

## **Research Article**

# Genetic diversity assessment of Indian chickpea varieties for protein and micronutrient composition

## V. Jayalakshmi\* and A. Trivikrama Reddy

Regional Agricultural Research Station, Nandyal 518 502, Andhra Pradesh, India. **\*E-Mail**: veera.jayalakshmi@gmail.com

(Received: 01 Aug 2018; Revised: 01 Dec 2018; Accepted: 02 Dec 2018)

#### Abstract

To address the feasibility of developing protein rich and micronutrient dense varieties of chickpea, it is imperative to assess the existing variability of protein as well as micronutrients. The present efforts were made with 27 cultivars grown in India to ascertain the variability and diversity of these genotypes with respect to nutritive traits protein, iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu) and manganese (Mn). Among the varieties, Virat had higher concentration of protein, Zn and Mn; CSJ 515 had higher concentration of protein and Fe whereas, Kripa had higher concentration of Cu. Higher heritability with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for Cu, Zn and Mn indicating that these traits are under additive gene action and selection pressure could effectively be applied for their improvement. With the genetic diversity analysis, it is inferred that crosses can be made between genotypes Virat with higher protein and Zn concentration from Cluster IV, Kripa in Cluster V with higher copper concentration, while CSJ 515 having high concentration of protein and Fe in Cluster III to realize better segregants for simultaneous improvement of more than one nutrient.

#### Key words

Chickpea, copper, zinc, iron, manganese, protein, genetic improvement

### Introduction

Green revolution in India was fruitful in increasing the production and productivity of food grain crops. Consequently, self-sustain was achieved in majority of food crops and now it's high time to focus on quality food rather than mere high yield per unit area. "Bio-fortification" purposes to enhance the micronutrient content of many staple food crops through conventional breeding or metabolic engineering. In India, chickpea is grown annually in an area of 10.74 million ha with a total production of 9.88 million tons and average productivity of 919.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (FAO, 2016). India ranks top in production and consumption of chickpea in the world followed by Pakistan, Mexico, Turkey, Canada, Iran, Australia, Tanzania, Ethiopia, Spain and Burma. In India, it is cultivated to a large extent in Madhya Pradesh and Southern states like Andhra Pradesh. Telangana and Karnataka. Among pulses, chickpea is an excellent source of complex carbohydrates, proteins, dietary fiber and energy (Ereifez et al., 2001 and Wang et al., 2010). Also, it is an important source for micronutrients like Fe, Zn, Mg and Cu (Ereifez et al., 2001 and Abbo et al., 2000). Since, cereals combined with pulses are a major diet for billons of people and targeting chickpeas could some

extent alleviate the malnutrition problem. Studies on mining the protein and micro - nutrients level is fundamental in developing bio-fortified varieties as this helps to ascertain the existing variability levels, genetic and non-genetic causes for the variation observed. Significant genetic variation for seed size, iron, zinc and protein content was reported in chickpea (Thavarajah et al., 2009 and Singh et al., 2014). Effect of genotype, geographical location, and temperature and soil factors on micronutrients and protein accumulation was reported in other pulse crop like lentil (Thavarajah et al., 2010 and Thavarajah et al., 2011). Chickpea may not be an exception to this and limited coherent studies on levels of micronutrient and protein content are available in Indian sub-continent conditions. Availability of such information can pave the way to design a sound breeding strategy for enhancing the nutrient load in chickpea. Thus, the present study is taken up with the objectives to determine the protein and micronutrient levels in different genotypes; to estimate the genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean for different traits and to identify suitable parents that can



prospect in evolving better genotypes with tailored traits.

## **Material and Methods**

Twenty seven high yielding and popular chickpea varieties of India were subjected to genetic variability for protein and micronutrient content *viz.*, iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu) and manganese (Mn). The selected varieties were grown in a randomized block design with three replications during *rabi* 2016-17 at RARS, Nandyal. The soil at RARS, Nandyal is a calcareous vertisol with P<sup>H</sup> 8.3. Soil nutrient status indicated that the soils are low in available nitrogen, medium in phosphorous and high in potassium availability. The micro nutrients iron and zinc are below critical level where as copper and manganese status is above critical level.

Matured dry seeds from each variety were harvested from each replication and powdered seed samples were utilized for estimation of protein and micro nutrients viz., iron, zinc, copper and manganese. Protein content was estimated by analyzing the nitrogen in seed samples using a single digest (sulfuric acid selenium digestion). Aliquots of digests were used to determine nitrogen using sodium hydroxide by Kjeldahl distillation method (Kjeldahl, 1883). Total nitrogen content in powdered seeds was multiplied by a factor 6.25 to arrive at seed protein content (%) (Jones, 1941). The micronutrient (iron, zinc copper and manganese) content of chickpea seeds were analyzed using Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) by measuring absorbance of the species at its resonance wavelengths. One gram of oven dried powdered seeds was digested with 10 ml of triacid mixture (HNO<sub>3</sub>: H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> : HCLO<sub>4</sub> @ 9:4:1). The volume of digested samples was made up to 100 ml. The filtered extract was used to measure the concentration of various elements by relative method using analytical grade solutions of elements of interest (Tandon, 1993). Genetic variability parameters viz., coefficients of variation, heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean were estimated according to Singh and Chaudhary (1977) whereas genetic divergence analysis was carried out as per Mahalanobis (1936) and Rao (1952).

## **Results and Discussion**

The seed protein and micro - nutrient values for iron, zinc, copper and manganese contents of 27 chickpea varieties were subjected to analysis of variance and it revealed (Table 1) highly significant differences for all the traits.

The range of protein content in 27 genotypes is quite high and varied from 15.6% (JG 36) to 23.3 % (CSJ 515). Considerably high protein content was recorded in CSJ 515 (23.3) and Virat (21.8). The protein content of currently available chickpea cultivars generally ranges between 20 and 22 %, while a wide range of variation, from 12 to 30 %, exists in chickpea germplasm (Sharma et al., 2013; Jadhav et al. 2015). Of late, there is an increasing awareness of the nutritive value and health benefits of chickpea. (Jukanti et al., 2012). The in vitro protein digestibility of chickpea seeds was found to be higher compared with those for pigeonpea, mungbean, urdbean and soybean (Chitra et al., 1995). Since there are limited breeding efforts in enhancing protein content in chickpea, identification of adapted chickpea lines with higher protein content will help in food fortification and also in utilizing promising lines in further breeding programmes. Two favourable genotypes Virat and CSJ 515 of the present study can be utilized in this direction.

Delivering micro nutrients through commonly eaten foods have greater role in correcting micro nutrient malnutrition than dietary supplementation. In addition to protein, chickpea is a good source of carbohydrates, dietary fibre, minerals (molybdenum, manganese, copper, phosphorus, iron and zinc) and vitamins (riboflavin, niacin, thiamin, folate and the vitamin A precursor beta-carotene) (Jukanti et al., 2012). The mineral nutrient composition of 27 varieties varied significantly for each of the four nutrients studied (Table 1). The variability of these genotypes for Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn ranged from 8.0 mg/ 100g (NBeG 119) to 14.7 mg/ 100g (JG 36); 3.7 mg/ 100g (Vishal) to 10.1 mg/ 100g (Virat); 1.5 mg/ 100g (JG 130, JG 315) to 7.4 mg/ 100g (Kripa) and 1.5 mg/100g (MNK 1) to 3.9 mg/100g (JG 63) respectively. These resulted are supported by findings of other researchers and in the literature, the concentration of micronutrients were reported to vary from 3 to 14.3 for Fe, 2 to 20 for Zn, 0.09 to 9.4 for Mn and 0.27 to 11.6 for Cu (Iqbal et al., 2006, Petterson et al., 1997). Among 27 genotypes, JG 36 (14.7) had higher Fe concentration followed by JG 26 (14.6) and NBeG 47 (14.6). Many other entries like GNG 1969 (13.8), JG 130 (13.7), Kripa (13.2), GNG 1958 (13.2), CSJ 515 (13.2) and eight other genotypes had on par Fe concentration with the best genotype JG 36. Genotype Virat had significantly higher concentration of Zn (10.1) in comparison with other genotypes tested. Kripa (7.4), a large seeded kabuli chickpea had higher concentration of Cu. JG



63 (3.9) and eleven other genotypes had recorded higher Mn concentration. Chickpea varieties in this study are worthy sources of protein, Fe and other micro nutrients .Virat had higher concentrations of protein, Zn and Mn while CSJ 515 had higher concentration of protein and Fe. Recent studies of other researchers (Thavarajah *et al.*, 2012 and Jayalakshmi *et al.*, 2018) have revealed better nutritive value of chickpea genotypes for protein and micronutrient content. Identification of chickpea genotypes with higher quality protein and trace elements will help in delivering better quality infant foods (Rincon *et al.*, 1998) and also will have implications in breeding quality chickpeas.

Extent of genetic variability and genetic diversity in the breeding material, and the expected progress through selection are the key to initiate planned breeding programmes aimed at improvement of protein and other quality traits under consideration. The genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were higher for Cu concentration and moderate to low for Fe, Zn, Mn and protein concentration (Table 2). Genotypic coefficient of variation along with heritability estimates would give a better idea of genetic gain though phenotypic selection (Burton, 1952). Characters having higher heritability estimates are less influenced by environment and they are under the influence of more number of fixable factors. Heritability (broad sense) was recorded higher for all the traits and the Cu and Zn concentrations topped among them followed by Fe, Mn and Protein. High heritability with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was noticed for Zn, Mn and Cu. This could be due to additive gene action and selection pressure could effectively be exerted on these traits for their improvement. High heritability with moderate genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for Fe and protein content revealed that non-additive gene action could also be governing these traits; hence, selection would be effective at later generations. These findings are in confirmation of the findings of Aliu et al., 2016; Ray et al., 2014 and Bueckert et al., 2011.

Genetic diversity as revealed by relative contribution of all characters was presented in (Table 3). Among protein and four micro -nutrients, Zn concentration contributed maximum towards genetic diversity of the genotypes followed by Cu and Mn. Tocher's method of genetic diversity studies grouped twenty seven genotypes into five clusters (Table 4). Cluster I was the largest and constituted by twenty one

genotypes followed by cluster III with three genotypes and clusters II, IV and V with one genotypes each (Table 4). Cluster means were found higher in Cluster IV for protein content, Zn and Mn; while for Fe, the mean was higher in Cluster III and Cluster II for Cu (Table 6). Maximum inter Cluster distance was observed between Cluster III and V (6.61) followed by V and IV (6.29) and Cluster IV and III (5.91) (Tables 5). The grouping pattern had clear demarcation for entries with high concentration of different micronutrients and protein. Similarly, the recent studies of Aliu et al. (2016) on genetic diversity in Kosovan chickpea genotypes for nutritive traits revealed a wide range of variation and the genotypes were grouped into four clusters. The present study in chickpea indicated substantial genetic variability for protein and nutrient contents and promising genotypes like Virat with higher protein and Zn concentration in Cluster IV, Kripa in Cluster V with higher copper concentration, while CSJ 515 having high concentration of protein and Fe was in Cluster III along with two other entries were found diverse. To hasten bio-fortification in chickpea, systematic hybridization followed by studies on combining ability should be initiated among these promising and diverse genotypes for genetic improvement of protein and micro nutrient.

### Acknowledgements

Authors are grateful to University Grants commission for providing financial assistance in the form of UGC Research Award 2016-18 to the first author for carrying out this research work.

### References

- Abbo, S., Gruask, M.A., Tzu, T. and Reifen, R. 2000. Genetic control of seed weight and calcium concentration in chickpea seed. *Plant Breed.*, 119:427-431.
- Aliu, S., Kaul, H. P., Rusinovci, I., Shala-Mayrhofer, V., Fetahu, S. and Zeka, D. 2016. Genetic diversity for some nutritive traits of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) from different regions in Kosova. *Turkish J. Field Crops.*, 21:156-161.
- Bueckert, R., Thavarajah, D., Thavarajah, P. and Pritchard, J. 2011. Phytic acid and mineral micronutrient in field grown chickpea (*Cicer* arietinum L.) cultivars from western Canada. *European Food Res. and Tech.*, 233:203-212.
- Burton, G.W. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proc. 6th Int. Grassland Cong., 1:277-283.

- Chitra, U., Vimala, V., Singh, U., Geervani, P. 1995. Variability in phytic acid content and protein digestibility of grain legumes. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr.*, **47**:163-172.
- Ereifez , K.I., Al Karaki, G.N. and Hammouri, M.K. 2001. Seed chemical composition of improved chickpea cultivars grown under semi arid mediterranean conditions. *Int.l J. Food prop.*, 4:239-246.
- FAO Stat., 2016. FAO statistical year book. Food and Agriculture organization of the United Nations. Available from: <u>http://www.faostat.fao.org</u>.
- Iqbal, A., Khalil, I.A. and Ateeq, N. 2006. Nutritional quality of important food legumes. *Food Chem.*, 97:331-335.
- Jadhav, A.A., Rayate, S.J., Mhase, L.B., Thudi, M., Chitikineni, A., Harser, P.N., Jadhav, A.S., Varsheney, R.K. and Kulwai, P.L. 2015. Markertrait association study for protein content in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). J. Genet., 94:279-286.
- Jayalakshmi, V., Trivikrama Reddy, A. and Nagamadhuri, K.V. 2018. Genetic diversity and variability for protein and micronutrients in advance breeding lines and chickpea varieties grown in Andhra Pradesh. *Legume Res.*, Print ISSN: 2550-5371/ Online: 0976 - 0571.
- Jones, D.B. 1941. Factors for converting percentages of nitrogen in foods and feeds into percentages of protein. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Cirular No. 83.
- Jukanti, A.K., Gaur, P.M, Gowda, C.L.L. and R. N. Chibbar. 2012. Nutritional quality and health benefits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): A review. British J.Nutri., 108: S11- S26.
- Kjeldahl, J. 1883. New method for the determination of nitrogen in organic substances. Zeitschrift für analytische Chemie., 22:366-383.
- Mahalanobis, PC. 1936. On the generalized distance in statistics. *Proc. National Acad. Sci., India* **2**: 49-55.
- Petterson, D.S., Sipsas, S. and Mackintosh, J.B. 1997. The chemical composition and nutritive value of Australian pulses, 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. *Grains research and development corporation, Kingston, Australia.*

- Ray, H., Bett, K., Tar'an, B., Vandenberg, A., Thavarajah, D. and Warkentin, T.D. 2014. Mineral micronutrient content of cultivars of field pea, chickpea, common bean and lentil grown in Saskatchewan, Canada. Crop Sci., 54:1698-1708.
- Rao, C.R. 1952. Advanced statistical methods in biometrical research. John Wiley and sons Inc. New York, pp. 236-272.
- Rincon, F., Martinez, B., Ibanez, M.V. 1998. Proximate composition and antinutritive substances in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) as affected by the biotype factor. J. Sci. Food Agric. 78:382-388.
- Sharma, S., Yadav, N., Singh, A. and Kumar, R. 2013. Nutritional and anti-nutritional profile of newly developed chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties. *Int. Food Res. J.*, 20: 805-810.
- Singh, R.K. and Chaudhary, B.D. 1977. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. *Kalyani Publishers*, New Delhi. P 252.
- Singh, T.P., Raiger, H.L., Jyoti Kumari, Atar singh. and Deshmukh, P.S. 2014. Evaluation of chickpea genotypes for variability in seed protein content and yield components under restricted soil moisture condition. *Indian J. Plant Physiol.*, 19:273–280.
- Tandon, H.L.S. 1993. Methods of analysis of soils, plants, waters and fertilizers. *Fertilizers development* and consultation organization, New Delhi, India. 144.
- Thavarajah, P., Thavarajah, D. and Vandenberg, A. 2009. Low phytic acid lentils (*Lens Culinaris L*): A potential solution for increased micronutrient bioavailability. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 57:9044-9049.
- Thavarajah, D., Thavarajah, P., See, C.T. and Vandenberg, A . 2010. Phytic acid and Fe and Zn concentration in lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.) seeds is influenced by temperature during seed filling period. *Food Chem.*, **122**:254-259.
- Thavarajah, Dil., Thavarajah, P., Asoka Wejesuriya., Michael Rutzke., Raymond, P., Glahn., Gerald, F., Combs, Jr. and Albert Vandenberg. 2011. The potential of lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.) as a whole food for increased selenium, iron, and zinc intake: preliminary results from a 3 year study. *Euphytica.*, 80:123–128, DOI 10.1007/s10681-011-0365-6.



- Thavarajah, D., Thavarajah, P. 2012. Evaluation of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) micronutrient composition: biofortification opportunities to combat global micronutrient malnutrition. *Food Res. Int.*, **49**:99-104.
- Wang, N., Hatcher, D.W., Tyler, R.T., Toews, R. and Gawalko, E.J. 2010. Effect of cooking on the composition of beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L) and chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum* L) Food Res. Int., 43:589-594.



S No	Construng	Protein	Fe	Zn	Cu	Mn	
<b>5.</b> NO	Genotype	(%)	(mg / 100 g)				
1	Kripa	18.8	13.2	7.4	7.4	2.3	
2	JG 14	19.5	11.9	5.6	2.8	2.5	
3	JG 36	15.6	14.7	7.3	1.9	3.0	
4	JAKI 9218	17.6	11.9	7.2	2.6	2.5	
5	JG 315	18.4	10.4	7.5	1.5	3.2	
6	KAK 2	20.0	11.8	6.9	1.6	2.0	
7	JG 16	17.0	11.5	6.7	1.7	3.1	
8	JG 130	16.7	13.7	5.5	1.5	3.2	
9	VIJAY	16.0	11.5	4.9	2.8	2.8	
10	VISHAL	19.7	11.6	3.7	1.9	1.8	
11	DIGVIJAY	16.5	11.2	5.4	2.2	2.4	
12	JG 11	20.0	12.4	5.2	2.2	2.4	
13	VIHAR	19.1	11.3	7.7	3.0	3.0	
14	MNK 1	20.0	9.6	5.4	2.6	1.5	
15	JG 322	17.3	10.0	7.1	2.7	3.1	
16	GNG 1958	15.9	13.2	7.2	2.4	3.6	
17	JG 63	18.0	12.0	7.4	3.1	3.9	
18	NBeG 3	18.5	9.2	6.5	2.7	3.4	
19	GNG 1581	19.7	9.3	7.5	2.4	2.1	
20	CSJ 515	23.3	13.2	4.4	1.9	2.3	
21	JG 6	17.6	9.7	6.5	2.6	3.4	
22	VIRAT	21.8	11.4	10.1	2.7	2.9	
23	NBeG 119	18.8	8.0	6.0	2.2	2.0	
24	NBeG 47	18.3	14.6	7.6	2.5	3.4	
25	NBeG 49	16.7	13.2	6.5	3.2	3.2	
26	GNG 1969	19.1	13.8	7.7	2.6	2.1	
27	JG 26	19.9	14.6	7.3	2.0	2.7	
Genera	l Mean	18.5	11.8	6.6	2.5	2.7	
S.Em±		1.1	1.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	
C.D @	5 %	3.1	3.1	1.0	0.9	1.0	
C.V. %		10.3	15.9	9.1	21.9	22.0	

# Table 1. Protein and micronutrient content in 27 chickpea genotypes



S. No	Chanastana	Moon	Range	GCV	PCV	Heritability	GA as % of
	Characters	Mean		(%)	(%)	(%)	mean
1	Protein (%)	18.51	15.6 -23.3	7.69	9.71	62.0	12.56
2	Fe	11.80	8.0 - 14.7	12.01	15.12	63.0	19.66
3	Zn	6.60	3.7 - 10.1	19.03	19.74	92.0	37.81
4	Cu	2.54	1.5 - 7.4	40.99	42.89	91.0	80.68
5	Mn	2.73	1.5 - 3.9	18.20	22.20	67.0	30.73

## Table 2. Genetic variability for protein and micronutrient content in 27 chickpea genotypes

Table 3. Relative contribution of protein and micronutrient content for genetic diversity in 27 chickpea genotypes

Character	<b>Times ranked First</b>	<b>Contribution (%)</b>
Protein	19	5.41
Fe	51	14.53
Zn	164	46.72
Cu	64	18.23
Mn	53	15.10

## Table 4. Genetic diversity in 27 genotypes based on protein and micronutrient content

Cluster Number	Number genotypes	of	Genotype(s)
			JG 14, JG 36, JAKI 9218, JG 315, KAK 2, JG 16, DIG VIJAY, JG 11, VIHAR,
I	21		MNK 1, JG 322, GNG 1958, JG 63, NBeG 3, GNG 1581, JG 6, NBeG 119, NBeG
			47, NBEG 49, GNG 1969, JG 26
II	1		VIJAY
III	3		VISHAL, CSJ 515, JG 130
IV	1		VIRAT
V	1		Kripa



Cluster	I	Π	III	IV	V	
Ι	1.93	2.61	3.11	3.79	5.62	
II		0	2.25	5.73	5.49	
III			2.2	5.91	6.61	
IV				0	6.29	
V					0	

Table 5. Average inter and intra cluster distances in 27 chickpea genotypes

Table 6. (	Cluster	means for	protein	and	micronutrient	content	in 27	chickpea	genotype	es
			-					_		

Cluster		Fe	Zn	Cu	Mn
Number	Protein (%)	(mg/ 100 g)	(mg/ 100 g)	(mg/ 100 g)	(mg/100 g)
Ι	18.27	11.62	6.78	2.4	2.79
II	16.04	11.53	4.87	2.83	2.83
III	19.89	12.82	4.54	1.76	2.42
IV	21.82	11.37	10.1	2.67	2.93
V	18.84	13.2	7.37	7.43	2.27