# **Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding**

### **Research Article**



Cross-species amplification and genetic variation among blackgram genotypes using SSR markers developed from mungbean DNA sequence scaffolds harbouring putative resistance genes

### Avi Raizada<sup>1,2</sup> and Souframanien Jegadeesan<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nuclear Agriculture and Biotechnology Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Trombay, Mumbai-400085, India <sup>2</sup>Homi Bhabha National Institute, Training School Complex, Anushaktinagar, Mumbai-400094, India \***E-Mail**: souf@barc.gov.in

#### Abstract

The cross species amplification of 97 mungbean derived resistance gene-SSR markers were investigated for diversity analysis in a set of 44 blackgram genotypes. A total of 68(70%) SSR markers showed amplification in blackgram. Our of 68 markers, thirty randomly selected markers were used to study the genetic variation among 44 blackgram genotypes varying for yellow mosaic disease (YMD) and powdery mildew disease (PMD) reaction. Thirty SSR primers collectively amplified 90 alleles in blackgram with an average of three alleles/locus. The polymorphic information content (PIC) of the SSR markers ranged from 0 to 0.86 with an average of 0.43. Cluster analysis based on UPGMA neighbour-joining method grouped the 44 genotypes into seven clusters. The genotypes NDU-1 and PU-19 were observed to be highly dissimilar with similarity coefficient of 0.27 in comparison to other genotypes. YMD and PMD resistant and susceptible genotypes could be differentiated by three (MRGSSR 12, MRGSSR 56, MRGSSR 77) and four SSR markers (MRGSSR12, MRGSSR 32, MRGSSR56 and MRGSSR65), respectively. Two of these markers *viz.*, MRGSSR12 and MRGSSR56 were mutually effective in differentiating YMD and PMD resistant genotypes. These were located in mungbean scaffolds JJMO01002369 and JJMO01001477 and exhibited homology with TMV resistance protein N and DNA damage-repair/toleration protein DRT100, respectively.

**Keywords:** Blackgram, Cross species amplification, Resistance genes, Genomic-SSR, Polymerase chain reaction, Diversity analysis.

#### INTRODUCTION

Blackgram, commonly known as urdbean is grown in India for its protein rich seeds. It is a self-pollinating, annual diploid (2n = 2x = 22) crop with a genome size of approximately 574 Mbp (Arumuganathan and Earle, 1991). The major yield-limiting factors in blackgram are various biotic (viruses, fungi, bacterial pathogens, and insects) and abiotic (salinity, drought, etc.) stresses (Souframanien *et al.*, 2017). Among the biotic constraints, yellow mosaic virus disease (YMD) transmitted by white fly and powdery mildew disease (PMD) caused by *Erysiphe polygoni* are major threats causing yield losses upto 85% (Varma and Malathi, 2003) and 40-90% (Channaveeresh *et al.*, 2014), respectively. Both YMD and PMD resistance in blackgram were reported to be under the control of single recessive gene (Reddy and Singh, 1995; Singh *et al.*, 1998; Kaushal and Singh, 1989).The disease screening becomes complicated due to rapid evolution of yellow mosaic viruses leading to emergence of new strains with wider host range and difficulties in screening breeding population for powdery mildew disease; especially when weather conditions are unfavourable for strong fungal growth and hot spots of natural epidemics are not always available (Channaveeresh *et al.*, 2014).Therefore, development of elite cultivars with durable resistance requires pyramiding of resistance genes from several sources. This necessitates development of molecular markers for as many resistance genes as possible for their reliable introgression and marker assisted selection.

During the course of evolution, plants have developed complex defense mechanisms to counteract pathogens (Staskawicz et al., 1995) through PAMP (Pathogen associated molecular pattern) Triggered Immunity (PTI) and/or Effector Triggered Immunity (ETI). In ETI, resistance (R) genes products recognize products of avirulence genes of the pathogens (Scofield et al., 1996) and evoke defense responses such as hypersensitive reaction, strengthening of the cell wall, phytoalexin production etc. (Dangl et al., 1996). These R genes are classified into four structurally distinct classes based on protein domains they encode (Ellis et al., 2000). Molecular characterization of these R genes reveal their highly conserved nature among plant species and presence of conserved domains/motifs such as nucleotide binding sites (NBSs), leucine-rich repeats (LRRs), transmembrane domains (TMs) and Toll/ interleukin-1 regions (TIR). These domains are known to be involved in the detection of diverse pathogens, including bacteria, viruses, fungi, nematodes, insects and oomycetes (McHale et al., 2006). This information has been exploited for exploring resistance gene analogues (RGA) in several crops such as blackgram (Basak et al., 2004), common bean (Lopez et al., 2003) and peanut (Yuksel et al., 2005). Degenerate primers derived from R genes conserved motifs have been used for targeting RGAs and profiling of different cultivars of potato, tomato, barley, and lettuce (Van der Linden et al., 2004). Furthermore, some of the RGAs have been transformed into molecular markers such as dCAPS (Derived Cleaved Amplified Polymorphic Sequence) and CAPS (Cleaved Amplified Polymorphic Sequence) to detect the presence of SNPs (Single Nucleotide Polymorphism) and their subsequent mapping on to the mapping populations of faba bean, pea, and chickpea (Palomino et al., 2009; Torres et al., 2010).

Although, RGH (resistance gene homologues) markers are much more effective than random markers, they rarely correspond to functional genes due to interference of large numbers of pseudo-genes, less expressed RGHs were easily lost when amplified from cDNA due to the interference of highly expressed RGHs in random cloning (Ren *et al.*, 2014). EST-derived RGHs can overcome these disadvantages but require EST database which are limited for blackgram. Moreover, some nonresistance genes like the R genes also harbour NBS-LRR (Nucleotide binding site-Leucine rich repeat) motifs and therefore, necessitates further confirmation of target sequence amplified through sequencing or gPCR (Yuksel et al., 2005). Thus, there is a need to search for other ways for exploring R genes rather than completely dependent on targeting RGHs through degenerate primers. Blackgram is assumed to be closely related to mungbean because both originated from the Indian subcontinent (Zukovaskij, 1962). This relatedness can be exploited for transferability of molecular markers such as simple sequence repeats (SSRs) from one species to other as reported in several legume crops such as blackgram (Gupta and Gopalakrishna, 2009; Souframanien and Gopalakrishna, 2009) and Glycine (Peakall et al., 1998). SSRs are the markers of choice because of their ease to use, high reproducibility, hyper variability, locus specificity, and co-dominant nature. Moreover, Studies on disease resistance genes have indicated a high level of polymorphism and presence of SSRs at certain loci (Yu et al., 1996). In the present study, 23 putative disease resistance genes identified by Kang et al., (2014) from mungbean whole genome shotgun sequencing were exploited for developing genomic resources in blackgram with the following objectives: 1) Developing SSR markers from mungbean scaffolds homologous to resistance genes, 2) Analyzing cross-species amplification of developed SSR markers and 3) Studying genetic variation in blackgram genotypes differing in YMD and PMD reactions.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 44 blackgram genotypes [EC-168200, PUSA-3, IPU02-43, KU96-3, KU96-7, IPU07-3, DPU88-31, TU94-2, Azad-1, LBG-752, LBG-17, LBG-693, LBG-623, TAU-1, Trombay Wild (TW), LBG-703, LBG-20, T-9, Nayagarh, Pant-U19, PU31, PLU-1, TU-43-1, TU-55-1, NDU-1, TU-67, WBG-17, WBG-57, WBG-13, COBG-653, PLU-710, Sharda mash, EC168058, LBG-685, LBG-709, Sheela, EC168234, EC168242, EC168243, IPU-02-6, IPU-99-247, SPS-30, ANU-11, IPU-99-40] including diverse cultivars, landraces, and one wild accession differing for disease reaction(YMD and PMD) were used in the study. Disease reactions of each of the genotypes were considered based on the Annual report of Mungbean, urdbean, lentil, lathyrus, rajmash and fieldpea (MULLaRP) and published literature (Table 1). Total genomic DNAs were extracted from young seedlings using Dellaporta method (Dellaporta et al., 1983). The quantity and quality of DNA were checked using Nanodrop ND 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). The working DNA samples were diluted to a standard concentration of 15ng/µl.

The present study was carried out at Nuclear Agriculture & Biotechnology Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, during 2019. A total of 23 putative

Table 1. Blackgram genotypes used in the study with their disease reaction to YMV and powdery mildew disease

S. No.	Genotypes	Reaction to YMV	Reaction to PMD	References
1	EC-168200	R	NA	Gupta <i>et al</i> ., 2015
2	PUSA-3	R	NA	Gupta <i>et al</i> ., 2015
3	IPU02-43	R	R	Bandi, 2018; Aktar <i>et al</i> ., 2014; Gupta <i>et al</i> ., 2013
4	KU96-3	R	R	Gupta <i>et al</i> ., 2015
5	KU96-7	R	NA	
6	IPU07-3	R	R	Gupta <i>et al</i> ., 2015
7	DPU88-31	R	S	Gupta <i>et al.</i> , 2013
8	TU94-2	R	MR	Bandi, 2018; Gupta <i>et al</i> ., 2015
9	Azad-1	R	NA	Anonymous, 2022
10	LBG-752	MS	R	Bandi, 2018; Priyanka <i>et al</i> ., 2018
11	LBG-17	S	R	Bandi, 2018; Srivastava <i>et al</i> ., 2011
12	LBG-693	S	NA	
13	LBG-623	S	R	Bandi, 2018; Priyanka <i>et al</i> ., 2018
14	TAU-1	S	S	Gupta <i>et al</i> ., 2015
15	Trombay Wild	S	NA	Gupta <i>et al</i> ., 2015
16	LBG-703	S	NA	
17	LBG-20	S	R	Gupta <i>et al</i> ., 2013; Srivastava <i>et al</i> ., 2011 Priyanka <i>et al</i> ., 2018
18	T-9	S	MR	Bandi, 2018; Srivastava <i>et al.</i> , 2011
19	Nayagarh	R	NA	Gupta <i>et al.</i> , 2015
20	Pant-U19	R	R	Gupta <i>et al.</i> , 2015
21	PU31	R	R	Bandi, 2018; Aktar <i>et al</i> ., 2014
22	PLU-1	R	NA	Gupta <i>et al</i> ., 2013
23	TU-43-1	R	MR	
24	TU-55-1	R	MR	
25	NDU-1	R	NA	
26	TU-67	S	NA	
27	WBG-17	S	NA	
28	WBG-57	S	S	Basandrai <i>et al</i> ., 1999
29	WBG-13	S	NA	
30	COBG-653	S	S	Equbal <i>et al</i> ., 2015
31	PLU-710	S	NA	
32	Sharda mash	S	S	
33	EC168058	S	NA	Gupta <i>et al</i> ., 2015
34	LBG-685	S	MR	Bandi, 2018
35	LBG-709	S	R	
36	Sheela	NA	NA	
37	EC168234	NA	NA	
38	EC168242	NA	NA	
39	EC168243	NA	NA	
40	IPU-02-6	NA	NA	
41	IPU-99-247	NA	NA	
42	SPS-30	NA	NA	
43	ANU-11	R	R	
44	IPU-99-40	NA	NA	

R: Resistant; S: Susceptible; MR: Moderately resistant; MS: Moderately susceptible; NA: Not available

resistance proteins identified in mungbean (Vigna radiata var. radiata cultivar:VC1973A) from whole genome shotgun (wgs) sequences (Kang et al., 2014) available in NCBI database (Accession: PRJNA243847, ID: 243847) was used in this study. Amino-acid sequence of proteins were downloaded from uniprot (The UniProt Consortium 2017, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1099). All 23 proteins were searched for sequence homology with scaffolds assembled from mungbean whole genome sequencing (Vigna radiata var. radiata, taxid:3916) with the help of tBLASTn algorithm. Significant mungbean scaffolds were searched for SSRs and primer-pairs were designed with the help of websat (http://purl.oclc. org/NET/websat/) online software (Martins et al., 2009). Thirty randomly chosen SSR primers were used to study the genetic variation among 44 blackgram genotypes. PCR reactions were carried out in a 25 µl reaction volume in an Eppendorf Master Cycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) with following composition: 75 ng of genomic DNA,10 mM Tris-HCI (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCI, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.08% Nonidet P40, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 1.5 pmoles of forward and reverse primers, and 0.5 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Fermentas Life Sciences). The amplification conditions were initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 min,5 cycles of: 94°C for 30 s, 56 to 46°C (-1°C each cycle), 72°C for 1 min, and followed by 35 cycles of: 94°C for 30 s, 46°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min and ended up with a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. PCR products were resolved on 3% agarose gels in TBE buffer at 80 V and images were captured in a gel documentation system (Syngene, U.K).

Genotyping was done as presence (1) or absence (0) of bands for each allele of the marker regardless of their intensity. Polymorphic information content (PIC) was calculated by the formula of Anderson *et al.* (1993): PIC =  $1-\Sigma(P_{ij})^2$ , where  $P_{ij}$  is the frequency of the j<sup>th</sup> allele for the i<sup>th</sup> locus. Genotypic data was analyzed through NTSYS-pc version 2.0 software (Rohlf *et al.*, 1998) and dendrogram was generated using Jaccard's similarity coefficient.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A total of 97 primer-pairs were designed for SSRs lying in mungbean scaffolds harbouring R genes, of which 74, 17, 2, and 4 primers were designed targeting di-nucleotides, tri-nucleotides, tetra-nucleotides and penta-nucleotides, respectively. Out of 97 SSR primers, 68 SSR primers (70%) showed amplificationin blackgram. Thirty of the primers showing amplification were randomly selected for genetic variation analysis in 44 blackgram genotypes differing in disease reaction to YMD and PMD. These 30 primers belonging to different scaffolds of mungbean (**Table 2**) harboured TMV resistance protein N, DNAdamage-repair/toleration protein DRT100, probable disease resistance protein At4g33300, protein suppressor of npr1-1, constitutive 1, putative disease resistance protein RGA4, and different putative resistance proteins. All 30 SSR primers collectively amplified 90 alleles in blackgram genotypes with an average of 3 alleles/locus. Twenty-eight of the thirty primers screened were found to be polymorphic with PIC ranging from 0.01 to 0.86 with an average of 0.43 (Table 2). The PIC values ranged from 0 to 0.86 and 0.11 to 0.67 for di-nucleotide and trinucleotide repeat motifs, respectively. Representative DNA amplification of blackgram genotypes using mungbean sequence derived SSR marker MRGSSR 118 is shown in Fig.1. The transferability of mungbean based SSR markers to blackgram was found to be 70% which is high compared to other similar reports such as 50% for cowpea unigene-SSR markers (Souframanien et al., 2017) and 68% collectively for azukibean, common bean, cowpea and mungbean (Souframanien and Gopalakrishna, 2009). The extent of transferability of SSR markers depends on evolutionary relationship between the species and conservation of PCR primer binding sites flanking the SSR motifs (Souframanien et al., 2017). The cross-species amplification of SSR markers from mungbean indicates that the sequence flanking the SSRs are conserved and between mungbean blackgram. Similarly, microsatellite markers were reported to be transferable in Phaseolus (Gaitan-Solis et al., 2002) and major pulses (Pandian et al., 2000). High transferability rate and less frequent null alleles observed in this study in comparisonto other reports could be due to use of genomic SSR markers which are not associated with problems of disrupted priming sites due to intron splice sites, large introns and additionally markers used in this study were developed from mungbean which is more closely related to blackgram compared to other Vigna species (Zukovaskij, 1962).

In the present study, allelic variation at 30 SSR loci with an average PIC of 0.43 which is comparable to genomic SSR markers from other Vigna species (Souframanien and Gopalakrishna, 2009) and supports utilization of these resistance genes based genomic-SSR markers in blackgram. MRGSSR12 and MRGSSR110 designed for di-nuleotide repeats  $(AT)_{13}$  and  $(AT)_7$  were found to be highly polymorphic with PIC values of 0.86 and 0.83, respectively. These highly polymorphic markers were derived from scaffolds homologous with TMV resistance protein N and putative disease resistance protein At4g11170. Di-nucleotides based primers were observed to exhibit high PIC value which is consistent with the earlier reports of such primers derived from cowpea (Souframanien and Gopalakrishna, 2009) and soybean (Hisano et al., 2007). Similarly, significance of variable repeat motifs can be comprehended by their positional effect. When present in the coding sequences or regulatory regions they could cause a frame shift, alteration of gene expression, inactivation of gene activity, and/or a change of function, and eventually phenotypic changes (Li et al., 2004).

# **EJPB**

Table 2. Details of the 30 SSR markers developed from mungbeanWGS scaffolds harbouring nucleotide sequences homologous to putative resistance

Avi Raizada et al.,

<ul> <li>MRGSSR1 JJMO0100083 (AC)7</li> <li>MTGTGTGCTGCATAGTGGTGGT</li> <li>MRGSSR2 JJMO01000123</li> <li>MRGSSR3 JJMO0100143</li> <li>MTGJB</li> <li>GCAAGCTTTGCCTGTGTCTTCA</li> <li>MRGSSR1 JJMO0100143</li> <li>MTGJB</li> <li>GCATGTTTGCTTTGGTTTGGTT</li> <li>GCAGAGTTTGGCTGGAATGGGACGAGGAGCAGGAGGAGTTTA</li> <li>MRGSSR1 JJMO01001432</li> <li>MTGJB</li> <li>GCATGTTGGCTGCACGGG</li> <li>AATTACGCCCACTCACGG</li> <li>AATTACGCTCCACGTGCATGGG</li> <li>MRGSSR1 JJMO01001432</li> <li>MTGJB</li> <li>CATTGTTAAACGTGCCACGGG</li> <li>AATTACGCTCCACGTGCATGGGG</li> <li>MRGSSR2 JJMO01001432</li> <li>MTGJB</li> <li>CATTGTTAAACGTGCCCACGCGGAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG</li></ul>		al s	eles
<ul> <li>MRGSSR3 JJMO01000123 (GA)7 ACGGACTCTAGCAAATGGAAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAG</li></ul>	TGCATAGTCGTAGGTG ATTGAAACAGGAGCTTCCAAGA Protein suppressor of npr1-1, constitutive 1	titutive 1	2 0.0
MRGSSR8JJM001000647(TTA)8CCTTTCTCCTGTCATCTTCATGCCGGGGGAGATTTTCATTATTMV resistance proteiMRGSSR10JJM0010012369(AT)3CATTGTTCAAACGTCATCTCAAGTTATAFMV resistance proteiMRGSSR12JJM0010012369(AT)3CATTGTTCAAACGCACCTCAAGTTATAFMV resistance proteiMRGSSR23JJM001002369(TG)3CCCAATCCCCCGACTTAATACAGGCGTAGGGGGGAAGGGCTACTProbable deasease resisMRGSSR23JJM001002399(TG)3CCCAATCCCCCGACTTAATACAGGCGTAGTTGGGGTAAGGGGCAGCACTProbable deasease resisMRGSSR23JJM001002399(TG)3CCCAATCCCCCGACTTAATACAGGCGTAGTTGGGGTAAGGGGGGGGAAGCACTProbable deasease resisMRGSSR23JJM0010002399(TG)3CCCAATCCCCCGACTTCAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	TCTAGCAAATGGAAAG ATGGGAACCAAGAAGACAGAAA Probable disease resistance protein At5g66900	n At5g66900	2 0.3
MRGSSR10         JJM001001488         (ATG)         GCAACGTTCTGCTTTACATGG         CATTGATGGGCATTANTAG         TW resistance proteines prot	TCCCTGTCATCTTCAT GCCAGAGATTTCCACCTACAAT TMV resistance protein N		2 0.3
MRGSSR12JJMO01002369(AT1GTTAAAGCGTACCAGCGAATGGCCTCAAGGTAGGGGTAAGGGTAGGCTProbable disease resitance proteMRGSSR23JJMO01002369(Ta)AATACACACCCCGACTTAATAACGGCGTGTGTGAGGGTAGGGTAGGCTProbable disease resitance proteMRGSSR23JJMO01002369(Ta)RAATACCCCCAAGGACAAGTATCCCCAAGGACAATMV resistance proteMRGSSR23JJMO01002369(Ta)RAACCACCCCCGACTTAATAACAGGCTTGTTGGGGTGCAAGCTMV resistance proteMRGSSR23JJMO01001147(Ta)GCACCTTGTCCCCCAATGCCCCCAAGGCACATMV resistance proteMRGSSR35JJMO01001147(Ta)GTGGAAAGGGTAACGGGAGATTGTTCTCCCCGTTTGGGGCAGCATMV resistance proteMRGSSR35JJMO01001147(Ta)GTGGAAAGGTTCCCCCTTCCAATTGTTCTCCCGTTTGGGGCCAGCAPulative disease resisMRGSSR55JJMO01001147(Ta)GTGGAAACTTGGGGGTAACCGAGCACTTAAAGCCACCACAGGGCAAGCAA	CTTCTGCTTTACATGG CACTTACATGGCCTGGATTTTA TMV resistance protein N		3 0.3
MRGSSR13         JJM001001422         (ATC)         AAATACACACGCCACTCACAT         CITGGGTGAGGTGAGGTCACT         Probable disease resistance Rule           MRGSSR20         JJM001002209         (TA)         GCACCTTATTATAC         GGGCTAGTTCAGATGCTCCT         Probable disease resistance Rule           MRGSSR33         JJM001002309         (TA)         GCACCTTATTATAC         GGGCTTAGATGCTCT         Mresistance prote           MRGSSR34         JJM0010002809         (TG)         ACACCTCCTCACCACTCCT         AGAAGAAACGGGCAACACAGCACT         Mresistance prote           MRGSSR35         JJM001000120         (TA)         ACACCTCCTCCTCCTCCTCCTCCACACCAGGGCAACACCACT         TW resistance prote           MRGSSR51         JJM001000120         (TA)         AAAGGCAACACTCCTCAGGGCAACCACCACCAGCAGCAT         Translation fautor GU           MRGSSR55         JJM001000120         (TA)         AAAGGCAACACCCACACCAGGGGCAACCACCAGCAGCAT         Translation fautor GU           MRGSSR55         JJM001000120         (TA)         AAACGCACCCACAGCAGCAACCAGCAACCAGCAGCAACCACGACAACCAGCAG	-AAAACGTACCAACGG AAATCGCCTCAAAGTATAGGGAC TMV resistance protein N		7 0.8
MRGSSR20JJM001002209(TA)8CCCAATCCCCGACTTAATAACAGGGTATGTTCAGATGCTCTDisease resistance proteinMRGSSR32JJM001002389(TA)9GCACTATGTTGAGATCCATCAAGAGAAAACAGGGGAGACAAMV resistance proteinMRGSSR33JJM001001238(TA)7ACACTTCCTCACCACTCAGGAGAGAGATAACAGGGGAACAGCATMV resistance proteinMRGSSR34JJM001001437(AT)8GCACATTCACCACTCAGGAGATTCTCTCCCCACAGAGCATMV resistance proteinMRGSSR35JJM001001426(AT)8GTGGAAACGCGTCACTCAGGAGATTCTCTCCCCGTATGGAACCACPureive disease resistance proteinMRGSSR45JJM001001447(AT)8GTGGAAACGCTCACTCAGGAGATTCTCTCCCGTATGGAGGTTPutative disease resistance proteinMRGSSR55JJM001001477(AT)8GGGGTTGGAATGGCCACTTTAGCGCACTTTAGGCCAACTDisease resistance proteinPutative disease resistance proteinMRGSSR55JJM001000147(AT)13ATTATGTTGGGTGTAGCAGGCAACTCAGGGGCAACTTAGGGCAACTDisease resistance proteinPutative disease resistance proteinMRGSSR57JJM0010000120(TA)17ATTATGTTGGGTGTGCCCCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	CACACGCACTCACAT GTTGGGTGAAGGGTAAGCTC Probable disease resistance protein At4g33300	n At4g33300	1
MRGSSR32JJM001002369(TA)GCACCTATGTGGAGATCCATGAMV resistance proteiMRGSSR33JJM001000136(TG)ACACTTCCCCCCACAGGACATMV resistance proteiMRGSSR33JJM001001147(AT)ACACCTTCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCAGGGGGGGGGG	CCCCGACTTAAATAAC AGGCTATGTTTCAGATGCTGCT Disease resistance RPP13-like protein 4	tein 4	6 0.7
MRGSSR3         JJMO01002369         (TGA)T         ACACCTTCCTCACCACTCCTTA         GATGAATACCCCACAGGCAT         TW resistance protein           MRGSSR36         JJMO01001147         (AT)B         GTACAATACCCCACAGCACT         TW resistance protein           MRGSSR36         JJMO01001147         (AT)B         GTACAATGCTCCTCCTCCCACACCCACACCCACACCAGCACAC         Protein supressor of           MRGSSR56         JJMO01001147         (AT)B         GTACAATGCCTCCTTCAGGG         GACAATACCCAAACCAGGATTG         Putative disease resistance           MRGSSR56         JJMO01001147         (AT)B         GTCCAAATGCCTTTGGCGTGGG         GCCCAAACCAGGGATTG         Putative disease resistance           MRGSSR56         JJMO01000121         (TA)13         GTCCAAATGGCGACCAGCCCACTGG         ACCCGGCAACTTGGGGGTTAGGGTT         Putative disease resistance           MRGSSR56         JJMO01000121         (TA)17         AATTATGTGGCGCTCATGGGT         ACCCGGAACCAGGGGTTAGGGGTT         Putative disease resistance           MRGSSR77         JJMO010000120         (TA)17         AATTATGTGGCGCTCATGGT         ACCCGGAACACACACAGGGGTT         Putative disease resistance           MRGSSR82         JJMO01000120         (TA)17         AATTATGTGCGGTCATGGT         ACCCGGAACACACACACACACAGGGGTT         Putative disease resistance           MRGSSR82         JJMO010000120         (TA)17         AATTATGTGGG	ATGTTGAGATCCATGA AGAAAAACAGGGCAGACAA TMV resistance protein N		7 0.8
MRGSSR36JJM001000583(G)AAGGGAGGGGTAACGGAGATTGTTGCCGTGTGGGTGGAGGCProtein supressor of hurative disease resisMRGSSR39JJM001001147(AT)33GTGGATGCTTCCTCTCTCAATTGTTCTCCCGTATTGAAAGCPruative disease resisMRGSSR45JJM001000120(AT)33CTTCACATGCTACACTTCGGGGCACAATACCAAACCAGATCATranslation factor GUMRGSSR51JJM001000120(AT)33GTGGGTTGAGAATTGGGGGCACAAACCAGCAACTTranslation factor GUMRGSSR58JJM001000121(AT)13GTGTGAGAAATGGGCACATACCAGCAAACCAGCAACTDiaaese resistance probable disease resistance probable disease resistanceMRGSSR58JJM001000122(TT)13GTTGGGGAAATGGGCGGACCCAGACCAGCAGCAGCAACTDiaaeed resistance probable disease resistance probable disease resistanceMRGSSR81JJM0010002047(TT)3GTTGGGGCGTGGGGGGGATCACCCAGAGCAGAGGAGGGGGGDNA-damage repairMRGSSR82JJM0010002047(TT)3TTGGGGGCTGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	TCCTCACCACTCCTTA GATCACACCCACAGCACT TMV resistance protein N		3 0.6
MRGSSR39JJMO01001147(AT)8GTAGAATGCTTCCTCCTCCAATTCTCTCCCGTATTGAAGCPutative disease resisMRGSSR45JJMO01001147(AT)3CTTCACATGCTACACTTGGGGCAATACCAAACCGGATTCATranslation factor GUMRGSSR45JJM001001147(CT)7GGGGTTGGAGATTGGGGGTTTranslation factor GUMRGSSR51JJM001001147(TA)1GGGGTTGGAGATTGGGGGTTPutative disease resisMRGSSR55JJM001001147(TA)17CATGATCCATCACTCGGGCCCAAAACCGAACTMRGSSR55JJM001000121(TA)17ATTATGTTGCGGACTTGGGGCATACCAGCTCAAATCAMRGSSR55JJM001000122(TA)17ATTATGTTGCGGAACTGGGGGCAPutative disease resisMRGSSR55JJM001000221(TA)17ATTATGTTGGGGAAAGGGGGGGGAATGAGGGGGGGTPutative disease resisMRGSSR582JJM001000221(TA)3TGTATGTGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	AAGAGGTAAACGGAAG AATTGATTGGGTAGGTGAAAGC Protein suppressor of npr1-1, constitutive 1	titutive 1	2 0.3
MRGSSR45JJMO01000120(AT)3CTTCACATGCTACATTCAGGGGCACATACCAAACCAGATTCATranslation factor GUMRGSSR51JJMO01001646(CJ)7GGGTTGGAGTTTGGTGTTGTGTranslation factor GUMRGSSR55JJMO01001121(TJ)7GTTCACATCTTTAGCATGATCATTTGTGGGGCTAATPutative disease resistance prMRGSSR55JJMO01000121(TA)13GTTCACACTCTTAGGACTCACCTGCAGGACACAGTGGGCTATDNA-damage repairMRGSSR55JJMO01000122(TA)17ATTTGTTGGGACTCACGGACCAGGACACAGGCCATGADNA-damage repairMRGSSR55JJMO01000122(TA)17ATTTGTTGGGACTCACGGGACCAGGACACAGGGCACTGATADNA-damage repairMRGSSR55JJMO01000122(TA)17ATTTGTTGGGAGACCAGGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGA	ATGCTTCCTCCTTCCA ATTCTCTCCCGTATTGAAAAGC Putative disease resistance protein RGA4	RGA4	2 0.7
MRGSSR48JJMO01001646(Ca)7GGGGTTGGAGATTTGGTGTGTGTTCATTTGTGAGGCTTAGGGGTC7Putative disease resistance prosesMRGSSR51JJMO010001477(T)7CATGATCCATCTTTAGCCATGATACCAGGCAACTDisease resistance prosesMRGSSR56JJMO0100121(T)13GTCCAAACCTTTCAGACTCACCTACCAGGCAACTGGGCAACTDisease resistance prosesMRGSSR57JJMO01000121(T)13GTCTAAACCTTCAGACTCACCGTACCAGGCAACTGGGAATADNA-damage repairMRGSSR55JJMO01000122(T)13GTCTAGGACTCACGGACCCGAAACCCGACTCAGATADNA-damage repairMRGSSR57JJMO01000122(T)33TTTGGTGACTGGGAGAGGGCAGCCAAAGGAACCAGGGGATADNA-damage repairMRGSSR82JJMO010002047(T)32ATTATGTTGCTGGGAGAGGGGGGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGGG	ATGCTACACTTCAGGG GCACAATACCAAACCAGATTCA Translation factor GUF1 homolog, mitochondrial	mitochondrial	2 0.2
MRGSSR51JJM001000319(CT)7CATGATCCATCTTTAGCCATGATACCAGCAACTTTAGGCCACTDisease resistance prMRGSSR56JJM00100121(TA)13TGTTGAGAATGGCACCCTCCCAGAACCGCACTTAATDNA-damage repair /MRGSSR55JJM001000121(TA)13TGTTGAGAACTTCAGGCACCCCTCCCAGACCGCACTCTAATDNA-damage repair /MRGSSR65JJM001000122(TA)17AATTATGTTGCGTCACCGACCCGAAAGGGAGGAATAADNA-damage repair /MRGSSR85JJM0010002047(TA)32ATTATGTTGCGGTGATGGGGGACCCCGAAAGGGAGGAGGGTTProbable disease resisMRGSSR82JJM0010002047(TA)32ATCATTCTTGGTGGTGGTGAAGCCAACCACCACCACCACCACACADNA-damage repair /MRGSSR84JJM001000250(TA)23ATCAATCCATCTTGGAAACCACCACACCACACACACACACACACACACACACA	GAGATTTGGTGTATGT TCATTTGTGAGGCTTAGGGTCT Putative disease resistance RPP13-like protein 1	s-like protein 1	3 0.7
MRGSSR56JJMO01001477(ATA)6GTCCAAACCTTTCAGACTCACTTGCGAGACAGCCACTCTAATDNA-damage repairMRGSSR57JJMO01000121(TA)13TGTTGAGAAATAGGACCCTGGACCGGAAACCGACTGAATADNA-damage repairMRGSSR55JJMO01000122(TA)17AATTATGTTGCGTCACAGGTTACTCCAGTTTCCCAAATGCTProbable disease resisMRGSSR57JJMO01000122(TA)17AATTATGTTGCGTCACAGGTTACTCCAGTTCCCAAATGCTProbable disease resisMRGSSR85JJMO01000040(CT)7TTTGGTGGACATGGTGGTGTTGCCAGGTTCCCAAAGGGATTGCCCAACACACACACACA	CCATCTTTAGCCATGA TACCAGCAACTTTAGGCCAACT Disease resistance protein RPP8		5 0.8
MRGSSR57JJM001000121(TA)13TGTTGAGAAATAGGACCCTTGGACCGAAACCCGACTAGATAADNA-damage repairMRGSSR55JJM001000122(TA)17AATTATGTTGCTCACAGGTTACTCCAGTTTCCCAAATGCTProbable disease resisMRGSSR82JJM0010002047(TT)7TTTGGTGACATGTTGCTGGGAAGCAAAGGAAGGAAGGAGGTGPutative disease resisMRGSSR82JJM001000772(TA)32ATCATTCTTGATGTTGCTGGGAAGCAAAGGAAGGAAGGAGGTGPutative disease resisMRGSSR84JJM001000772(TA)32ATCATTCTTGATGTTGCTGGGGAAGCAAAGGAAGGAAGGAGGTPutative disease resisMRGSSR84JJM001000772(TA)32TCAATCAAGTTCTTGGCTTCAAGGAGCCTGGGAGAGGAGGAGAAGAAGGAGGAAGPutative disease resisMRGSSR84JJM001000853(TA)23TCAACCATCCATCTCGGCTTCAAGGAGCCACACACAGGGAAGAAGAAGGAGGAAGAAGAA	ACCTTTCAGACTCACC TTGCAGACACAGCCACTCTAAT DNA-damage repair / toleration protein DRT100	tein DRT100	6 0.3
MRGSSR65JJM001000122(TAJ)17AATTATGTTGCCATGGTCACAGGTTACTCCAGTGTTCCAAATGCTProbable disease resisMRGSSR77JJM001000040(CT)7TTTGGTGACATAGTTTGAGGTTGAAGCAAAGGAAGGAAGGAGGTTGPutative disease resisMRGSSR82JJM0010002047(TA)32ATCATTCTTGATGTTGCTGGTGAGCAAAGGAAGAAGAGGAGTGTGPutative disease resisMRGSSR84JJM0010000772(AG)9GAGAGAGGAGTGGTGGTGTTGCAGGAGTCAAACACAGGAGGTDNA-damage repairMRGSSR86JJM001000850(TA)23TCCAACTCCTGGAGGGGGGGGATGTTACTGGAGAGTCAACACACACACACACACACACAC	3AAATAGGACCCTTGG ACCCGAAACCCGACTAGAATAA DNA-damage repair / toleration protein DRT100	tein DRT100	2 0.5
MRGSSR77JJMO0100040(CT)7TTTGGTGATAGTTTGAGGTTGGAGGAGAAGGAGGATGAGGTTGPutative disease resisMRGSSR82JJMO01002047(Ta)32ATCATTCTTGATGTTGTGGGGAGCAAAGGAAGGAGGTTGPutative disease resisMRGSSR84JJMO01000772(AG)9GAGAGAGGACGAGGAGAGGAGGAGGAGAACACAGGAGGTDNA-damage repairMRGSSR86JJMO01000850(Ta)23TCAACCATCCAAGTTTTCTGAAACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC	TTGCGTCATCACAGG TTACTCCCAGTTTCCCCAAATGCT Probable disease resistance protein At4g33300	n At4g33300	4 0.1
MRGSSR82JJM001002047(TA)32ATCATTCTTGATGTTGTGGTGGTGTTGCAGGGTCAAACACAGGGGTDNA-damage repairMRGSSR84JJM001000772(AG)9GAGGAGGCCTGTGGAGGGG GATGTTACTGGAAATTGCGGATDNA-damage repairMRGSSR86JJM001000850(TA)23TCAACCATCCCAAGTATTTCTGAAAACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACA	3ACATAGTTTGAGGCA AAGCAAAGGAAGAATGAGGTTG Putative disease resistance RPP13-like protein 1	like protein 1	2 0.6
MRGSSR84JJM001000772(AG)9GAGAGAGCCTGTGGAGAGAG GATGTTACTGGAAATTGCGGATDNA-damage repairMRGSSR86JJM001000850(TA)23TCAACCATCCCAGGTATTTCTGAAAACACACACACACACACACACADNA-damage repairMRGSSR91JJM001000853(GA)7CCTTAATCAAATTCCATCTCGCTCCAGCTACTATTCCCTCGAAPutative disease resisMRGSSR91JJM001000533(TA)6TCTAATCAAATTCCATCTGGCTCCAGCTACTATTCCCTCGAAPutative disease resisMRGSSR101JJM001000523(TA)7ATCAAGCAGCACCTTGTCTGAAGCCTCTTTGTATAGACCGTTPutative disease resisMRGSSR102JJM001001477(AT)38GTTCGTTCCTTGAGCCATTGTTAAGCCTCTTTGTATAGGCTGGTGAAPutative disease resisMRGSSR103JJM001001477(AC)8GCTCGTTCCCTTTGTTGAGGGTGTGGTGGATTGGPutative disease resisMRGSSR105JJM001000782(TA)6GTTCGTTCCCTTCGAATTGGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	:TTGATGTTGCTGGTG TTGCAGGGTCAAACACAGGGGT DNA-damage repair / toleration protein DRT100	otein DRT100	1
MRGSSR86JJM001000850(TA)23TCAACCATCCAGGTATTTCTGAAAACACACACACACACADNA-damage repairMRGSSR91JJM001000853(GA)7CCTTAATCAAATTCCATCTGGCACCAGCACACACACADNA-damage repairMRGSSR99JJM001000583(TG)7TCTATGATCAAATTCCATCTGGCAGCAGCAGGAGAAAPrutative disease resisMRGSSR101JJM001000452(TG)7ATCAAGCAGCACTGGCTGGCAGCAGCAGGAGGAAAAPrutative disease resisMRGSSR102JJM001001477(AT)38GTTCGTTCGGCTGGCAAGCCTCTTTGTATGGCCGGTTAGGPutative disease resisMRGSSR103JJM001001477(AC)8ACCAACTTCCAAGGCCAATGTGTCTCTTTCCTTGGGGGGTTAGGGTGGGPutative disease resisMRGSSR104JJM001000782(TA)6GTAGGCTGCCGTTCATTTTCTTTGGTTGGGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	GAGCCTGTGGAGAGGG GATGTTACTGGGAAATTGCGGGAT DNA-damage repair / toleration protein DRT100	otein DRT100	1 0
MRGSSR91JJM001000853(GA)7CCTTAATCAAATTCCATCTCGGCTCCAGCTACTATTCCCTCGAAPutative disease resisMRGSSR99JJM001000583(TA)6TCTATATGATCCTCGGCTGGGAGAAGGCGAGGAAAProtein suppressor ofMRGSSR101JJM001000452(TG)7ATCAAGCAGGCCTTGTCTCGGAGAAGGCGAGGGCAAGAAAProtein suppressor ofMRGSSR102JJM001001147(AT)38GTTCGTTCCTTGGCTCGCAGGCCTCTTTGTATGGCGGGTGGTTAGGCCGGTTPutative disease resisMRGSSR103JJM001001477(AC)8ACCAACTTCAAAGCCAATGTGTCTCTTTCCTTGAGGTGTGGTTGGPutative disease resisMRGSSR104JJM00100782(TA)6GTAGGCTGCCGTTCATATTCTTTTAGTTTGTTGGGCGAGTGCPutative disease resisMRGSSR100JJM001000782(GAT)8AGTTCCACCACCACGGGGTTAGGCGAGTGCPutative disease resisMRGSSR110JJM00100129(AT)7TTTTCATTCGCTCGCACCAATAGGCGPutative disease resisRGSSR110JJM001000129(AT)7TTTTCATTCGCTCGCCCACCAATAGGCGPutative disease resisRGSSR110JJM001000129(AT)7TTTTCATTCGCCCCACCAATAGGCGPutative disease resisRGSSR110JJM001000129(AT)7TTTTCATTCGCCCCCACCAACAATAGGCGPutative disease resis	4TCCCAAGTATTTCTG AAAACACACACACACACACACACA DNA-damage repair / toleration protein DRT100	otein DRT100	9 0.5
MRGSSR99JJM001000583(TA)6TCTATATGATCCTCTGGCTCGCGAGAAAGACGAAGAAGACGAAGAAAProtein suppressor ofMRGSSR101JJM001000452(TG)7ATCAAGCAGACACCTTGTCTCCAAGCCTCTTTGTATAGACCGTTPutative disease resisMRGSSR102JJM001001147(AT)38GTTCGTTCCCTTTCCTTGTAAGGGTGTGATTGGPutative disease resisMRGSSR102JJM0010011477(AT)38GTTCGTTCCCTTTCCTTGAGGTGTGGTGTGATTGGPutative disease resisMRGSSR102JJM0010011477(AC)8ACCAACTTCAAAGCCAATGTGTCTCTTTCCTTGAGGTGTGGGDNA-damage repair /MRGSSR103JJM001000782(TA)6GTAAGCCTGCCGTTCATATTCTTTTAGTTTGTTGGGGGGGGGGGGGPutative disease resisMRGSSR110JJM0010001296(GAT)8AGTTCCACCACCAGGGTTAGAGTTGGCACCACCAGGGTTAGPutative disease resisRGSSR110JJM001000129(AT)7TTTTCATTCCACCCCCCCACCAATAGAGAPutative disease resisPutative disease resisRGSSR110JJM001000129(AT)7TTTTCATTCGCACCCACCAATAGAGAPutative disease resisPutative disease resis	CAAATTCCATCTCCG CTCCAGCTACTATTCCCTCGAA Putative disease resistance protein RGA3	RGA3	2 0.5
MRGSSR101 JJM001000452(TG)7ATCAAGCAGCACTTGTCTCTAAGCCTCTTTGTATAGACCGGTTPutative disease resisMRGSSR102 JJM001001477(AT)38GTTCGTTCCCTTTCTTTCTTGAGGGTTAGGGTGGATTGGPutative disease resisMRGSSR103 JJM001001477(AC)8ACCAACTTCAAGGCCAATGTGTCTCTTTCCTTGAGGTGTGGTGG Putative disease resisMRGSSR103 JJM00100182(TA)6GTAAGCCTGCCGTTCAATGTGTCTCTTTCCTTGAGGATAAGTGGDNA-damage repair /MRGSSR105 JJM00100782(TA)6GTAAGCCTGCCGTTCATATTTCTTTTAGTTTGTTGGGCGAGTGCPutative disease resisMRGSSR110 JJM00100129(AT)7TTTTCATTCCACCACCAGGGATTAGAGTTGCACCACCAGGGATTAGPutative disease resisRGSSR110 JJM00100129(AT)7TTTTCATTCCACCACCAGGGATTAGPutative disease resisEnditive disease resisRGSSR110 JJM00100129(AT)7TTTTCATTCCACCACCACCAGGGATTAGPutative disease resisEnditive disease resisRGSSR110 JJM00100129(AT)7TTTTCATTCCACCACCAGCAGGATTAGPutative disease resisEnditive disease resis	GATCCTCTGGCTCGC GAGAAAGACGAAGGCAAGAAA Protein suppressor of npr1-1, constitutive 1	titutive 1	2 0.3
MRGSSR102 JJM001001147       (AT)38       GTTCGTTCTCCTTTCTT       ATGGAGGTTAGGTGGATTGG       Putative disease resis         MRGSSR103 JJM001001477       (AC)8       ACCAACTTCAAAGCCAATGTG       TCTTTTCCTTGAGCATAGTGG       Putative disease resis         MRGSSR103 JJM00100782       (TA)6       GTAAGCCTGCCGTTCATATTTC       TTTTAGTTTGTTGGGCGAGTGC       Putative disease resis         MRGSSR105 JJM001002368       (GAT)8       AGTTCCACCACCACGAGGATTAG       AGTTGCATCAATAGTGG       Putative disease resis         RGSSR110       JJM001000129       (AT)7       TTTTCATTCACCCGCCGTC       AGTTGCACCACCAGGATTAG       Putative disease resis	CAGACCCTTGTCTCTC AAGCCTCTTTGTATAGACCCGTT Putative disease resistance protein RGA4	RGA4	2 0.0
MRGSSR103 JJM001001477       (AC)8       ACCAACTTCAAGGCCAATGTG       TCTCTTTCCTTGAGGCATAGTGG       DNA-damage repair /         MRGSSR104 JJM001000782       (TA)6       GTAAGCCTGCCGTTCATATTTC       TTTTAGTTTGTATGGCGAGTGC       Putative disease resis         MRGSSR105 JJM001000783       (GAT)8       AGTTCCACCACCACCAGGGATTAG       AGTTGGCGGGGGGGGGC       Putative disease resis         RGSSR110       JJM001000129       (AT)7       TTTTCATTCCACCGCGTCC       TTTCTTTCTGCACCAGCAGTAG       Putative disease resis	TCTCCCTTTCCTTCTT ATGGAGGTTAAGGTGTGATTGG Putative disease resistance protein RGA4	RGA4	2 0.0
MRGSSR104 JJMO01000782 (TA)6 GTAAGCCTGCCGTTCATATTTC TTTTAGTTTGTATGGCGAGTGC Putative disease resis MRGSSR105 JJMO01002368 (GAT)8 AGTTCCACCACCAGGGATTAG AGTTGGCATCCATCAATAGACA Putative disease resis RGSSR110 JJMO01000129 (AT)7 TTTTCATTCCACCCGCCC TTTCTTTCTGCACCCAACATAG Putative disease resis	TTCAAAGCCAATGTG TCTTTTCCTTGAGCATAAGTGG DNA-damage repair / toleration protein DRT100	tein DRT100	1 0
MRGSSR105 JJMO01002368 (GAT)8 AGTTCCACCACCACGGGATTAG AGTTGGCATCCATAGAGACA Putative disease resis RGSSR110 JJMO01000129 (AT)7 TTTTCATTCCACCCGTCC TTTCTTTCTGCACCCAACATAG Putative disease resis	CTGCCGTTCATATTTC TTTTAGTTTGTATGGCGAGTGC Putative disease resistance protein RGA4	RGA4	2 0.5
RGSSR110 JJMO01000129 (AT)7 TTTTCATTCCACCCGTCC TTTCTTTCTGCACCCAACATAG Putative disease resis	ACCACCACAGGATTAG AGTTGGCATCCATCAATAGACA Putative disease resistance protein RGA4	RGA4	2 0.5
	TCCACCCGTCC TTTCTTTCTGCACCCCAACATAG Putative disease resistance protein At4g11170	At4g11170	3 0.8
INIGSSK118 JJMO01000124 (11A)9 GCCAAGACAGALIGGAAGAAAI AGACCAIGAALIIGGAACCAGI IMV resistance prote	ACAGATTGGAAGAAAT AGACCATGAATTTGGAACCAGT TMV resistance protein N		2 0.5

https://doi.org/10.37992/2023.1401.028



Fig. 1. PCR amplification using MRGSSR118 genomic-SSR marker in 44 blackgram genotypes. Lane M 100 bp marker.  $\lambda$  DNA Eco RI and Hind III double-digest marker. Lanes 1 – 44 blackgram genotypes as listed in materials and methods.

Amplification of 30 SSR primers in 44 blackgram genotypes differing in their disease reactions (YMD and PMD) were analysed. In terms of YMD, 3 SSR markers MRGSSR12, MRGSSR56 and MRGSSR77 were differentially amplified predominantly in YMD resistant genotypes in comparison to susceptible genotypes. These markers MRGSSR12 [(AT)<sub>13</sub>], MRGSSR56 [(ATA)<sub>6</sub>] and MRGSSR77 [(CT),] were designed from mungbean scaffolds JJMO01001477, JJMO01002369 and JJMO01000040 exhibiting homology with TMV resistance protein N, DNA damage repair/toleration protein DRT100 and putative disease resistance RPP13-like protein 1, respectively. Similarly, YMD resistant and susceptible genotypes were differentiated using resistance gene analogues derived SSR and ISSR markers, in mungbean and blackgram (Maiti et al., 2011; Gupta et al., 2015; Souframanien and Gopalakrishna, 2009). While in case of PMD, four markers namely MRGSSR12, MRGSSR 32. MRGSSR56 and MRGSSR65 differentiated resistant (IPU02-43, KU96-3, IPU-07-3, LBG-752, LBG-17, LBG-623, LBG-20, Pant U-19, Pant U-31, LBG-709, ANU-11) and susceptible genotypes (DPU-88-31, TAU-1, WBG-57, COBG-653, Sharda Mash). Two of these markers viz., MRGSSR 32 and MRGSSR 65 showed amplification in five and one resistant genotypes, respectively, out of 11 resistant genotypes and were not amplified in five susceptible genotypes studied.

Therefore, two SSR primers, MRGSSR12 and MRGSSR

56 derived from mungbean scaffolds having homology with putative disease resistance genes were identified in the present study that could differentiate both YMD and PMD resistant and susceptible genotypes. MRGSSR12, designed from mungbean scaffold which shared homology with TMV resistance protein N. TMV resistance protein N is a disease resistance protein having one TIR, one NB-ARC domains and six LRR repeats which guard the plants against pathogens through direct or indirect interaction with avirulence protein and triggers a defense system including the hypersensitive response, which restricts the pathogen's growth and spread (The Uniprot Consortium,https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1099).

The cross-species amplification of mungbean derived resistance gene-SSR markers were investigated for diversity analysis in the set of 44 blackgram genotypes comprising of 43 cultivars and one wild species. Cluster analysis based on neighbour-joining method grouped the 44 genotypes into seven clusters (**Fig. 2**). Cluster I with 3 sub-clusters (Ia, Ib and Ic) comprised of 14 blackgram genotypes. Cluster Ia comprised of 2 genotypes, EC168200 and LBG-17, which are resistant to YMD and PMD, respectively. Of the five genotypes grouped under cluster 1b the genotypes Pusa-3, LBG 752, and IPU02-043 are resistant to YMD. Three of the seven genotypes constituting cluster Ic are YMD resistant (DPU88-31, IPU07-3 and KU96-7). The YMD resistant cultivars NDU-1 and PU-19 are clustered separately in cluster VII with



Fig. 2. Dendrogram constructed using Jaccard's similarity coefficient and UPGMA clustering among 44 blackgram genotypes based on mungbean derived SSR markers.

a similarity index value of 0.27. The highest similarity coefficient was observed between LBG693 and IPU02-43 (0.81).

The genetic closeness between some of the cultivars could be explained due to common parents in their pedigree. For example, genotypes IPU07-3 and IPU02-43 although from the different crosses, DPU88-31 x PDU-1 and DPU88-31 x DUR-1, respectively, had one parent in common and were grouped together in cluster I. Moreover, both genotypes were resistant to YMD and PMD. DPU88-31 was grouped with one of its parent T9 in sub-cluster Ic of cluster I. Likewise genotypes Pusa3 and DPU88-31 both are grouped together in cluster I along with their one common parent, T9. In this study, grouping of blackgram genotypes based on disease resistance were observed in cluster I. Similar grouping of genotypes based on disease resistance was reported in blackgram (Souframanien and Gopalakrishna, 2009). Grouping of individuals based on disease reaction observed in this study could be due to presence of resistance gene in the mungbean scaffolds used for designing the markers. For example, TMV resistance protein N, Protein suppressor of npr1-1, constitutive 1, putative late blight resistance protein homolog R1B-8, and putative disease resistance protein At4g11170 exhibited homology within the same scaffold JJMO01000125.Clustering of resistance gene analogues was also reported in several

other species such as rice (Monosi *et al.*, 2004), tomato (Dickinson *et al.*, 1993) and other species (Sheperd and Mayo, 1972).

In the present study, 68 mungbean resistance genes harbouring WGS scaffolds derived genomic-SSR primers showed cross species amplification in blackgram. These transferable genomic-SSR markers would be a valuable resource for blackgram genetic analysis because resistance genes-based SSR marker polymorphism would represent the variation present in the resistance sources of blackgram genotypes. However, SSR primers which differentiated YMD and PMD blackgram genotypes need further confirmation and validation of their association with the resistance trait. These SSR markers derived from resistance proteins homologous sequences could be lying within either the coding sequences, untranslated regions or regulatory regions of resistance genes, and would offer an opportunity to investigate the consequences of SSR polymorphisms on gene functions and regulations associated with disease resistance. These SSR markers would be helpful in the selection of appropriate genotypes in breeding programmes aiming at developing multiple stress tolerant cultivars.

#### REFERENCES

Akhtar, J., Lal, H.C., Kumar, Y., Singh, P.K., Ghosh, J.,

- Anonymous, 2022. Annual Report. ICAR-Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur-208024.
- Anderson, J.A., Churchill, G.A., Autrique, J.E., Tanksley, S.D. and Sorrells, M.E. 1993. Optimizing parental selection for genetic linkage maps. *Genome*, **36**:181-186. [Cross Ref]
- Arumuganathan, K. and Earle, E.D. 1991. Nuclear DNA content of some important plant species. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter*, **9**:208-218. [Cross Ref]
- Bandi, H. 2018. Screening of blackgram resistance to mungbean yellow mosaic virus under rice fallow. Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., 7: 125-128.
- Basandrai, A.K., Gartan, S.L., Basandrai, D. and Kalia, V. 1999. Blackgram (*Phaseolus mungo*) germplasm evaluation against different diseases. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **69**:506–508.
- Basak, J., Kundagrami, S., Ghoose, T.K. and Pal, A. 2004. Development of yellow mosaic virus (YMV) resistance linked DNA marker in *Vigna mungo* from populations segregating for YMVreaction. *Molecular Breeding*, **14**: 375-382. [Cross Ref]
- Channaveeresh, T.S., Kulkarni, S. and Vijaykumar, A.G. 2014. Evaluation of black gram genotypes for resistance to powdery mildew caused by *Erysiphe polygoni* DC. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **27**: 85-87.
- Dangl, J.L., Dietrich, R.A. and Richberg, M.H. 1996. Death don't have no mercy: Cell death programs in plantmicrobe interactions. *Plant Cell*, **8**:1793-1807. [Cross Ref]
- Dellaporta, S.L., Wood, J. and Hicks, J.B. 1983. A plant DNA mini preparation: version II. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter*, **1**:19-21. [Cross Ref]
- Dickinson, M.J., Jones, D.A. and Jones, J.D. 1993. Close linkage between the *Cf-2/Cf-5* and *Mi* resistance loci in tomato. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 6:341-347. [Cross Ref]
- Ellis, J., Dodds, P. and Pyror, T. 2000. Structure, function and evolution of plant disease resistance genes. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, **3**:278–284. [Cross Ref]

- Equbal, M.J., Sahni, S., Singh, D. and Kumar, B. 2015. Exploitation of urdbean germplasms for mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) management and improved seed yield. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, **7**: 536-539
- Gaitan-Solis, E., Duque, M.C., Edwards, K.J. and Tohme, J. 2002. Microsatellite Repeats in Common Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*): Isolation, Characterization, and Cross-Species Amplification in *Phaseolus* spp. *Crop Science*, **42**:2128-2136. [Cross Ref]
- Gupta, S.K. and Gopalakrishna, T. 2009. Genetic diversity analysis in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper) using AFLP and transferable microsatellite markers from azuki bean (*Vigna angularis* (Willd.) Ohwi& Ohashi). *Genome*, **52**:120-129. [Cross Ref]
- Gupta, S., Gupta, D.S., Anjum, T.K., Pratap, A. and Kumar, J. 2013. Inheritance and molecular tagging of MYMIV resistance gene in black gram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper). *Euphytica*, **193**: 27-37. [Cross Ref]
- Gupta, S.K., Souframanien, J. and Reddy, K.S. 2015. Validation of molecular markers linked to yellow mosaic virus disease resistance in diverse genetic background of black gram [Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper]. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 6:755-763.
- Hisano, H., Sato, S., Isobe, S., Sasamoto, S., Wada, T., Matsuno, A., Fujishiro, T., Yamada, M., Nakayama, S., Nakamura, Y., Watanabe, S., Harada, K. and Tabata, S. 2007. Characterization of the soybean genome using EST-derived microsatellite markers. *DNA Research*, **14**:271-281. [Cross Ref]
- Kang, Y.J., Kim, S.K., Kim, M.Y., Lestari, P., Kim, K.H., Ha, B.K., Jun, T.H., Hwang, W.J., Lee, T., Lee, J., Shim, S., Yoon, M.Y., Jang, Y.E., Han, K.S., Taeprayoon, P., Yoon, N., Somta, P., Tanya, P., Kim, K.S., Gwag, J.G., Moon, J.K., Lee, Y.H., Park, B.S., Bombarely, A., Doyle, J.J., Jackson, S.A., Schafleitner, R., Srinives, P., Varshney, R.K. and Lee, S.H. 2014. Genome sequence of mungbean and insights into evolution within *Vigna* species. *Nature Communication*, **5**:5443. [Cross Ref]
- Kaushal, R.P. and Singh, B.M. 1989. Genetics of resistance in black gram to powdery mildew caused by *Erysiphie polygoni. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **59**:684-685.
- Li, Y.C., Korol, A.B., Fahima, T. and Nevo, E. 2004. Microsatellites within genes: structure, function, and evolution. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **21**:991-100. [Cross Ref]
- López, C.E., Acosta, I.F., Jara, C., Pedraza, F., Gaitán-Solís,

E., Gallego, G., Beebe, S. and Tohme, J. 2003. Identifying resistance gene analogs associated with resistances to different pathogens in common bean. *Phytopathology*, **93**:88-95. [Cross Ref]

- Maiti, S., Basak, J., Kundagrami, S., Kundu, A. and Pal, A. 2011. Molecular marker-assisted genotyping of mungbean yellow mosaic India virus resistant germplasms of mungbean and urdbean. *Molecular Biotechnology*, **47**:95-104. [Cross Ref]
- Martins, W.S., Lucas, D.C., Neves, K.F. and Bertioli, D.J. 2009. WebSat-a web software for microsatellite marker development. *Bioinformation*, **3**:282-283. [Cross Ref]
- McHale, L., Tan, X., Koehl, P. and Michelmore, R.W. 2006. Plant NBS-LRR proteins: adaptable guards. *Genome Biology*, **7**:212. [Cross Ref]
- Monosi, B., Wisser, R.J., Pennill, L. and Hulbert, S.H. 2004. Full-genome analysis of resistance gene homologues in rice. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, **109**:1434-1447. [Cross Ref]
- Palomino, C., Fernández-Romero, M.D., Rubio, J., Torres, A., Moreno, M.T. and Millán, T. 2009. Integration of new CAPS and dCAPS-RGA markers into a composite chickpea genetic map and their association with disease resistance. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, **118**:671-682. [Cross Ref]
- Pandian, A., Ford, R. and Taylor, P.W.J. 2000. Transferability of sequence tagged microsatellite sites (STMS) across four major pulses. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter*, **18**:395a–395h. [Cross Ref]
- Peakall, R., Gilmore, S., Keys, W., Morgante, M. and Rafalski, J.A. 1998. Cross amplification of soybean (*Glycine max*) simple sequence repeats (SSRs) within genus and other legume genera: implications for the transferability of SSR in plants. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **15**:1275-1287. [Cross Ref]
- Priyanka, S., Rangaiah, S. and Pavan, R. 2018. Screening black gram genotypes under artificially inoculated conditions for powdery mildew resistance. *Legume Research*, **41**:907-912. [Cross Ref]
- Reddy, K.R. and Singh, D.P. 1995. Inheritance of resistance to mungbean yellow mosaic virus. *Madras Agricultural Journal*, **88**:199-201.
- Ren, G., Li, X. and Lin, Z. 2014. Mining, genetic mapping and expression analysis of EST-derived resistance gene homologs (RGHs) in cotton. BMC *Plant Biology*, **14**: 203. [Cross Ref]
- Rohlf, F.J. 1998. NTSYS-Pc: Numerical taxonomy and

multivariate analysis system, version 2.0. Exeter publications, Setauket, New York.

- Scofield, S.R., Tobias, C.M., Rathjen, J.P., Chang, J.H., Lavelle, D.T., Michelmore, R.W. and Staskawicz, B.J. 1996. Molecular basis of gene-for-gene specificity in bacterial speck disease of tomato. *Science*, **274**:2063-2065. [Cross Ref]
- Srivastava, P., Pandey, A. and Sinha, D.P. 2011. Genetic diversity analysis in different varieties of black gram using RAPD markers. *Journal of Plant Breeding* and Crop Science, **3**: 53-59.
- Staskawicz, B.J., Ausubel, F.M., Baker, B.J., Ellis, J.G. and Jones, J.D.G. 1995. Molecular genetics of plant disease resistance. *Science*, **268**: 661-667. [Cross Ref]
- Sheperd, K.W. and Mayo, G.M.E. 1972. Genes conferring specific plant disease resistance. *Science*, **175** :375380. [Cross Ref]
- Singh, A., Sirohi, A. and Panwar, K.S. 1998. Inheritance of mungbean yellow mosaic virus resistance in urdbean (*Vigna mungo*). Indian Journal of Virology, 14:89-90.
- Souframanien, J. and Gopalakrishna, T. 2009. Cross-species amplification of microsatellite loci and diversity analyses in black gram. *Journal of Food Legumes*, **22**:11-17.
- Souframanien, J., Gupta, S.K. and Reddy, K.S. 2017. Cross species amplification of cowpea derived unigene-SSR markers and diversity analysis in blackgram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) *Hepper*]. *Plant Breeding and Biotechnology*, **5**:88-96. [Cross Ref]
- The UniProt Consortium; UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. 2017. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **45**:D158–D169. [Cross Ref]
- Torres, A.M., Avila, C.M., Gutierrez, N., Palomino, C., Moreno, M.T. and Cubero, J.I. 2010. Markerassisted selection in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Field Crops Research*, **115**:243-252. [Cross Ref]
- Van der Linden, C.G., Wouters, D.C.A.E., Mihalka, V., Kochieva, E.Z., Smulders, M.J.M. and Vosman, B. 2004. Efficient targeting of plant disease resistance loci using NBS profiling. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, **109**:384-393. [Cross Ref]
- Varma, A. and Malathi, V.G. 2003. Emerging geminivirus problems.Aserious threat to crop production. *Annals* of *Applied Biology*, **142**:145-164. [Cross Ref]
- Yuksel, B., Estill, J.C., Schulze, S.R. and Paterson, A.H.

https://doi.org/10.37992/2023.1401.028

2005. Organization and evolution of resistance gene analogs in peanut. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics*, **274**:248-263. [Cross Ref]

- Yu, Y.G., SaghaiMaroof, M.A. and Buss, G.R. 1996. Divergence and allelomorphic relationship of a soybean virus resistance gene based on tightly linked DNA microsatellite and RFLP markers. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, **92**: 64-69. [Cross Ref]
- Zukovaskij, P.M. 1962. Cultivated plants and their wild relatives. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau, (trans:Hudson PS). Farnham Royal.