

## Research Article

# Combining ability for seed yield and its components in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.)

M. B. Virani, J. H. Vachhani\*, V. H. Kachhadia, R. M. Chavadhari and Sureshkumar Sharma

Main Oilseeds Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh-362001 (Gujarat)

E-mail: jhvachhani@jau.in

(Received: 25 July 2017; Revised: 17 Mar 2018; Accepted: 18 Mar 2018)

### Abstract

Fifty sesame crosses generated in line x tester (10 lines x 5 testers) mating method were studied to estimate combining ability for fourteen characters in sesame. Analysis of variance for combining ability revealed that the mean squares due to lines, testers and line x testers were significant for all the characters except mean squares due to lines for days to maturity. The results indicated the importance of both additive and non-additive genetic variances in the expression of these characters. The estimated ratio of  $\hat{\sigma}^2_{gca}/\hat{\sigma}^2_{sca}$  from analysis of combining ability revealed that non-additive gene action was predominant in the genetic control of all the characters studied except plant height. Among female parents, ES-246 was found to be good general combiner for eleven characters followed by Lalavadar-6 for five characters and Borda-2 for four characters. Among the testers, G.Til-4 was good general combiner for seven characters followed by G.Til-1-9-4, which gave desirable gca for five traits. The estimates of sca effects of the crosses indicated that twelve hybrids manifested significant and positive sca effects for seed yield per plant. Among these, the best three specific cross combinations were RMT-180 x G. Til-3, Borda-2 x G. Til-1-9-4 and Lalavadar-6 x J-68-3., which had high sca effects for seed yield per plant along with *per se* performance and other yield traits.

### Keywords

Sesame, combining ability, L x T analysis, gene action

### Introduction

Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) is a principle oilseed crop for internal and export purposes. Selection of suitable parents for hybridization is an important aspect in the crop improvement programme and the performance of hybrids may give an idea of their relative superiority. Therefore, in any sound breeding programme, the proper choice of parents based on their combining ability is a pre-requisite. As studies intended to determine the combining ability not only provide necessary information regarding the choice of parents but also illustrate the nature and magnitude of gene action involved. Accordingly, the present investigation was undertaken to identify good general combiners and specific cross combinations which may be used to create a population with favourable genes for seed yield and its component characters.

### Materials and Methods

Ten diverse pure lines *viz.*, EC-41, U-76-22, ES-246, UCS-76-1, ES-139, JLSL-8, RMT-180, IC-74188, Lalavadar-6 and Borda-2 used as females were crossed with five male (tester) parents *viz.*, G.Til-1-9-4, G.Til-2, G.Til-3, G.Til-4 and J-68-3 to develop 50 F<sub>1</sub> crosses using line x tester mating method during *kharif* season of 2015. The experimental material consisted of 66 entries including 15 parents (10 lines and 5 testers) and

their resultant 50 hybrids along with one standard check variety (G. Til-4), was evaluated in Randomized Block Design with two replications at Main Oilseeds Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat. All the agronomic management practices and plant protection measures were adopted timely to raise healthy crop. The observations on five randomly selected competitive plants were recorded from each replication for fourteen characters *viz.*, days to 50 % flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), height to first capsule (cm), number of branches per plant, number of internodes per plant, length of capsule (cm), width of capsule (cm), number of capsules per plant, number of capsules per leaf axil, number of seeds per capsule, number of seeds per capsule, 1000-seed weight (g), seed yield per plant (g) and oil content (%). The days to 50% flowering and days to maturity were recorded on plot basis. The oil content was analysed by using Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectro Photometer as suggested by Tiwari *et al.* (1974). The analysis of variance was performed to test the significance of differences among the genotypes for all the characters as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). The analysis of variance for combining ability for all the fourteen

characters was carried-out as suggested by Kempthorne (1957).

### Results and Discussion

Analysis of variance for combining ability revealed that the mean squares due to lines were significant for all the characters except days to maturity, while for testers and lines x testers interaction, the mean squares were significant for all the characters. The estimates of  $\sigma^2_{gca}$  were higher than the corresponding  $\sigma^2_{sca}$  for only one character *i.e.* plant height, this indicated less influence of environment. While, in case of remaining characters the magnitude of  $\sigma^2_{sca}$  was higher than  $\sigma^2_{gca}$ , which was also confirmed by the ratio of  $\sigma^2_{gca}/\sigma^2_{sca}$ , which was less than unity for all the characters except plant height. The results indicated the importance of both additive and non-additive genetic variances in the expression of these characters. Predominance of non-additive gene action in the inheritance of seed yield per plant in sesame has been reported earlier by Mothilal and Manoharan (2004), El-Shakhess and Khalifa (2007), Yamanura *et al.* (2009), Prajapati *et al.* (2010) and Praveenkumar *et al.* (2012). Contrary to the present results, the preponderance of additive gene action was observed by Mansouri and Ahmadi (1998), Das and Gupta (1999) and Parameshwarappa and Salimath (2010).

In the study on *gca* effects of 15 parents (10 lines and 5 testers) for 14 traits (Table 2), the line, ES-246 gave desirable *gca* effect for eleven characters *viz.*, plant height, number of branches per plant, number of internodes per plant, length of capsule, width of capsule, number of capsules per plant, number of capsules per leaf axil, number of seeds per capsule, 1000-seed weight, seed yield per plant and oil content followed by Lalavadar-6 for five characters *viz.*, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, number of branches per plant, length of capsule, number of seeds per capsule and Borda-2 for four characters *viz.*, number of branches per plant, number of internodes per plant, number of capsules per plant and seed yield per plant. Among the testers, G.Til-4 was good general combiner for seven characters *viz.*, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, length of capsule, width of capsule, number of capsules per plant, number of seeds per capsule and seed yield per plant followed by G.Til-1-9-4, which gave desirable *gca* effect for five traits *viz.*, plant height, number of branches per plant, number of capsules per plant, number of capsules per leaf axil and seed yield plant. Hence, from the present study, it can be concluded that in lines, ES-246, Lalavadar-6 and Borda-2 and the testers G. Til-4 and G. Til-1-9-4

preferred in breeding programme as these parents upon crossing, are expected to give desirable segregants in the succeeding generations.

The *sca* effect of the crosses indicated that twelve hybrids manifested significant and positive *sca* effect for seed yield per plant (Table 3). Among these, the best three specific combiners were RMT-180 x G.Til-3, Borda-2 x G.Til-1-9-4 and Lalavadar-6 x J-68-3 displayed high *sca* effect for seed yield per plant. The high *sca* status of the hybrids indicated the substantial role played by dominance and epistatic interaction (Table 3).

The best five crosses showing high *sca* effects for seed yield coupled with *per se* performance and *gca* effects of parents are presented in Table 4. These crosses exhibiting high *sca* effect involved either good x good, good x average and average x poor general combiners for majority of characters indicating the presence of additive x additive and additive x dominance types of gene interactions. Both additive and non-additive genetic components were important for seed yield per plant. The additive components of variations can be exploited by simple selection. However, the presence of non-fixable components *viz.*, additive x dominance and dominance x dominance types of interactions present, the improvement of seed yield could be made by using breeding methodology such as recurrent selection or biparental mating followed by recurrent selection may prove to be effective in simultaneous exploitation of both the type of gene actions for improvement of seed yield and its attributes in sesame.

### References

- Das, S. and Gupta, T. D. 1999. Combining ability in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Indian J. Genet.*, **59**(1):69-75.
- El-Shakhess, S. A. M. and Khalifa, M. M. A. 2007. Combining ability and heterosis for yield, yield components, charcoal-rot and *Fusarium* wilt disease in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Egyptian J. Pl. Br.*, **11**(1):351-371.
- Kempthorne, O. 1957. *An Introduction to Genetical Statistics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Mansouri, S. and Ahmadi, M. R. 1998. Study on combining ability and gene effect on sesame lines by diallel cross method. *Indian J. agric. Sci.*, **20**(3):27-30.
- Mothilal, A. and Manoharan, V. 2004. Heterosis and combining ability in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Crop Res.*, **27**(2-3):282-287.



- Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. 1985. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. ICAR, New Delhi.
- Parameshwarappa, S. G. and Salimath, P. M. 2010. Studies on combining ability and heterosis for yield and yield components in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Green Farming*, **3**(2):91-94.
- Prajapati, N. N.; Patel, C. G.; Patel, K. M. and Prajapati, K. P. 2010. Combining ability for seed yield and its components in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Interat. J. Plant Sci.*, **5**(1):180-183.
- Praveenkumar; Madhusudan, K.; Nadaf, H. L.; Patil, R. K. and Deshpande, S. K. 2012. Combining ability and gene action studies in inter-mutant hybrids of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Karnataka J. agric. Sci.*, **25**(1):1-4.
- Tiwari, P. N.; Gambhir, P. N. and Rajan, T. S. 1974. Rapid and non-destructive determination of seed oil by pulsed NMR technique. *J. American Oil Chem. Soc.*, **51**:104-109.
- Yamanura; Madhusudan, K. and Nadaf, H. L. 2009. Combining ability and gene action for yield and yield components in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Karnataka J. agric. Sci.*, **22**(2):255-260.



**Table 1. Analysis of variance for combining ability for different fourteen characters in sesame**

Source	d. f.	Days to	Days to maturity	Plant height	Height to first	Number of	Number of	Length of
		50 % flowering		(cm)	capsule	branches per	internodes per	capsule
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Replications	2	8.184	66.227*	32.643	39.405	0.877	0.145	0.146
Lines (L)	9	47.900**	40.893	1325.306***	312.092**	98.852**	24.098**	0.748**
Testers (T)	4	61.463**	485.920***	2146.546***	134.240**	41.259**	42.876**	0.539**
Lines x Testers (L x T)	36	52.616**	115.392**	368.712*	183.342**	18.791**	30.656**	0.961**
Error	98	16.109	20.873	184.698	33.323	0.469	2.164	0.091
<b>Variance components</b>								
$\hat{\sigma}^2_l$	-	2.119	1.335	76.041	18.585	6.559	1.468	0.044
$\hat{\sigma}^2_t$	-	1.512	15.502	65.395	3.364	1.360	1.357	0.015
$\hat{\sigma}^2_{lt}$	-	12.169	31.506	61.338	50.006	6.107	9.498	0.290
$\hat{\sigma}^2_{gca}$	-	1.714	10.779	68.943	8.437	3.093	1.392	0.025
$\hat{\sigma}^2_{sca}$	-	12.169	31.506	61.338	50.006	6.107	9.498	0.290
$\hat{\sigma}^2_{gca}/\hat{\sigma}^2_{sca}$	-	0.140	0.342	1.123	0.168	0.506	0.146	0.086

(Contd....)



**Table 1. (Contd....)**

Source	d. f.	Width of capsule	Number of	Number of	Number of seeds	1000-seed	Seed yield per	Oil content
		(cm)	capsules per plant	capsules per leaf axil	per capsule	weight (g)	plant (g)	(%)
		8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Replications	2	0.008	150.242	0.091	14.924	0.052	1.355	2.122
Lines (L)	9	0.027**	2254.336**	0.737**	279.034**	0.652**	59.016**	13.081*
Testers (T)	4	0.031*	2734.278**	0.932**	122.710**	0.579**	46.939**	15.374*
Lines x Testers (L x T)	36	0.019*	1108.969**	0.685**	236.788**	0.440**	37.412**	9.273*
Error	98	0.009	55.457	0.046	31.888	0.064	2.431	5.799
<b>Variance components</b>								
$\hat{\sigma}^2_l$	-	0.001	146.592	0.046	16.476	0.039	3.772	0.485
$\hat{\sigma}^2_t$	-	0.001	89.294	0.030	3.027	0.017	1.484	0.319
$\hat{\sigma}^2_{lt}$	-	0.003	351.171	0.213	68.300	0.125	11.660	1.158
$\hat{\sigma}^2_{gca}$	-	0.001	108.393	0.035	7.510	0.024	2.247	0.375
$\hat{\sigma}^2_{sca}$	-	0.003	351.171	0.213	68.300	0.125	11.660	1.158
$\hat{\sigma}^2_{gca}/\hat{\sigma}^2_{sca}$	-	0.333	0.308	0.164	0.109	0.192	0.193	0.323

\*, \*\* Significant at 5 and 1 per cent levels, respectively

+, ++ Significant at 5 and 1 per cent levels, respectively against lines x testers interaction



**Table 2. Estimates of general combining ability (gca) of the parents for fourteen characters in sesame**

S. N.	Parents	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Height to first capsule (cm)	No. of branches/plant	No. of internodes/plant	Length of capsule (cm)
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
<b>Lines</b>								
1	EC-41	0.608	-1.900	-2.401	0.028	-1.455**	-0.326	-0.301**
2	U-76-22	2.054*	0.434	16.240**	5.528**	2.631**	-0.833*	-0.048
3	ES-246	1.943	2.112	18.371**	6.054**	4.745**	2.994**	0.208**
4	UCS-76-1	-1.677	1.660	-0.476	5.194**	-1.829**	-0.899*	0.337**
5	ES-139	2.378**	0.451	-4.386	-3.266*	-1.122**	-1.286**	-0.402**
6	JLSL-8	-1.278	0.045	-8.441*	-5.832**	-2.615**	-0.006	0.016
7	RMT-180	0.955	-0.251	-6.404*	-4.259**	-0.362*	0.314	0.073
8	IC-74188	-1.140	-1.254	-5.411	-4.546**	-3.282**	-0.726	0.035
9	Lalavadar-6	-2.505*	-2.976*	-4.550	-1.570	1.611**	-0.426	0.158*
10	Borda-2	-1.337	1.678	-2.544	2.668	1.678**	1.194**	-0.074
	<b>SE(g<sub>i</sub>)</b>	<b>1.036</b>	<b>1.179</b>	<b>3.509</b>	<b>1.490</b>	<b>0.177</b>	<b>0.379</b>	<b>0.077</b>
	<b>SE(g<sub>i</sub>.g<sub>j</sub>)</b>	<b>1.466</b>	<b>1.668</b>	<b>4.962</b>	<b>2.108</b>	<b>0.250</b>	<b>0.537</b>	<b>0.110</b>
<b>Testers</b>								
1	G.Til-1-9-4	-0.189	-1.617	12.228**	3.441**	2.011**	-1.793**	0.043
2	G.Til-2	0.246	1.953*	5.293*	-0.869	-0.009	0.694*	-0.126*
3	G.Til-3	0.375	1.213	-6.879**	-1.872	-0.515**	1.371**	-0.156**
4	G.Til-4	-2.199*	-6.051**	-3.785	0.531	-0.539**	-0.366	0.143*
5	J-68-3	1.767*	4.502**	-6.857**	-1.231	-0.949*	0.094	0.097
	<b>SE(g<sub>j</sub>)</b>	<b>0.733</b>	<b>0.834</b>	<b>2.481</b>	<b>1.053</b>	<b>0.125</b>	<b>0.268</b>	<b>0.055</b>
	<b>SE(g<sub>i</sub>.g<sub>j</sub>)</b>	<b>1.036</b>	<b>1.179</b>	<b>3.509</b>	<b>1.490</b>	<b>0.177</b>	<b>0.379</b>	<b>0.077</b>

(Contd...)



**Table 2. (Contd...)**

S. N.	Parents	Width of capsule (cm)	No. of capsules/plant	No. of capsules/leaf axil	No. of seeds/capsule	1000-seed weight (g)	Seed yield per plant (g)	Oil content (%)
		8	9	10	11	12	13	14
<b>Lines</b>								
1	EC-41	-0.065*	-4.905*	-0.235**	-5.147**	-0.165*	-0.747	-0.095
2	U-76-22	0.019	0.441	0.152**	-2.587*	-0.065	-0.578	-0.203
3	ES-246	0.080**	26.428**	0.285**	4.346**	0.543**	3.439**	1.786**
4	UCS-76-1	0.025	-18.492**	-0.261**	-2.067	0.084	-3.432**	0.760
5	ES-139	0.009	1.775	0.419**	0.113	-0.012	0.392	0.748
6	JLSL-8	0.023	-7.305**	-0.115*	-1.027	0.032	-1.670**	-0.358
7	RMT-180	0.008	-4.719*	-0.101	-4.121**	-0.067	0.120	0.298
8	IC-74188	-0.045	-6.652**	0.019	8.386**	-0.032	-0.874*	-1.352*
9	Lalavadar-6	-0.044	0.601	-0.115*	4.253**	-0.168*	0.788	-0.501
10	Borda-2	-0.009	12.828**	-0.048	-2.147	-0.149*	2.564**	-1.084
	<b>SE(g<sub>i</sub>)</b>	<b>0.025</b>	<b>1.922</b>	<b>0.055</b>	<b>1.458</b>	<b>0.065</b>	<b>0.402</b>	<b>0.621</b>
	<b>SE(g<sub>i</sub>,g<sub>j</sub>)</b>	<b>0.035</b>	<b>2.719</b>	<b>0.078</b>	<b>2.062</b>	<b>0.092</b>	<b>0.569</b>	<b>0.879</b>
<b>Testers</b>								
1	G.Til-1-9-4	-0.031	11.135**	0.225**	-0.647	-0.244**	0.755**	-0.905*
2	G.Til-2	-0.020	4.348**	-0.008	-1.527	0.027	0.264	0.415
3	G.Til-3	0.025	-8.725**	0.012	-2.107*	0.095	-1.597**	0.969*
4	G.Til-4	0.043*	4.455**	0.039	2.119*	0.054	1.494**	-0.192
5	J-68-3	-0.017	-11.212**	-0.268**	2.163*	0.069	-0.915**	-0.287
	<b>SE(g<sub>j</sub>)</b>	<b>0.017</b>	<b>1.359</b>	<b>0.039</b>	<b>1.031</b>	<b>0.046</b>	<b>0.284</b>	<b>0.439</b>
	<b>SE(g<sub>i</sub>,g<sub>j</sub>)</b>	<b>0.025</b>	<b>1.922</b>	<b>0.055</b>	<b>1.458</b>	<b>0.065</b>	<b>0.402</b>	<b>0.621</b>

\*, \*\* Significant at 5% and 1% levels, respectively



**Table 3. Estimates of specific combining ability (sca) effects of the best ten hybrids based on *per se* performance for fourteen characters in sesame**

S. N.	Crosses	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Height to first capsule (cm)	No. of branches/plant	No. of internodes/plant	Length of capsule (cm)
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Borda-2 x G.Til-1-9-4	9.135**	3.389	7.631	2.172	3.295**	0.806	-0.158
2	ES-246 x G.Til-4	2.636	0.769	-3.301	1.962	0.712	3.046**	-0.633**
4	ES-246 x G.Til-2	-0.682	0.008	2.188	-7.904*	1.049**	-0.081	0.489**
3	RMT-180 x G.Til-3	-0.264	-2.585	-2.865	-5.254	2.129**	1.189	-0.340
5	U-76-22 x G.Til-4	1.028	4.614	16.930*	11.922**	0.659	-1.727*	0.136
6	Lalavadar-6 x J-68-3	5.985*	1.638	12.463	7.295*	2.989**	3.139**	0.730**
7	ES-246 x G.Til-1-9-4	-4.428	-4.598	23.096**	11.619**	2.229**	5.673**	0.300
8	IC-74188 x G.Til-2	0.404	-7.969**	4.671	3.496	1.875**	2.439**	0.789**
9	Lalavadar-6 x G.Til-2	-7.028**	-12.547**	-13.064	2.586	2.115**	1.406	-0.167
10	ES-139 x G.Til-2	4.246	5.533*	8.762	10.716**	3.449**	3.799**	0.013
	SE (S <sub>ij</sub> )	<b>2.317</b>	<b>2.637</b>	<b>7.846</b>	<b>3.332</b>	<b>0.395</b>	<b>0.849</b>	<b>0.174</b>
	SE(S <sub>ij</sub> -S <sub>kl</sub> )	<b>3.277</b>	<b>3.730</b>	<b>11.096</b>	<b>4.713</b>	<b>0.559</b>	<b>1.201</b>	<b>0.246</b>

**Table 3. (Contd...)**

S. N.	Crosses	Width of capsule (cm)	No. of capsules/plant	No. of capsules/leaf axil	No. of seeds/capsule	1000-seed weight (g)	Seed yield/plant (g)	Oil content (%)	<i>per se</i> seed yield/plant (g)
		8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	Borda-2 x G.Til-1-9-4	-0.046	46.972**	0.388**	1.434	-0.366*	7.680**	-1.314	25.02
2	ES-246 x G.Til-4	-0.044	-3.015	0.241	-5.626	0.054	2.372**	-0.424	21.33
3	ES-246 x G.Til-2	0.024	19.692**	0.288*	10.754**	-0.002	3.248**	-0.705	21.01
4	RMT-180 x G.Til-3	-0.042	33.245**	0.321*	10.934**	-0.063	8.463**	-2.200	20.97
5	U-76-22 x G.Til-4	-0.022	35.639**	0.375**	2.441	-0.140	4.150**	-0.248	19.09
6	Lalavadar-6 x J-68-3	0.119*	23.679**	-0.052	4.557	0.877**	5.131**	3.159*	19.03
7	ES-246 x G.Til-1-9-4	0.069	23.105**	0.055	-3.726	0.206	-0.082	1.583	18.13
8	IC-74188 x G.Til-2	0.155*	20.839**	0.555**	8.847**	0.407**	4.454**	2.751	17.87
9	Lalavadar-6 x G.Til-2	-0.100	4.385	-0.312*	-2.353	-0.637**	2.729**	-1.594	17.80
10	ES-139 x G.Til-2	0.017	18.612**	0.155	-1.213	-0.220	2.896**	-2.096	17.57
	SE (S <sub>ij</sub> )	<b>0.056</b>	<b>4.299</b>	<b>0.124</b>	<b>3.260</b>	<b>0.146</b>	<b>0.900</b>	<b>1.390</b>	-
	SE(S <sub>ij</sub> -S <sub>kl</sub> )	<b>0.079</b>	<b>6.080</b>	<b>0.175</b>	<b>4.610</b>	<b>0.206</b>	<b>1.273</b>	<b>1.966</b>	-

\*, \*\* Significant at 5% and 1% levels, respectively



**Table 4. Top ranking five specific combiners for seed yield per plant and their *per se* performance and its**

S. N.	Crosses	sca effects	<i>per se</i> performance ( $\bar{X}$ )	gca status	
				Female	Male
1	RMT-180 x G. Til-3	8.463**	21.01	A	P
2	Borda-2 x G.Til-1-9-4	7.680**	25.02	G	G
3	Lalavadar-6 x J-68-3	5.131**	19.03	A	P
4	IC-74188 x G. Til-2	4.454**	17.87	P	A
5	U-76-22 x G. Til-4	4.150**	19.09	A	G

**gca status of parents in sesame**

\*, \*\* Significant at 5% and 1% levels of probability, respectively, against error mean squares.

G= Desired significant gca (good combiner)

A= Desired non-significant gca (average combiner)

P= Non-desired significant gca (poor combiner)