# Genetic variability analysis in $F_2/F_3$ population derived through inter-specific hybridization in oilseed *Brassica*

### Mc Gupta, Himadri Shekhar Roy and Sudhir Singh Bhadauria



ISSN: 0975-928X

Volume: 10

Number:3

EJPB (2019) 10(3):1275-1282

DOI:10.5958/0975-928X.2019.00163.7

https://ejplantbreeding.org



Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 10 (3): 1275 - 1282(Sep 2019) ISSN 0975-928X

#### Research Article

## Genetic variability analysis in $F_2/F_3$ population derived through interspecific hybridization in oilseed Brassica

#### Mc Gupta<sup>1\*</sup>, Himadri Shekhar Roy<sup>2</sup> and Sudhir Singh Bhadauria<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Breeding & Genetics, College of Agriculture, Gwalior-474002 (MP), India
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Statistical Genetics, IASRI, Library Avenue, Pusa, New Delhi-110012, India
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Gwalior-474002 (MP), India
- \*E-Mail: mg41@rediffmail.com

(Received: 06 May 2019; Revised: 22 Jun 2019; Accepted: 31 Aug 2019)

#### Abstract

The experiment was carried out to estimate genetic variability among thirty-five genotypes of oilseed Brassica, including 20  $F_2s/F_3$  populations derived through interspecific hybridization and 15 parents, during rabi 2015-16 and 2016-17. Pooled analysis showed that considerable variability was observed among  $F_2s/F_3s$  and parents for all the fourteen characters. Variation due to "location x treatment" was significant only for secondary branches & oil content. The estimates of GCV and PCV were comparatively higher for plant height, primary & secondary branches/plant, number of siliquae per plant, siliqua length, seeds per siliqua, test weight, seed yield per plant and oil yield per plant. High estimates of heritability were recorded for all the characters. The estimates of genetic advance (GA) were also recorded high for number of siliquae per plant and plant height. Number of siliquae per plant showed high heritability (92.4 %) together with high genetic advance (219.1%) and genetic advance as percentage of mean (78.8). Traits like siliquae per plant and plant height might be considered as high potential traits for effective selection for further crop improvement in Brassica spp.

#### Keywords

Brassica species, genetic variability, GCV, PCV, heritability

#### Introduction

India's edible oil consumption has grown at a 5% Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) over the past decade to reach 23.1 million tonnes in 2017 as compared to 13.5 million tonnes in 2007. Rising demand and stagnant domestic vegetable oil supply will push India's vegetable oil imports to over 25 million tonnes by 2030. Among food commodities, edible oil import is the largest with a foreign exchange outgo of a humongous \$11 Billion (₹ 77,000 crore) towards import of about 15 million tonnes of various types of oils. Import is inevitable as consumption continues to far outstrip domestic production. Therefore, something somewhat disruptive, should be attempted to bridge gap between demand and supply of edible oil. Roughly, about 15 per cent of our annual imports can be comfortably reduced by way of developing high yielding varieties. The edible oil security of the country will have to pivot on rapeseed mustard because of their high oil content (37-42%) and preference as a cooking medium across eastern and northern India (Report: Stagnant Supply To Push India's Vegetable Oil Imports: June 26, 2018).

Rapeseed-mustard is an important edible oilseed crop in India after soybean. It is grown over about 7.0 million ha with average productivity of about 10 q/ha. Most of rapeseed-mustard cultivars grown

in India have very narrow genetic base and limits their further crop improvement. The improvement of this crop depends entirely on the extent of genetic variability existing in the characters and the magnitude of heritability. Thus, keeping this in view, the present research work was designed to create genetic variability through interspecific hybridization and determine the nature and extent of genetic diversity using ANOVA and estimates of variability parameters *viz.* GCV, PCV, GA, GAM and heritability among thirty-five genotypes.

#### **Material and Methods**

The experimental material was comprised of 20 segregating populations  $(F_2s / F_3s)$  and 15 parents (Nine B. juncea lines, two B. napus lines, one line each of B. rapa var toria, B. rapa var. yellow sarson, B. carinata and B. nigra) as presented in Table 1. These genotypes represented a very wide range of diversity available in the respective species. The segregating populations were derived through interspecific hybridization during rabi 2013-14. F<sub>1</sub>s were planted during 2014-15 and Colchicine treatment was given to sterile interspecific F<sub>1</sub>s. The F<sub>1</sub>s were selfed to develop F<sub>2</sub> populations during rabi 2014-15. Likewise, F<sub>2</sub>s were selfed to develop F<sub>3</sub>s population in subsequent year. Twenty F<sub>2</sub>s/F<sub>3</sub>s population along with fifteen parents were evaluated for two consecutive years,



rabi 2015-16 and 2016-17 at research field, College of Agriculture Gwalior (MP) India. experiments were laid out in randomized block design with two replications at spacing of 45 x 15 cm in paired rows. Ten plants from parent and 40 plants from F<sub>2</sub>s/ F<sub>3</sub>s were selected randomly for recording of various observations. Data for different traits viz. days to 50% flowering (DF), plant height (PH), nos. of primary branches per plant (PB), nos. of secondary branches per plant (SB), main shoot length (MSL), siliquae on main shoot (SOMS), siliquae per plant (SPP), siliqua length (SL), seeds per siliqua (SPS), test weight (TW), days to maturity (DM), seed yield per plant (SYPP), oil content (OC) and oil yield per plant (OYPP) were recorded from randomly selected plants. Pooled estimations of both years were considered for drawing inference.

Mean values of each parent and  $F_2/F_3$  population were used for statistical analysis. All 35 genotypes were grown in two consecutive years rabi 2015-16 and 2016-17 and data were combined separately over years to get information on Genotype  $\times$  Environment (G $\times$ E) interaction. The analysis of variance for pooled data was done utilizing the Bartlets method (Panse and Sukhatme, 1967). The mean, range components of variance, genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation and heritability in broad sense, genetic advance and expected genetic advance were calculated as per procedure described by Singh and Choudhary (1985).

#### **Results and Discussion**

Pooled analysis showed significant variation for all 14 characters. The variation due to "location x treatment" was non-significant for characters *viz.* days to 50% flowering, plant height, primary branch, main shoot length, siliquae on main shoot, siliquae per plant, siliqua length, seeds per siliqua, test weight, seed yield per plant and oil yield per plant. Characters like nos. of secondary branches & oil content exhibited significant variation at 5% level of probability while days to maturity showed significant variation at 1% level of probability (Table 2).

The days to 50% flowering (DF) ranged from 32.0 (V32) to 55.0 (V34) with the mean value of 43.4. Estimates of GCV (10.60) & PCV (11.43) were moderate, heritability (86%) & GAM (20.3) were high and GA (8.8) was low for this trait. Range and mean of plant height (PH) were 77.9 (V32) - 222.8 (V5) and 175.3, respectively. The estimates of GCV (21.13), PCV (21.99), heritability (92.4), GA (73.3) and GAM (41.8) were high. Nos. of primary branches (PB) ranged from 3.5 (V30) to 10.0 (V11, V34) with the mean value of 5.5. While estimates

of GCV, PCV, heritability, GA and GAM were 25.87 (high), 28.57 (high), 82.0% (high), 2.7 (low) and 48.5 (high), respectively. Nos. of secondary branches per plant (SB) ranged from 2.5 (V33) to 24.2 V34) with the mean value of 9.6. The variability parameters viz. GCV, PCV, heritability and GAM were recorded very high i.e. 43.57, 45.12, 93.3% and 87, respectively. While the value of GA was found low 8.3 for this trait. The range of main shoot length (MSL) was recorded from 43.7 (V11) to 79.5 (V5) with the mean value of 65.3. The estimates of GCV, PCV, heritability, GA and GAM were found to be 14.13 (moderate), 16.66 (moderate), 72% (high), 16.1 (moderate) and 24.7 (high), respectively. Likewise, siliquae on main shoot (SOMS) varied from 35.3 (V11) to 72.8 (V35) with the mean value of 49.7. The estimates of GCV, PCV and GA were moderate with the values of 17.43, 19.72 and 15.8, respectively. While the estimates for heritability (78%) and GAM (31.8) were recorded high. Nos of siliquae per plant (SPP) ranged from 108.5 (V19) to 622.7 (V20) with mean of 278.2. Very high estimates of GCV (39.8), PCV (41.4), heritability (92.4), GA (219.8) and GAM (78.8) were recorded for this trait. Range of siliqua length (SL) was 1.3 cm (V35) to 7.1 cm (V11) and mean of character was 5.04 cm. Values of GCV, PCV, heritability and GAM were very high i.e. 20.40, 21.66, 88.7% and 39.5 respectively. While estimate of genetic advance was low 2.0. Range of seeds per siliqua varied from 4.3 (V35) to 37.8 (V19) with the mean value of 17.3. Estimates of GCV, PCV, heritability and GAM were recorded very high i.e. 44.9, 45.7, 96% and 90.7, respectively while GA was moderate (15.7). Test weight (TW) ranged from 1.2 g to 7.1 g with average value of 4.9 g. Estimates of GCV, PCV, heritability and GAM were high i.e. 23.4, 24.1, 94.1% and 46.5, respectively. While value of GA was low 2.3. Range and mean of days to maturity (DM) were 122.3 (V32) – 150.0 (V34) and 135.8 days, respectively. The values of GCV (2.94), PCV (3.08), GA (7.8) and GAM (5.8) were low for DM. While heritability of trait was very high (90.9%). Seed yield per plant (SYPP) ranged from 6.8 g (V30) to 27.4 g (V20) with mean seed yield plant of 13.8 g. The estimates of GCV (34.46), PCV (36.28), heritability (90.2%) and GAM (67.4) were recorded very high for SYPP. While estimate for genetic advance was low (9.3) for this trait. Range of oil content (OC) varied from 33.3% (V18) to 45.0% (V11) with mean OC of 39.2%. Variability parameters viz. GCP, PCV, heritability, GA and GAM were observed to be 6.3 (low), 6.55 (low), 92.4% (high), 4.9 (low) and 12.5 (moderate), respectively for OC. Range and mean of oil yield per plant (OYPP) was 2.6 g (V30) to 10.8 g (V20) and 5.4 g, respectively. Variability parameters viz. GCV, PCV, heritability and GAM



were observed very high i.e. 34.48, 36.29, 90.3% and 67.4, respectively. While estimate of GA was observed very low 3.6 (Table 3).

The improvement in a character depends entirely on the extent of genetic variability and the magnitude of heritability existing in the character. The knowledge of the estimates of variability in the source population in respect of yield and its heritable components is a pre-requisite for any breeding programme aimed at improving the yield and other characters. The improvement of a character by selection has no relevance without the knowledge of heritability of a character. Thus, it is imperative to have information on both phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation to get an idea about the heritability of the character. The information on genotypic and coefficient of variation as well as heritability could be an indicator of the genetic advance possible by selection for the character.

Analysis of variance for all fourteen characters showed significant variation for all the characters under study. However, variation due to "location x treatment" was significant only for secondary branches & oil content at 5% and for days to maturity at 1% level of probability, respectively. For rest of characters the variation was non-significant (Table 2).

The result indicated the existence of inherent variability among the population with possibility of high potential for selection. The variability for yield and related traits was reported by Pant and Singh (2001), Vijay Kumar et al. (2001), Mahla et al. (2003), Poonam and Singh (2004), Kumar and Mishra (2006), Afrin et al. (2011), Ali et al. (2013), Amsalu et al. (2017) and Sandhu et al. (2017) in different Brassica species. Abebe (2006) also observed existence of large amount of genetic variability for various agro-morphological characters in Ethiopian mustard. Interspecific hybridization generated considerable variability in the studied population. The variability was observed among the F<sub>2</sub>s/F<sub>3</sub>s population and between population & parents as well for all the fourteen characters. The results were in close agreement with the Ara et al. (2013) who also reported considerable variability among the genotypes and F<sub>2</sub> populations generated through inter-varietal crosses. Shen et al. (2002) observed significant differences between F<sub>1</sub>s and their parents for yield per plant. Katiyar et al. (2004) also reported significant variability among parents and crosses which indicated the presence of adequate genetic variance in inter-varietal cross combinations of Brassica campestris.

Estimates of genetic variability revealed that GCV and PCV were comparatively higher for plant height, primary & secondary branches/plant, number of siliquae per plant, siliqua length, seeds per siliqua, test weight, seed yield per plant and oil yield per plant (Table 3). Similar findings were reported by Rai et al., (2005), Abebe (2006), and Singh et al., (2013). The estimates of GCV & PCV were moderate for days to 50% flowering, main shoot length and numbers of siliquae on main For oil content the estimates of both parameters viz. GCV and PCV were low which indicated that this character was highly influenced environmental factors. The phenotypic coefficient of variation was found to be greater than genotypic coefficient of variation for all the characters indicating some degree of environmental influence on the traits. Narrow differences between PCV and GCV suggested negligible influence of extraneous factors which was recorded for days to 50% flowering, plant height, seeds per siliqua, test weight, days to maturity and oil content. It indicated the influence of environment for all the traits under study. Similar results were reported by Ghosh and Gulati (2001), Kumar and Mishra (2006) and Ara et al. (2013).

The coefficient of variation indicates only the extent of variability present for different characters, it does not indicate any idea about heritable portion. It could be known through heritability estimates for different characters. It separates the environmental influence from the total variability and indicates the accuracy with which a genotype can be identified by its phenotypic performance, thus making the selection more effective. High estimates of heritability were recorded for all the characters. High heritability for various yield and component traits was reported by Khulbe et al. (2000), Ghosh and Gulati (2001), Pant and Singh (2001), Abebe (2006), Upadhyay and Kumar (2009), Afrin et al. (2011), Yadav et al. (2012) and Sandhu et al. (2017). The highest broad sense heritability for thousand seed weight followed by days to flowering, linolenic acid, days to maturity, plant height, palmitic acid, linoleic acid, oil content, oil yield, seed yield per plot and primary branches was also recorded by Amsalu et al. (2017). Selection of character having high heritability is fairly easy and effective as selected character will be transmitted to its progeny. This is because there would be a close correspondence between genotype and phenotype due to a relatively similar contribution of the environment to the genotype.

High estimates of genetic advance (GA) was observed for number of siliquae per plant and plant height. Genetic advance was moderate for main



shoot length, siliquae on main shoot and number of seeds per siliqua. Katiyar *et al.* (2004) reported high heritability for plant height and number of primary branches per plant. Poonam and Singh (2004) reported highest genetic advance in 1000 seed weight followed by seed yield per plot, days to 50 per cent flowering and siliquae per plant.

High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean (GAM) was observed for numbers of seeds per siliqua followed by secondary branches per plant, number of siliquae per plant, oil yield per plant, seed yield per plant, primary branches, test weight, plant height and length of siliqua. This implied that, these traits were less influenced by environmental factors which in turn indicated that these traits were mostly controlled by additive and /or additive × additive gene interactions and was expected to respond to direct selection for improvement. These observations were in accordance with the results of Chowdhary and Goswami (1991), Khulbe et al. (2000) and Jaylala Mahto (2001). Mahla et al. (2003) also observed high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean for days to flowering followed by 1000 seed weight, days to maturity and plant height.

Heritability estimates along with genetic advance would be more useful in predicting yield under phenotypic selection than heritability estimates alone as suggested by Johnson et al. (1955). The traits such as days to 50% flowering, primary branches, secondary branches, length of siliqua, test weight, seed yield per plant, oil content and oil yield per plant, in which high heritability was accompanied by low genetic advance, indicated the effect of non- additive gene action and hence heterosis breeding were not rewarding for these traits. These results are in agreement with previous finding of Pant and Singh (2001). Similarly, Ara et al. (2013) also recorded high heritability with low genetic advance and high genetic advance in percentage of mean in eight F2 populations generated through inter-varietal crosses of Brassica rapa for the number of branches per plant, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity and length of siliqua.

Number of siliquae per plant showed high heritability (92.4 %) together with high genetic advance (219.1%) and genetic advance in percentage of mean (78.8). Similarly, high estimates of these parameters *viz.*, heritability (92.4%), genetic advance (73.33%) and genetic advance in percentage mean (41.8) were recorded in plant height. As these traits possessed high genetic advance coupled with high heritability and high genetic advance in percentage of mean, these

might be considered as high potential traits for effective selection for further crop improvement. Mahla *et al.* (2003) also observed high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean for days to flowering followed by 1000 seed weight, days to maturity and plant height.

#### Acknowledgement

The support from the College of Agriculture Gwalior (MP) for carrying out this study and IASRI for statistical analysis is duly acknowledged.

#### References

- Abebe D (2006). Genetic Variability and Association Among Seed Yield and Yield Related Traits in Ethiopian mustard (*Brassica carinata* A. Braun) at Kulumsa, Arsi. An M.Sc. Thesis Presented to the School of Graduate Studies of Alemaya University. 75
- Afrin, K.S., S.R. Bhuiyan and A. Rahim. 2011.
  Assessment of genetic variation among advanced lines of *Brassica napus* L. Dept. of Plant Breed. Sher-e-Bangla Agri. Uni. Dhaka. P 201-205.
- Ali\* Yasir, Farhatullah, Hidayatur Rahman, Adnan Nasim, Syed Muhammad Azam and Amanullah Khan (2013). Heritability and correlation analysis for morphological and biochemical traits in *Brassica carinata*. *Sarhad J. Agric*. Vol. 29, no.3.
- Amsalu Fekadu, Sentayehu Alamerew, Bulecha Woyessa (2017). Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance, genetic advance as percent mean and character association of Ethiopian mustard (*Brasica carinata* A. Braun) land races. *International Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science.* **4**(1), pp. 166-177
- Ara S., S. Afroz, M. S. Noman\* M. S. R. Bhuiyan and M. I. K. Zia (2013). Variability, Correlation and Path Analysis in F2 Progenies of Inter-Varietal Crosses of *Brassica rapa. J. Environ.* Sci. & Natural Resources, 6(1): 217 – 220.
- Chowdhary, P.R and Goswami, G.D., 1991, Genetic variability studies in Indian Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern and Coss). *Environ. Ecol.*, 9: 1003-1006.
- Ghosh, S.K. and Gulati, S.C. (2001). Genetic variability and association of yield components in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*). Crop Research Hisar. 21(3): 345-349.
- Jaylala Mahto, 2001, Variability studies of different traits in *B. juncea* L. in north-south and east-west sowing direction in acidic soils of high attitude. *Cruciferae Newsl.*, **23**: 69-70.
- Johnson H.W.; Robinson H.F. and Comstock R.E. (1955). Estimation of genetic and



- environmental variability in soybean. *Journal of Agronomy*. **47**: 314-318.
- Katiyar, R. K., R. Chamola, H. B. Singh and S. Tickoo. 2004. Heterosis and combining ability analysis for seed yield in yellow sarson. (*Brassica campestris*). *Brassica*. 6 (1/2): Pp. 23-28.
- Khulbe, R.K., Pant, D.P. and Naveen Saxena, 2000, Variability, heritability and genetic advance in Indian mustard (*Brasisca juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss). Crop Res., Hisar, 20(3): 551-552.
- Kumar, S. and Mishra, M.N., 2006, Genetic variation and association analysis in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czem and Coss) and *Brassica campestris* L. variety toria. *Crop Res.*, 31(3): 391-393.
- Mahla H R, Jambhulkar S J, Yadav D K and Sharma R. 2003. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern and Coss.]. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding* 63: 171–2.
- Panse and Sukhatme (1967). Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research. New Delhi. 357 p.
- Pant, S.C. and Singh, 2001, Genetic variability in Indian mustard, *Agric. Sci. Digest*, **21**(1): 28-30.
- Poonam, S. and Singh D.N. (2004). Path coefficient analysis in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *J. Res. Bisra Agric. Uni. Ranchi.* **16** (2): 293-295.
- Rai, S. K., Verma, A., and Pandey, D. D., (2005). Genetic variability and character association analysis in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss.). *Annals of Agri. Bio. Res.* 10(1):29-34.

- Sandhu Rubby, S.K. Rai, Richa Bharti, Amardeep Kour, S.K. Gupta and Ajay Verma 2017. Studies on genetic diversity among various genotypes of *Brassica napus* L. Using Morphological Markers. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci* (2017) **6**(7): 469-480
- Shen, J. X., T. D. Fu, G. S. Yang, J. X. Shen, T. D. Fu and G. S. Yang. 2002. Heterosis of double low self-incompatibility in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus L.*). Agril. Sci. China 7(1): 732-737.
- Singh, R.K. and Chaudhary, B.D. (1985) Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi. 315 p.
- Singh Amit, Avtar Ram, Singh Dhiraj, Sangwan, O., and Balyan, P. (2013). Genetic variability, character association and path analysis for seed yield and component traits under two environments in Indian mustard. *Journal of Oilseeds Brassica.*, 4(1):43-48.
- Upadhyay, D. K., and Kumar, K., (2009). Analysis of heritability and genetic advance in relation to yield and its components in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern and Coss.) under normal and late sown conditions. *International Journal of Plant Sciences.*, **4**(1):12-14.
- Vijay Kumar, C. H. M., Arunachalam. V., and Chakrabarty S. K. (2001). Genetic variability for plant type traits in Brassica species. *Journal Oilseeds Research*, **18** (2001): 161-163.
- Yadav, R. K., Abhinav Chakravarty., Narendra Kumar., Yadav, J. R., and Ram Krishna. (2012). Genetic variability and component analysis of some metric traits under sodic soil condition in Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern and Coss.]. Progressive Agric. 12(2):396-401.



Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 10 (3): 1275 - 1282(Sep 2019) ISSN 0975-928X

Table 1. List of  $F_2s/\,F_3$  population and parents used in research experiment

Genotype	Pedigree	Genomic constitution
V1	NGM-43 X PT-303	B. juncea x B. rapa var toria
V2	NGM-17 X PT-303	B. juncea x B. rapa var toria
V3	KM-11 X T-42	B. juncea x B. rapa var yellow sarson
V4	NGM-6 X T-42	B. juncea x B. rapa var yellow sarson
V5	NGM-17 X T-42	B. juncea x B. rapa var yellow sarson
V6	PL-58 X PT-303	B. juncea x B. rapa var toria
V7	PT-303 X GPM-O-5	B. rapa var toria x B. juncea
V8	(PT-303XGPM-O-5) X GPM-O-5	(B. rapa var toria x B. juncea) x B. juncea
V9	PT303 X GPM-O-5	B. rapa var toria x B. juncea
V10	T-42 X GPM-O-58	B. rapa var yellow sarson x B. juncea
V11	T-42 X NGM-17	B. rapa var yellow sarson x B. juncea
V12	PT-303 X B. nigra	B. rapa var toria x B. nigra
V13	PL-6 X BN-11	B. juncea x B. napus
V14	PL-6 X BN-10	B. juncea x B. napus
V15	PL-58 X BN-10	B. juncea x B. napus
V16	PL-58 X BN-11	B. juncea x B. napus
V17	BN-11 X PL-6	B. napus x B. juncea
V18	KM-11 X CRP-09	B. juncea x B. carinata
V19	T-42 X PL-58	B. rapa var yellow sarson x B. juncea
V20	GPM-O-1 X PT-303	B. juncea x B. rapa var toria
V21	NGM-43	B. juncea
V22	NGM-17	B. juncea
V23	KM-11	B. juncea
V24	NGM-6	B. juncea
V25	PL-58	B. juncea
V26	GPM-O-5	B. juncea
V27	GPM-O-58	B. juncea
V28	PL-6	B. juncea
V29	GPM-O-1	B. juncea
V30	BN-10	B. napus
V31	BN-11	B. napus
V32	PT-303	B. rapa var toria
V33	T-42	B. rapa var yellow sarson
V34	CRP-09	B. carinata
V35	Banarasi Rai	B. nigra

Table 2. Pooled analysis of variance for 14 characters in Brassica species during rabi 2015-16 and 2016-17.

Source	Degree of freedom	Days 50% to flowering	Plant height	Primary Branches	Secondary branches	Main shoot length	Siliquae on main shoot	Siliquae per plant	Length of siliqua	Seeds per siliqua	Test weight	Days to maturity	Yield per plant	Oil content	Oil yield
Year	1	23.22	1.00	0.18	1.61	19.94	91.2	2826.0	0.11	19.32	0.0007	825.7	22.9	19.8	1.74
Replication	1	24.87	995.0	0.86	0.35	141.06	46.87	2169.0	0.03	4.8	0.80	93.0	0.71	1.14	0.01
Treatment	34	86.96 **	5586.3 **	8.44 **	72.48 **	355.8 **	317.5	49527.6 **	4.2 **	243.6	5.3 **	70.3 **	93.4	25.3	14.4
YXT	34	2.38 NS	96.87 NS	0.24 NS	1.97 *	15.07 NS	16.81 NS	574.7 NS	0.04 NS	3.1 NS	0.1 NS	6.6 **	3.04 NS	0.99 *	0.55 NS
Error	68	3.47	113.7	0.45	1.27	331.9	21.0	1000.2	0.13	2.3	0.08	1.6	2.5	0.50	0.37
SEm ±	-	1.317	7.54	0.47	0.8	4.1	3.2	22.36	0.26	1.1	0.2	0.89	1.11	0.50	0.43
CV (%)	-	4.29	6.1	12.1	11.7	8.8	9.2	11.4	7.3	8.8	5.9	0.9	11.4	1.81	11.31

<sup>\*,\*\*</sup> Significant at 5% and 1% level.



Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 10 (3): 1275 - 1282(Sep 2019) ISSN 0975-928X

Table 3. Estimates of variability parameters for different yield & its contributing traits in 20 F<sub>2</sub>s/F<sub>3</sub>s and 15 parents of Brassica species under pooled analysis

Characters	Range	Mean	GCV	PCV	Heritability	GA	GAM
Days to 50% flowering	32.0 – 55.0	43.4	10.60	11.43	85.9	8.8	20.3
Plant height (cm)	77.9 - 222.8	175.3	21.13	21.99	92.4	73.3	41.8
No of primary branches	3.5 - 10.0	5.5	25.87	28.57	82.0	2.7	48.5
No of secondary branches	2.5 - 24.2	9.6	43.57	45.12	93.3	8.3	87.0
Main shoot length (cm)	43.7 - 79.5	65.3	14.13	16.66	72.0	16.1	24.7
No of siliquae on main shoot	35.3 - 72.8	49.7	17.43	19.72	78.1	15.8	31.8
No of siliquae per plant	108.5 - 622.7	278.2	39.77	41.36	92.4	219.1	78.8
Length of siliqua (cm)	1.3 - 7.1	5.04	20.40	21.66	88.7	2.0	39.5
No of seeds per siliqua	4.3 - 37.8	17.3	44.89	45.74	96.3	15.7	90.7
Test weight (g)	1.2 - 7.1	4.9	23.4	24.1	94.1	2.3	46.5
Days to maturity	$122.\ 3 - 150.0$	135.8	2.94	3.08	90.9	7.8	5.8
Yield per plant (g)	6.8 - 27.4	13.8	34.46	36.28	90.2	9.3	67.4
Oil content (%)	33.3 - 45.0	39.2	6.30	6.55	92.4	4.9	12.5
Oil yield per plant (g)	2.6 - 10.8	5.4	34.48	36.29	90.3	3.6	67.4

