RGN-74×PRE-2007-6 (2.91\*\*), Maya×NDYR-8 (2.09\*\*) for oil content, most promising were heterotic crosses for mid parent heterosis, better parent and economic heterosis (Relative ,Heterobeltiosis and Standard heterosis) respectively. All three type of heterosis with respect to over mid parent, better parent and standard check were observed significant in desirable direction for all the characters except glucosinolate content. The manifestation of high amount of heterosis for seed yield and component traits by a large number of crosses suggest for the

need to maintain heterozygosity in the population for maximum expression of the traits in desired direction. Overall results indicate that breeding methods such as heterosis breeding with concurrent random mating could be the most suitable option for further improvement and identifying the following best cross combinations for yield and yield attributing traits in Indian mustard.

Foregoing results and inferences revealed the

Where,	$F_1 =$	Mean performance of $F_1$ hybrid		
	$\overline{P}_1\!=\!$	Mean performance of parent one		
	$\overline{P}_2 =$	Mean performance of parent two		
	$\overline{MP}$ =	Mean performance of mid parent		
	$\overline{BP} =$	Mean performance of better		
parent	$\overline{SV}=$	Mean performance of standard		
variety				

Where, Me = Error mean square from ANOVA table and r = number of replications

presence of wide spectrum of exploitable variability in the material studied with respect to various quantitative traits projecting, thereby, immense scope for genetic improvement in Indian mustard. Estimates of combining ability and heterotic responses further showed the perceptible advantage of heterozygosity in increasing the yield. This phenomenon led to identify heterosis breeding as the key methodology for improving genetic yield ceiling in Indian mustard. Therefore, with the help of combining ability and heterosis superior parents/hybrids could be identified to exploit in the breeding programme for developing high yielding cultivars.

## References

- Baisakh, B. and Panda, S.1994. Heterosis for yield in Indian mustard. *Enviorn. Ecol.*, **12(3)**: 648 650.
- Banga, S.S. and Labana, K.S. 1984 . Heterosis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]. Zeitchriftfur Pflanzenzuechtung, 92(1): 61 – 70.
- Dhillon, S.S., Labana, K.S. and Banga, S.K .1990. Studies on heterosis and combining ability in Indian mustard. *J Res PAU*, **27**: 1–8.
- Dholu, V.K., Sasidharan, N., Suthar, K., Bhusan, B. and Patel, J.N. 2014. Heterosis and combining ability analysis in Indian mustard, *Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss. Int. J. Agri. Sci., 10 (1): 102-107.



- Gami ,R.A. and Chauhan ,R.M.2013. Heterosis and combining ability anlaysis for seed yield and its attributes in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss.] . *I.J.Agric. Resh.* 47 (6): 535-539.
- Griffing, B. 1956a.Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.***9**:463-493.
- Gupta, P., Chaudhary, H. B. and Kumar, S. L. 2010. Heterosis and combining ability analysis for yield and its components in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea L. Czern & Coss*) Front. Agric. China, 4(3): 299-307.
- Hirve,C,.D. and Tiwari, A.S.1991.Heterosis and inbreeding depression in Indian mustard.Indian J.Genet.Pl.breed., 51(2):190-193.
- Khulbe,R.K.;Pant,D.P.and Rawat,R.S.1998.Heterosis for yield and its component in Indian mustard. *J.Oilseed.Res.*, **15(2)**:227-230.
- Kumar, P.R.; Arora, R.K.; Singh, N.P.; Yadav R.C.; and Kumar, P.; 1990. A study of heterosis in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss]. Acta Agron. Tuengarica, **39(1-2)**: 137 – 143.
- Maurya, N., Singh, A.K. and Singh, S.K. 2012. Analysis of combining ability in Indian mustard (*brassica juncea* L.). *Indian J.1 of Pl. Sci.*, 1 (2-3): pp.116-123.
- Nigm, R. and Alka. 2009.Heterosis response of seed yield and its component in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss].*International J. Pl. Sci.*, *Muzaffarnagar*,**4**:41-43.
- Patel,A. M., Prajapati, D. B. and Patel, D. G.2012.Heterosis and combining ability studies in Indian mustard . *Ind. J. Sci. Res.* and Tech., 1(1):38-40.
- Sabaghnia,N.; Dehghani,H.; Alizadeh,B.; Mohghaddam ,M..2010. Heterosis and combining ability analysis for oil yield and its components in rapeseed.*AJCS.*, 4(6):390-397.
- Singh, D.K.; Kumar, K. and Singh P. 2012. Heterosis and heritability analysis for different crosses in Indian mustard *.Journal of Oilseed Brassica.*3: 201-204.
- Shull, J. H. 1952. Beginnings of the heterosis concept. In: J W Gowen (ed.), Heterosis, Ames: Iowa State College Press. 14-48.
- Singh, R.K. and Dixit, P. 2007. Heterosis and combining ability for oil content, seed yield and it contributing studies for seed yield and, its attributing and oil content in Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L).Czern & Coss].Crop-Improvement.34:192-196.
- Sood,O.P.,Sood,V.K.and Thakur,H.L.2000.Combining ability and heterosis for seed yield traits involving natural and synthetic Indian mustard[*Brassica juncea*(L.)Czern &

Coss].*Indian j.Genet. Plant breeds.* **60**(4):561-563.

- Rameeh, V. .2011. Heterosis and combining ability assessment for phenological traits, plant height and grain yield in winter x spring combinations of rapeseed varieties. *International Jornal of Plant Breeding and Genetic.*, 5: 349-35.
- Thakur, H.L. and Bhateria, S. 1993.Heterosis and inbreeding depression in Indian mustard. *Indian J. Genet. Pl. Breed.*, 53(1): 60 -65.
- Turi, N.A., Raziuddin, Shah, S.S. and Ali, S.2006. Estimation of Heterosis for some important traits in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*). J. Agric. Biol.Sci., 1:408-412.
- Tyagi, M.K., Chauhan, J.S., Yadav, S.K., Kumar, P.R., and Tyagi, P.2000. Heterosis in intervarietal crosses in mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss.]. Ann. Biol., 16(2):191-194.
- Vaghela,P.O., Thakkar,D.A., Bhadauria, H.S.,Sutariya, D.A., Parmar, S.K. and D.V. Prajapati. 2011. Heterosis and combining ability for yield and its component traits in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.)].*Journal of Oilseed Brassica.*, 2: 39-43.
- Verma, O.P., Kushwaha, G.D., and Singh, H.P.2000. Heterosis in relation to genetic diversity in Indian mustard. *Curciferae Newslt.*, 22(3): 93 -94.
- Yadava,D. K., Singh,N., Vasudev,S. Singh, R., Singh, S., Giri, S. C., Dwivedi,V. K., and Prabhu, K. V.2012. Combining ability and heterobeltiosis for yield and yieldcontributing traits in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 82(7): 563-570.



# Table 1. Top three ranking cross combinations with respect to exploited heterosis over mid parent, better parent and standard check

S.	Characters	High Heterotic Cross Combinations		
No		Mid Parent Heterosis	Better Parent Heterosis	Standard Heterosis
1.	Days to 50 percent flowering	$RGN-74 \times NDRE-4$	RGN-145× PR-2006-1	Maya × RGN-145
		RGN-74× PRE-2007-6	RGN-145×PRE-2007-6	Maya $\times$ Ashirwad
		PRE-2007-6 $\times$ NDRE-4	Bhaghirathi $\times$ NDYR-8	$RGN-145 \times RGN-74$
2.	Days to maturity	PRB-2004-3-4× PRE-2009-9	Bhaghirathi×PRE-2009-9	RGN-145×PR-2006-1
		PRB-2008-5× PRB-2004-3-4	PRB-2004-3-4× NDYR-8	PRE-2007-6×NDRE-4
		Bhaghirathi×PRB-2004-3-4	PRE-2009-9 $\times$ Ashirwad	PRE-2009-9×NDYR-8
3.	Chlorophyll content	Bhaghirathi $\times$ Vardan	RGN-145 × PRE-2009-9	RGN-74 $\times$ NDYR-8
		RGN-145 × PRE-2009-9	Bhaghirathi $ imes$ Vardan	Vardan × Ashirwad
		Vardan $\times$ PRE-2009-9	RGN-145 × PR-2006-1	RGN-145 $\times$ NDRE-4
4.	Plant height	PRB-2008-5×PRB2004-3-4	PRB-2008-5×PRE-2009-9	PRE-2009-9×NDYR-8
	(cm)	PRB-2008-5 × PR-2006-1	PRB-2008-5 × RGN-74	PRB-2008-5×PRE-2009-9
		PRB-2008-5 $\times$ Ashirwad	PRE-2004-3-4×PRE-2009-9	Ashirwad $\times$ NDYR-8
5.	Lentgh of main raceme	Ashirwad × Kranti	Ashirwad $\times$ PRE-2007-6	PRB-2006-5 $\times$ Ashirwad
		PRB-2006-5 $\times$ Ashirwad	PRE-2006-5 $\times$ Ashirwad	Vardan $\times$ NDRE-4
		Kranti $\times$ NDYR-8	$Maya \times Ashirwad$	Maya $\times$ NDYR-8
6.	Lentgh of siliqua	PRB-2008-5 × PR-2006-1	Maya  imes Vardan	PRB-2006-5×PRB2004-3-4
		Maya $\times$ PRB-2008-5	Maya $\times$ PRE-2004-3-4	PRB-2006-5 $\times$ NDYR-8
		PRB-2006-5 $\times$ RGN-74	PRB-2006-5×PRE-2007-6	Maya $\times$ PRB-2008-5
7.	Number of primary	Bhghirathi $ imes$ Ashirwad	Bhaghirathi × PRE-2006-1	Bhaghirathi × PR-2006-1
	branches	PR-2006-1 $\times$ Kranti	PR-2006-1 × PRE-2007-6	PR-2006-1× PRE-2007-6
		PRB-2004-3-4 $\times$ Kranti	PRB-2004-3-4×PR-2006-1	MAYA×PR-2006-1
8.	Number of secondary branches	Bhaghirathi $\times$ PRB-2008-5	Bhaghirathi×PRB-2004-3-4	RGN-145×RGN-74
		Vardan $\times$ NDRE-4	PRE-2009-9 $\times$ NDYR-8	Bhaghirathi×PRB-2004-3-4
		$Vradan \times Kranti$	PRB-2004-3-4 × Kranti	$Maya \times Bhaghirathi$
9.	Number of siliqua on main raceme	Vardan $\times$ Kranti	Vardan $\times$ PRE-2007-6	Bhaghirathi $\times$ NDYR-8
		Vardan $\times$ PRB-2008-5	Bhaghirathi $\times$ NDYR-8	Vardan  imes Kranti
		Vardan $\times$ RGN-74	Bhaghirathi $\times$ Kranti	Vardan × PRE-2007-6
10	Number of seeds per siliqua	Kranti × PRE-2007-6	Kranti $\times$ NDRE-4	Kranti $\times$ NDRE-4
		PR-2006-1 × NDRE-4	PR-2006-1 × NDYR-8	Maya $\times$ PRB-2008-5
		Maya $\times$ PRB-2008-5	Maya $\times$ PRB-2008-5	Ashirwad × Kranti
11	Siliqua density on	PRE-2009-9 $\times$ Ashirwad	PRE-2009-9 × PR-2006-1	PRB-2008-5×PRE-2009-9
	main raceme	Ashirwad × Kranti	Ashirwad $\times$ NDRE-4	Ashirwad × PRE-2007-6
		Ashirwad $\times$ PR-2006-1	PRB-2008-5 × PRE-2009-9	PRE-2009-9×PRE-2006-1

Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 6(4): 1103-1107 (Dec- 2015)

## ISSN 0975-928X

12	Seed yield per plant	PR-2006-1 × NDRE-4	PR-2006-1 × NDYR-8	Maya × PRE-2004-3-4
		PR-2006-1 × RGN-74	Bhaghirathi × PR-2006-1	PR-2006-1 × NDYR-8
		PRB-2004-3-4 × PR-2006-1	PR-2006-1 × Kranti	PRB-2008-5×PRE-2009-9
13	Test weight	NDRE-4 $\times$ NDYR-8	Maya $\times$ PRE-2007-6	PRE-2009-9 × RGN-74
	(g)	Ashirwad $\times$ NDYR-8	Ashirwad × NDYR-8	PRB-2006-5×PRB2004-3-4
		Maya $\times$ PRE-2007-6	NDRE-4 $\times$ NDYR-8	PRE-2009-9 $\times$ Ashirwad
14	Oil content	PRE-2009-9 × NDYR-8	RGN-74 $\times$ PRE-2007-6	Maya $\times$ NDYR-8
	(%)	Bhaghirathi $\times$ NDYR-8	Bhaghirathi×PRE-20007-6	PRE-2009-9 × Ashirwad
		$RGN-145 \times NDYR-8$	$RGN-74 \times NDYR-8$	Ashirwad $\times$ PR-2006-1
15	Glucosinolate content	Bhaghirathi × RGN-145	Bhaghirathi × RGN-145	-
	(%)	Vardan × Ashrvad	$Maya \times Bhaghirathi$	
		$Maya \times Bhaghirathi$	$Vardan \times Ashirwad$	