Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding

Research Article



Revealing genetic variability and character association for yield and yield- attributing traits of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under water-limited condition

S. Swathi¹, N. Aananthi^{2*}, M. Gunasekaran¹, S. Muthuramu³, T. Sivakumar⁴ and R. Renuka⁵

¹Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai.

²Agricultural Research Station, Kovilpatti.

³Agricultural Research Station, Paramakudi.

⁴Department of Seed Science and Technology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai.

⁵Department of Plant Biotechnology, Centre for Plant Molecular Biology and Biotechnology,

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

*E-Mail: aananthi.n@tnau.ac.in

Abstract

The present study aims to evaluate the genetic variability, character association and path coefficient for various quantitative traits in fifty rice genotypes comprising of landraces and varieties under water limited conditions. Drought being a major abiotic stress causes severe reduction in grain yield especially during reproductive stages. The results revealed the presence of high genetic variability and significance for all the traits studied. The traits like single plant yield, number of filled spikelets per panicle, number of productive tillers per plant, flag leaf length, leaf rolling and leaf drying showed high variability compared to all other traits. The traits *viz.*, spikelet fertility, number of filled spikelets per plant, plant height, flag leaf breadth, panicle length, number of filled spikelets per plant, suggesting the potential importance of these traits in the improvement and selection of stable and high-yielding rice genotypes.

Keywords: correlation, drought, heritability, rice, path analysis

INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) thrives under diverse climatic and soil conditions. Drought stress poses a major challenge in rice cultivation bringing about substantial decline in yield and productivity. In India, rice is cultivated in an area of 46.27 million hectares resulting in the overall production of around 129.47 million metric tonnes and an average productivity of 2.7 tonnes per hectare (INDIASTAT 2021-2022). Drought stress at reproductive stage affects the grain yield substantially as flowering is the most critical stage of the crop. Drought tolerant mechanisms include morphological and physiological adaptations that are

controlled by genetic factors at various growth stages of the rice. Water deficit conditions results in reduced plant height, leaf rolling and decreased spikelet fertility percentage which results in fewer grains per panicle (Nithya *et al.*, 2020). Root architectural traits such as rooting depth favours adaptation of rice genotypes to water limited conditions which enhances grain yield (Hazman and Brown 2018). Thus, to overcome yield reduction under drought stress condition, breeding objective should be focussed on development of drought tolerant cultivars. The traditional rice varieties and their wild counterparts

possess an indispensable reservoir of genes that offers resistance or tolerance to both biotic and abiotic stresses. These genetic resources can be effectively utilised to develop novel rice genotypes possessing resilience to withstand adverse climatic conditions (Manikanta et al., 2020). Heritability of a genetic trait plays a crucial role in predicting its response to selection (Venkateshwarlu et al., 2022). The correlation coefficient reveals the magnitude and direction of association among yield-related characters and path analysis further partition the relationship between grain yield and yield contributing characters into distinct direct and indirect path coefficients that offers valuable criteria for selection that can enhance grain yield (Beena et al., 2021). With this background, the present study has been carried out to estimate the variability, heritability, genetic advance as percent of mean, correlation, and path analysis to determine contribution of each trait to overall yield, which could serve as selection criteria for the development of high-yielding rice varieties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Paramakudi during November 2022 to April 2023 using fifty rice genotypes comprising of land races and varieties **(Table 1)**. The 50 rice genotypes were staggered sown thrice in nursery bed and transplanted under main field conditions. The experiment was laid out in Randomised Block Design (RBD) with three replications consisting of three rows, each measuring three metres in length at a spacing of 20 x 15 cm and followed the recommended agronomic practices. The field was maintained under well-watered conditions until

45 days from transplanting and irrigation was withheld to impose reproductive stage drought stress. The stress was continued for about 15 days until severe leaf rolling and leaf drying were seen in atleast 75% of the examined genotypes and the plants were re-watered and drained after a day to impose second cycle of drought stress (Venkateshwarlu et al., 2022). The stress cycles were repeated to make sure all the genotypes with different durations experienced water stress both 15 days prior to and after the flowering stage. (Lafitte et al., 2004). The drought scores on leaf rolling and leaf drying were taken following SES, (IRRI, 2013) and physiological trait viz., relative water content was measured after 15 days of with-holding irrigation by following Weatherley (1950). Five representative plants were selected for the measurement of agronomic traits such as plant height(cm), panicle length(cm), number of total tillers per plant per plant, number of productive tillers per plant, flag leaf length(cm), flag leaf breadth(cm), number of filled spikelets per panicle, root length(cm), kernel length(cm), kernel breadth(cm), spikelet fertility (%), hundred seed weight(g) and single plant yield(g). The data on days to fifty percent flowering and days to maturity was observed at the plot level. The collected data was analysed using R, a statistical software package. By following Burton's method (1952), the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) were computed. Broad-sense heritability was estimated using Lush's approach (1940) and genetic advance was assessed by employing Johnson's method (1955). The correlation coefficient was calculated and path analysis was estimated using Wright (1921) and Dewey and Lu (1959).

S. No.	Genotypes	S. No.	Genotypes	S. No.	Genotypes
1	CO 48	18	Kattanur	35	IC 458608
2	CO 49	19	Kallurundaikar	36	IC 386358
3	CO 50	20	Kuzhiyadichan	37	IC 458005
4	CO 51	21	IC 205988	38	IC 464685
5	CO 52	22	IC 208155	39	IC 461349
6	CO 53	23	IC 67496	40	IC 214151
7	CO 54	24	IC 555090	41	IC 460367X
8	CO 55	25	IC 467267	42	IC 115406
9	GEB 24	26	IC 207562	43	IC 125403
10	CR 1009	27	IC 216378	44	IC 458581
11	Arubadham kuruvai	28	IC 378202	45	IC 213773
12	Kuruvai kalanjiyam	29	IC 206999	46	IC 248033
13	Nootripathu	30	IC 457996	47	IC 206282
14	Norungan	31	IC 126468	48	IC 458210X
15	Poongar	32	IC 378553	49	IC 465008
16	Chithiraikar	33	IC 378408	50	IC350740
17	Chandikar	34	IC 126331		

*IC- Indigenous collection

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance showed the presence of considerable variations between fifty rice genotypes, signifying the existence of variability among all the examined traits (Table 2). Comparatively, magnitude of PCV was slightly greater than GCV for all the traits studied, suggesting that the environmental factors have relatively lesser control on phenotypic appearance of these characteristics. Consistent results were previously reported by Katral et al. (2022), provides adequate scope for the selection of superior plants. The results of estimates of genetic variability (PCV and GCV), heritability in broad sense and GAM are presented in Table 3. Single plant yield recorded high variation in phenotypic and genotypic coefficients, which is akin to the results of Renuprasath et al. (2023). High estimates of variability were recorded for number of productive tillers per plant, number of filled spikelets per panicle and flag leaf length, which aligned with the conclusions of Singh et al. (2022) and Manohar et al. (2022) for flag leaf length. The traits, leaf rolling and leaf drying exhibited high values for the coefficients of variation which is analogous to the results of Acharjee et al. (2021). This showed that yield improvement could be achieved by considering these traits at the time of selection. The low genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation was noted for the characters namely days to maturity, kernel length, spikelet fertility and relative water content which is consistent with the findings in the order of Demeke et al. (2023), Singh et al. (2020),

Table 2. ANOVA for eighteen characters in rice

Renuprasath *et al.* (2023) and Singh *et al.* (2022) for the above traits. Moderate PCV and GCV was observed for days to fifty percent flowering, root length, flag leaf breadth and kernel breadth which is in line to the results of Ahmed *et al.* (2021) for days to fifty per cent flowering; Ali *et al.* (2021) for flag leaf breadth, Singh *et al.* (2020) for kernel breadth. Similarily, the traits *viz.*, plant height, panicle length, and hundred seed weight exhibited the moderate values and the similar trend was observed by Acharjee *et al.* (2021).

The heritability concept explains about the significant difference among the rice genotypes arises from variation in genetic factors or due to environmental influences. In the present study, sixteen out of eighteen characters recorded high heritability, which indicates the least environmental influence. Since broad sense heritability is based on total genetic variance, heritability along with genetic advance will be useful for selection. High heritability was noticed for the traits, days to fifty percent flowering (99.27%), days to maturity (98.49%), plant height (87.04%), number of productive tillers per plant (73.93%), flag leaf length (94.61%), flag leaf breadth (68.39%), leaf rolling (80.91%), leaf drying (72.38%), number of filled spikelets per panicle (98.15%), spikelet fertility (93.19%), root length (88.68%), kernel length (97.16%), kernel breadth (93.74%) and single plant yield (93.50%). The results were found in accordance with the earlier reports of Aswin et al. (2021) for days to fifty percent

Characters		Mean Sum of Squares	
	Replication	Treatment	Error
Days to 50% flowering	3.247	486.705**	1.192
Days to maturity	4.247	406.615**	2.070
Plant height(cm)	9.102	826.315**	39.072
Panicle length (cm)	4.943	17.980**	3.352
Number of total tillers/plant	2.927	13.762**	3.192
Number of productive tillers/plant	1.727	10.401**	1.094
Flag leaf length (cm)	7.288	219.206**	4.083
Flag leaf breadth (cm)	0.023	0.081**	0.011
Relative water content (%)	5.053	38.162**	3.959
Leaf rolling	0.487	10.436**	0.759
Leaf drying	2.101	5.889**	0.665
Number of filled spikelets /panicle	12.860	1708.853**	10.663
Spikelet fertility%	2.684	57.688**	1.372
Root length(cm)	0.240	44.895**	1.832
Hundred seed weight(g)	0.005	0.708**	0.002
Kernel length(mm)	0.018	0.701**	0.007
Kernel breadth(mm)	0.002	0.309**	0.007
Single plant yield (g)	6.318	93.978**	2.128

** significance at 1% level

Characters	Ra	nge	PCV	GCV	h²b	GAM
	Minimum	Maximum	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Days to 50% flowering	58.33	114.00	17.04	16.98	99.27	34.85
Days to maturity	87.67	148.00	9.76	9.69	98.49	19.81
Plant height(cm)	72.00	134.93	16.83	15.70	87.04	30.18
Panicle length (cm)	15.30	25.47	13.68	10.53	59.26	16.71
Number of total tillers/plant	7.00	18.00	21.61	15.65	52.47	23.35
Number of productive tillers/plant	4.67	12.33	24.90	21.41	73.93	37.92
Flag leaf length (cm)	15.77	53.07	24.04	23.39	94.61	46.86
Flag leaf breadth(cm)	0.83	1.50	17.37	14.37	68.39	24.47
Relative water content (%)	69.00	84.50	5.16	4.45	74.22	9.89
Leaf rolling	0.00	7.00	48.77	43.88	80.91	81.33
Leaf drying	0.00	7.00	50.25	42.75	72.38	74.93
Number of filled spikelets /panicle	42.00	134.67	27.94	27.69	98.15	56.50
Spikelet fertility%	69.00	82.5	5.88	5.68	93.19	11.29
Root length(cm)	18.07	36.33	16.49	15.53	88.68	30.13
Hundred seed weight (g)	1.49	3.24	19.95	19.87	99.15	40.75
Kernel length(mm)	4.70	7.27	8.03	7.91	97.16	16.06
Kernel breadth(mm)	1.53	2.83	14.06	13.61	93.74	27.15
Single plant yield (g)	8.30	27.67	36.17	34.97	93.50	69.66

Table 3. Genet	tic variability parame	eters for eighteen char	acters in 50 rice genotypes
----------------	------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------

flowering, plant height, number of productive tillers per plant and single plant yield; Palaniyappan *et al.* (2020) for flag leaf length and flag leaf breadth; Ahmed *et al.* (2021) for number of filled spikelets per panicle and root length; Dinesh *et al.* (2023) for days to maturity, spikelet fertility, kernel length, and kernel breadth and Manickavelu *et al.* (2006) for leaf rolling and leaf drying. Moderate heritability was observed for panicle length (59.26%) and number of total tillers per plant per plant (52.47%), which is similar to the results of Faysal *et al.* (2022) for panicle length.

The GAM values were observed from 9.89% to 81.33%. Moderate GAM was observed for days to maturity (19.81%), panicle length (16.71%), spikelet fertility (11.29%), and kernel length (16.06%). Such GAM values were found out by Demeke et al. (2023) for days to maturity and panicle length; Nithya et al. (2020) for spikelet fertility. Leaf rolling (81.33%) and leaf drying (74.93%) exhibited high GAM and comparable results were obtained by Manickavelu et al. (2006). High GAM was reported for days to fifty percent flowering (34.85%), plant height (30.18%), number of total tillers per plant per plant (23.35%), number of productive tillers per plant (37.92%), flag leaf length (46.86%), flag leaf breadth (24.47%), number of filled spikelets per panicle (56.50%), hundred seed weight (40.75%), kernel breadth (27.15%) and single plant yield (69.66%). Shanmugam et al. (2023) reported similar results for such traits.

Days to fifty percent flowering, plant height, number of productive tillers per plant, flag leaf length, flag leaf

breadth, leaf rolling, leaf drying, number of filled spikelets per panicle, root length, hundred seed weight, kernel breadth and single plant yield showed high heritability in conjunction with high genetic advance indicates the additive gene action on expression of these traits and phenotypic selection will bring more yield effective outcomes.

Correlation coefficient analysis helps in understanding the connection between yield and its contributing traits and aids in discerning the roles played by genetic and non-genetic factors in the process of improvement via selection. Estimates of genotypic correlation coefficient between yield and its attributes for eighteen characters in fifty rice genotypes were presented in (Table 4). The correlation analysis revealed that the single plant yield exhibited a significant and positive correlation with plant height (0.448), panicle length (0.384), number of total tillers per plant per plant (0.740), number of productive tillers per plant (0.706), flag leaf breadth (0.317), relative water content (0.369), number of filled spikelets per panicle (0.789), spikelet fertility (0.817), and root length (0.350). Similar results were reported by Faysal et al. (2022) for plant height and panicle length; Sanku et al. (2022) for number of total tillers per plant per plant, number of productive tillers per plant, flag leaf breadth, and number of filled spikelets per panicle, Lohiteswararao et al. (2021) for number of filled spikelets per panicle and spikelet fertility and Navya et al. (2019) for relative water content.

lable 4.	Gen	otypic c	orrelati	ion coet	ticient b	etween y	vield and	l its attr	ibutes II	n 50 rice	genotyp	es						
Traits I	DFF	MD	НЧ	PL	F	РТ	FLL	FLB	RWC	LR	Г	FSP	SF	RL	MSH	KL	KB	SΡΥ
DFF 1	1.00	0.845**	-0.119	0.151	-0.023	-0.084	0.187	0.052	-0.032	0.038	0.292*	-0.303*	-0.274	0.215	-0.145	0.013	0.127	-0.259
DM		1.00	-0.091	0.183	0.056	-0.086	0.351*	0.242	0.061	0.088	0.267	-0.154	-0.210	0.179	-0.259	-0.031	0.027	-0.161
Н			1.00	0.537**	0.183	0.081	-0.011	-0.016	0.169	-0.126	-0.153	0.333*	0.455**	0.292*	0.437**	0.186	0.233	0.448**
ΡL				1.00	0.415**	0.328*	-0.169	0.116	0.053	0.079	-0.226	0.264	0.126	0.059	0.098	0.037	0.109	0.384**
РТ					1.00	0.912**	-0.256	0.118	0.084	-0.200	-0.056	0.527**	0.492**	0.210	-0.299*	-0.127	-0.352*	0.740**
ET						1.00	-0.297*	-0.010	0.014	-0.146	-0.086	0.537**	0.440**	0.174	-0.325*	-0.338*	-0.301*	0.706**
FLL							1.00	0.286*	0.071	0.215	0.141	0.051	-0.033	0.185	0.100	-0.032	0.296*	-0.111
FLB								1.00	0.413**	-0.040	-0.211	0.383**	0.238	0.400**	-0.111	0.132	-0.161	0.317*
RWC									1.00	-0.427**	-0.344*	0.299*	0.469**	0.200	0.151	0.082	0.084	0.369*
LR										1.00	0.383**	-0.146	-0.234	0.058	-0.176	-0.005	-0.149	-0.275
LD											1.00	-0.421**	-0.443**	0.045	-0.380**	0.112	-0.307*	-0.368**
FSP												1.00	0.771**	0.413**	0.107	-0.116	-0.008	0.789**
SF													1.00	0.419**	0.209	-0.092	0.073	0.817**
RL														1.00	0.054	0.095	0.058	0.350*
MSH															1.00	0.455**	0.733**	090.0
KL																1.00	0.049	-0.174
KB																	1.00	-0.074
SPΥ																		1.00
DFF- Day FLL- Flag length, H5 '*' Signific	/s to fi J leaf lo SW- H sance a	fty percer ength, FL lundred S at 5% lev	nt flowerii B- Flag I eed Wei el, **' Si ₍	ng, DM- E eaf bread ight KL- kı gnificance	Days to M∉ Ith, RWC- ernel lengt ∍ at 1% lev	iturity, PH- Relative w th. KB- Ke	- Plant He /ater conte :rnel breac	ight, PL-F ent, LR- I tth, SPY-	² anicle ler -eaf rolling Single pl	ngth, NT-N g, LD- Lea ant yield	lumber of ⁱ f drying, F	total tillers SP-Numbe	per plant p	ver plant, F spikelets p	^o T- Numbe er panicle,	er of produ , SF- Spik	ctive tiller elet fertilit	s per plant, y, RL- Root

https://doi.org/10.37992/2023.1403.125

1085

DFF-0.080-0.049-0.0180.014-0.0030.0050.011-0.06DM-0.068-0.058-0.0140.0180.0030.0520.0030.0520.003PH0.0100.0050.1490.0510.0250.0030.0250.0030.003PL-0.0110.0050.1490.0510.0570.099-0.0030.0250.003PL-0.012-0.0110.0800.0310.1370.275-0.0030.0260.00PT0.0070.0050.0120.0130.0120.0130.0250.0030.0020.00PT0.003-0.0030.0120.0110.0160.0130.0250.0030.0260.00PT0.003-0.004-0.014-0.0020.0110.0160.0250.000.01PT0.003-0.014-0.0020.0110.016-0.0330.0250.00PT-0.014-0.0250.0110.016-0.0330.0260.01PT0.003-0.0250.0110.0160.0120.0120.01PT-0.014-0.013-0.0250.0110.0120.0140.01PT-0.013-0.0250.01020.0120.0120.0120.01PT-0.0140.0250.0120.0120.0120.0120.01PT-0.0240.0120.0120.0120.0120.010.01 </th <th>PL TT PT F</th> <th>LL FLB</th> <th>RWC</th> <th>LR</th> <th>E</th> <th>SP SF</th> <th>RL</th> <th>MSH</th> <th>KL</th> <th>KB</th> <th>SPΥ</th>	PL TT PT F	LL FLB	RWC	LR	E	SP SF	RL	MSH	KL	KB	SPΥ
DM -0.058 -0.014 0.0118 0.005 0.0149 0.0018 0.0052 0.0024 0.0003 0.0053 0.0033	014 -0.003 -0.025 0.0	0.011	-0.001	-0.003	0.015 -0	.020 -0.11	5 -0.009	0.010	-0.001	0.012	-0.259
H10.0100.005 0.149 0.0510.0250.000-0.0030.003PL-0.012-0.0110.080 0.095 0.0570.099-0.0020.0250.003TT0.002-0.0030.0270.0310.1250.0030.0250.0030.005PT0.0070.0050.0120.0120.0030.0130.0250.0030.0020.003PT0.0070.0050.0120.0010.0130.0120.0030.0100.0250.003PT0.0070.0050.0140.0010.0150.0130.0100.0250.003PT0.0070.0030.0140.0010.0160.0160.0130.0030.0120.003PLL0.0040.0040.0020.0110.0160.0130.0120.0030.0120.003PLN0.003-0.0040.0120.0120.0120.0120.0130.0120.0130.013PLN0.0240.0030.0120.0250.0030.0120.0140.0250.003PLN0.0240.0030.0120.0250.0250.0030.0140.0250.003PLN0.0240.0230.0250.0250.0250.0260.0260.026PLN0.0240.0230.0250.0250.0260.0240.0250.026PLN0.0240.0230.0230.0260.0230.026<	018 0.008 -0.026 0.0	003 0.052	0.001	-0.007	0.013 -0	.010 -0.08	3 -0.007	0.018	0.001	0.003	-0.161
PL -0.012 -0.011 0.080 0.095 0.057 0.093 0.026 <th0< td=""><td>051 0.025 0.024 0.0</td><td>00.0- 0003</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.010</td><td>0.008 0.</td><td>022 0.19(</td><td>) -0.012</td><td>-0.031</td><td>-0.008</td><td>0.022</td><td>0.448**</td></th0<>	051 0.025 0.024 0.0	00.0- 0003	0.003	0.010	0.008 0.	022 0.19() -0.012	-0.031	-0.008	0.022	0.448**
TT0.002-0.0030.0270.040 0.137 0.275-0.0030.0260.00PT0.0070.0050.0120.0310.125 0.301 -0.003-0.0020.00FLL-0.015-0.021-0.002-0.016-0.035-0.039 0.010 0.0620.00FLB-0.003-0.014-0.002-0.016-0.035-0.0330.003 0.010 0.0620.00FLB-0.004-0.014-0.0020.0110.016-0.0330.003 0.011 0.0620.00RWC0.003-0.0040.0250.0110.016-0.0330.003 0.014 0.0620.001RWC0.003-0.0040.0250.0110.0160.0120.0040.003 0.014 0.012RWC0.003-0.0160.0250.0050.0120.0040.0040.0260.014LR-0.0240.0160.0250.0250.0260.0110.0230.0010.0240.02RL0.0210.0120.0260.0120.0260.0110.0230.0260.011RL0.0110.0120.0130.0260.0130.0260.0210.0240.02RL0.0110.0250.0260.0260.0260.0260.0260.0260.026RL0.0120.0130.0260.0260.0260.0260.0260.0260.026RL0.011 <th< td=""><td>095 0.057 0.099 -0.</td><td>002 0.025</td><td>0.001</td><td>- 900.0-</td><td>0.011 0.</td><td>018 0.052</td><td>2 -0.003</td><td>-0.007</td><td>-0.002</td><td>0.010</td><td>0.384**</td></th<>	095 0.057 0.099 -0.	002 0.025	0.001	- 900.0-	0.011 0.	018 0.052	2 -0.003	-0.007	-0.002	0.010	0.384**
FT 0.007 0.005 0.012 0.031 0.125 0.301 -0.003 -0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.003 -0.003 0.003 0.0062 0.00 FLL -0.014 -0.002 -0.014 -0.002 0.011 0.016 0.003 0.012 0.003 0.0162 0.00 FLB -0.003 -0.014 -0.002 0.011 0.016 0.003 0.216 0.003 RWC 0.003 -0.004 0.014 0.025 0.012 0.014 0.003 0.016 0.003 RWC 0.003 -0.016 0.022 0.003 0.012 0.003 0.01 LR -0.024 0.016 0.022 0.012 0.026 0.014 0.024 0.01 FSP 0.024 0.003 0.025 0.021 0.026 0.024 0.02 FSP 0.022 0.026 0.012 0.026 0.011 0.024 0.06	040 0.137 0.275 -0.	003 0.026	0.002	0.016	0.003 0.	035 0.206	900.0- 0	0.021	0.006	-0.032	0.740**
FLL -0.015 -0.021 -0.002 -0.016 -0.035 -0.089 0.010 0.062 0.00 FLB -0.004 -0.014 -0.002 0.011 0.016 -0.003 0.013 0.016 0.062 0.00 RWC 0.003 -0.014 -0.025 0.005 0.012 0.014 0.03 0.216 0.003 RWC 0.003 -0.004 0.025 0.005 0.012 0.004 0.033 0.216 0.003 LW -0.003 -0.004 0.025 0.0027 -0.014 0.026 0.001 0.039 0.00 LW -0.024 -0.016 -0.023 -0.027 -0.014 0.026 0.001 0.045 -0.01 SF 0.024 0.005 0.012 0.012 0.0145 -0.01 0.024 0.02 SF 0.022 0.026 0.017 0.133 0.001 0.024 0.01 FSP 0.022 0.023 0.026	031 0.125 0.301 - 0.	003 -0.002	0.000	0.011 -	0.004 0.	036 0.184	1 -0.007	0.023	0.015	-0.028	0.706**
FLB -0.004 -0.014 -0.002 0.011 0.016 -0.003 0.0216 0.003 0.014 0.016 0.003 0.016 0.003 0.014 0.003 0.014 0.003 0.013 0.014 0.039 0.01 LR -0.003 -0.004 0.025 0.003 -0.019 0.003 -0.019 0.003 -0.019 0.012 LP -0.003 -0.016 -0.023 -0.022 -0.004 0.025 0.001 0.033 -0.045 0.01 FSP 0.024 0.003 0.025 0.022 -0.038 -0.045 0.003 0.033 0.004 0.01 FSP 0.024 0.003 0.025 0.012 0.015 0.016 0.023 0.00 FSP 0.022 0.012 0.012 0.025 0.012 0.0145 0.02 0.02 0.01 FSP 0.022 0.012 0.023 0.012 0.023 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 <td>016 -0.035 -0.089 0.(</td> <td>010 0.062</td> <td>0.001</td> <td>-0.017</td> <td>0.007 0.</td> <td>003 -0.01</td> <td>4 -0.008</td> <td>-0.007</td> <td>0.001</td> <td>0.027</td> <td>-0.111</td>	016 -0.035 -0.089 0. (010 0.062	0.001	-0.017	0.007 0.	003 -0.01	4 -0.008	-0.007	0.001	0.027	-0.111
RWC 0.003 -0.004 0.025 0.005 0.012 0.004 0.001 0.089 0.01 LR -0.003 -0.005 -0.019 0.008 -0.027 -0.044 0.002 -0.009 -0.01 LD -0.024 -0.016 -0.023 -0.022 -0.008 -0.045 -0.01 FSP 0.022 0.012 0.025 0.072 0.162 0.001 -0.045 -0.01 FSP 0.022 0.012 0.025 0.072 0.072 0.014 0.023 -0.02 FSP 0.022 0.012 0.025 0.072 0.162 0.075 0.06 FSP 0.022 0.012 0.023 0.027 0.167 0.025 0.06 0.06 FSP 0.022 0.012 0.026 0.013 0.026 0.06 0.06 0.06 FSP 0.022 0.014 0.023 0.026 0.017 0.025 0.06 0.06 FSP<	011 0.016 -0.003 0.0	003 0.216	0.007	0.003	0.011 0.	025 0.095	9 -0.017	0.008	-0.006	-0.015	0.317*
LR -0.003 -0.016 -0.019 0.008 -0.027 -0.044 0.002 -0.009 -0.06 LD -0.024 -0.016 -0.023 -0.022 -0.008 -0.026 0.001 -0.045 -0.06 FSP 0.024 0.009 0.049 0.025 0.072 0.162 0.001 -0.045 -0.06 FSP 0.022 0.012 0.025 0.072 0.162 0.001 0.083 0.00 FL 0.012 0.049 0.025 0.067 0.133 0.003 0.061 0.053 0.06 FL -0.017 0.012 0.066 0.004 0.067 0.073 0.066 0.06 HSW 0.012 0.065 0.009 0.067 0.073 0.074 0.024 0.06 KL -0.001 0.005 0.009 -0.017 -0.024 0.02 0.06 0.06 KL -0.001 0.002 0.003 0.017 -0.024	005 0.012 0.004 0.0	0.089	0.018	0.033 -	0.017 0.	020 0.19(900.008	-0.011	-0.004	0.008	0.369*
LD -0.024 -0.016 -0.023 -0.022 -0.008 -0.026 0.001 -0.045 -0.04 FSP 0.024 0.009 0.049 0.025 0.072 0.162 0.001 0.083 0.00 SF 0.022 0.012 0.057 0.162 0.001 0.083 0.00 RL -0.017 0.068 0.012 0.057 0.057 0.051 0.05 RL -0.017 0.012 0.066 0.029 0.053 0.005 0.05 HSW 0.012 0.053 0.053 0.053 0.054 0.05 KL -0.011 0.015 0.065 0.004 -0.017 -0.024 0.05 KL -0.001 0.028 0.004 -0.017 -0.029 0.029 0.05 KL -0.001 0.028 0.004 -0.017 -0.029 0.029 0.05	008 -0.027 -0.044 0.0	00.000	-0.008	-0.078	0.019 -0	.010 -0.09	9 -0.002	0.012	0.000	-0.014	-0.275
FSP 0.024 0.009 0.049 0.025 0.072 0.162 0.001 0.083 0.003 SF 0.022 0.012 0.068 0.012 0.067 0.133 0.000 0.051 0.00 RL -0.017 -0.010 0.043 0.006 0.029 0.053 0.006 0.05 HSW 0.012 0.043 0.006 0.029 0.053 0.006 0.02 HSW 0.012 0.016 0.029 0.053 0.024 0.02 KL -0.017 0.015 0.065 0.009 -0.041 -0.024 0.02 KL -0.001 0.022 0.028 0.004 -0.017 -0.024 0.02 KL -0.001 0.002 0.028 0.001 -0.024 0.02	022 -0.008 -0.026 0.0	001 -0.045	-0.006	-0.030	0:050 -0	.028 -0.18	5 -0.002	0.027	-0.005	-0.028	-0.368**
SF 0.022 0.012 0.068 0.012 0.067 0.133 0.000 0.051 0.00 RL -0.017 -0.010 0.043 0.006 0.029 0.053 0.002 0.086 0.00 HSW 0.012 0.015 0.065 0.009 -0.041 -0.024 0.002 0.024 0.02 KL -0.001 0.002 0.028 0.004 -0.017 -0.024 0.02 0.024 0.02 KL -0.001 0.002 0.028 0.004 -0.017 -0.102 0.0200 0.029 0.02 KL -0.001 0.002 0.028 0.004 -0.017 -0.102 0.0200 0.029 0.02	025 0.072 0.162 0.1	0.083 0.083	0.005	0.011 -	0.021 0 .	066 0.322	2 -0.017	-0.008	0.005	-0.001	0.789**
RL -0.017 -0.010 0.043 0.006 0.029 0.053 0.002 0.086 0.00 HSW 0.012 0.015 0.065 0.009 -0.041 -0.098 0.001 -0.024 0.00 KL -0.001 0.002 0.028 0.004 -0.017 -0.024 0.00 KL -0.001 0.002 0.028 0.004 -0.017 -0.102 0.002 0.02	012 0.067 0.133 0.0	000 0.051	0.008	0.018 -	0.022 0.	051 0.41	3 -0.018	-0.015	0.004	0.007	0.817**
HSW 0.012 0.015 0.065 0.009 -0.041 -0.098 0.001 -0.024 0.00 KL -0.001 0.002 0.028 0.004 -0.017 -0.102 0.000 0.029 0.00	006 0.029 0.053 0.0	0.086 0.086	0.004	-0.005).002 O.	027 0.175	-0.042	-0.004	-0.004	0.005	0.350*
KL -0.001 0.002 0.028 0.004 -0.017 -0.102 0.000 0.029 0.00	009 -0.041 -0.098 0.0	001 -0.024	0.003	0.014 -	0.019 0.	007 0.087	7 -0.002	0.070	-0.020	0.067	0.060
	004 -0.017 -0.102 0.0	0.029	0.001	0.000	0- 900.C	.008 -0.03	9 -0.004	-0.032	-0.045	0.005	-0.174
KB -0.010 -0.002 0.035 0.010 -0.048 -0.091 0.003 -0.035 0.00	010 -0.048 -0.091 0.0	003 -0.035	0.002	0.012 -	0.015 -0	.001 0.03() -0.002	-0.052	-0.002	0.092	-0.074

https://doi.org/10.37992/2023.1403.125

Swathi et al.,

Residual effect: 0.0967, ** Significance at 5% level, *** Significance at 1% level

length, HSW- Hundred Seed Weight KL- kernel length. KB- Kernel breadth, SPY- Single plant yield

FLL- Flag leaf length, FLB- Flag leaf breadth, RWC- Relative water content, LR- Leaf rolling, LD- Leaf drying, FSP-Number of filled spikelets per panicle, SF- Spikelet fertility, RL- Root

Days to fifty percent flowering exhibited a notable positive inter-relatedness with days to maturity (0.845), which is consistent with the results of Ali et al. (2019). A positive and significant inter correlation was noticed between plant height with panicle length (0.537), number of filled spikelets per panicle (0.333), spikelet fertility (0.455), root length (0.292), and hundred seed weight (0.437). The outcomes were in corroboration with the report of Dinesh et al. (2023) for panicle length and spikelet fertility. Panicle length showed a positive and significant inter connectedness with number of total tillers per plant per plant (0.415) and number of productive tillers per plant (0.328), which is comparable to the report of Sathishkumar et al. (2020) for number of productive tillers per plant. Number of total tillers per plant per plant is positively and significantly inter correlated with number of productive tillers per plant (0.912), number of filled spikelets per panicle (0.527) and spikelet fertility (0.492), similar result was obtained by Muthuramu and Ragavan, (2020) for spikelet fertility. Leaf rolling showed significant inter correlation with leaf drying (0.383) which is similar to the results of Sellammal et al. (2014). Number of filled spikelets per panicle had a strong positive inter-association with spikelet fertility (0.771) and root length (0.413). Mukherjee et al. (2018) observed the same positive inter relationship between number of filled spikelets per panicle and spikelet fertility. Positive and significant inter association were noticed for hundred seed weight with kernel length (0.455), kernel breadth (0.733) and the results were in relation to the reports of Manivelan et al. (2022) for kernel length and Singh et al. (2020) for kernel breadth.

Path analysis was done by considering single plant yield as dependent variable and all other yield contributing traits as independent variables. The path coefficient analysis of eighteen characters on yield per plant is represented in the Table 5. Evaluation of the path coefficient for various traits contributing to the grain yield per plant under water stress conditions indicated that the examined yield attributes hardly exerted a very high or high direct effect on single plant yield (Beena et al. 2021). The traits such as spikelet fertility (0.418), number of productive tillers per plant (0.301), flag leaf breadth (0.216), plant height (0.149) and Number of total tillers per plant per plant (0.137) recorded direct effect on single plant yield. The positive indirect effect on single plant yield were from number of productive tillers per plant via number of total tillers per plant per plant (0.275), number of filled spikelets per panicle (0.162) and spikelet fertility (0.133) which is in line with the results of Fathima et al. (2021). Spikelet fertility indirectly influences the single plant yield in a positive manner through number of filled spikelets per panicle (0.322), followed by number of total tillers per plant per plant (0.206), relative water content (0.196), plant height (0.190), number of productive tillers per plant (0.184), and root length (0.175). Bhor et al. (2020) obtained similar outcomes for spikelet fertility with plant height and number of productive tillers per plant.

On the basis of above results, it can be inferred that the characters viz., number of productive tillers per plant, flag leaf length, leaf rolling, leaf drying, number of filled spikelets per panicle, and single plant yield exhibited high phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation together with high heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean, which signifies the predominance of additive gene action indicating the potential for improving these traits through selection. Based on the correlation and path analysis, the traits such as spikelet fertility, number of productive tillers per plant, number of total tillers per plant, plant height, panicle length, flag leaf breadth, relative water content and number of filled spikelets per panicle showed positive significant correlation and direct effect in association with single plant yield and are responsible for grain yield improvement in rice under water limited condition.

REFERENCES

- Acharjee, S., Chakraborty, N.R. and Das, S.P. 2021. Screening of rice landraces for potential drought tolerance through comparative studies of genetic variability and principal component analysis. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **12**(4):1091-1101. [Cross Ref]
- Ahmed, A.N., Sarma, M.K., Bhuyan, J., Deka, S.D., Choudhury, H., Zaman, S. and Sharma, A.A. 2021. Genetic variability and character associations in rice cultivars of north bank plain zone of Assam for traits associated with adaptation under moisture stress and grain yield. *In Biological Forum–An International Journal*, **13**(1): 446-451.
- Ali, S.S., Suresh, B.G. and Lavanya. GR .2021. Genetic diversity and correlation studies in rice (*Oryza* sativa L.). Int J Chem Stud, 9(1):2768-2772. [Cross Ref]
- Aswin, M., Umarani, R., Rajeswari, S. and Jeyaprakash, P. 2021. Genetic variability and association analysis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm based on biometrical and floral traits. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **12**(3):912-917.[Cross Ref]
- Beena, R., Veena, V., Jaslam, M.P.K., Nithya, N. and Adarsh, V.S. 2021. Germplasm innovation for high-temperature tolerance from traditional rice accessions of Kerala using genetic variability, genetic advance, path coefficient analysis and principal component analysis. *Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology*, **24**(5):555-566. [Cross Ref]
- Bhor, T.J., Kashid, N.V. and Kadam, S.M. 2020. Genetic variability, character association and path analysis studies for yield components traits in promising rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **9**(4):1953-1956.

- Burton, GW. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. *Pro VI Int Grassl Cong*, **1**:277-283.
- Demeke, B., Dejene, T. and Abebe, D. 2023. Genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance of morphological, yield related and quality traits in upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes at pawe, northwestern Ethiopia. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, **9** (1): 2157099. [Cross Ref]
- Dewey, D.R. and Lu, K. 1959. Acorrelation and path-coefficient analysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed production 1. *Agronomy journal*, **51**(9):515-518. [Cross Ref]
- Dinesh, K., Devi, M.S., Sreelakshmi, C. and Paramasiva, I. 2023. Exploring the genetic diversity for yield and quality traits in indigenous landraces of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **14**(2):502-510. [Cross Ref]
- Fathima, M.A., Geetha, S., Amudha, K. and Uma, D. 2021. Genetic variability, frequency distribution and association analysis in ADT (R) 48 x Kavuni derived F2 population of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **12**(3):659-666. [Cross Ref]
- Faysal, A.S.M., Ali, L., Azam, M.G., Sarker, U., Ercisli, S., Golokhvast, K.S. and Marc, R.A. 2022. Genetic variability, character association, and path coefficient analysis in transplant Aman rice genotypes. *Plants*, **11**(21):2952. [Cross Ref]
- Hazman, M. and Brown, K.M. 2018. Progressive drought alters architectural and anatomical traits of rice roots. *Rice*, **11**:1-16. [Cross Ref]
- International Rice Research Institute. Standard Evaluation System for Rice 2013. Available from: http://www. knowledgebank.irri.org/images/docs/rice-standardevaluation-system.pdf
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E. 1955. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans 1. *Agronomy journal*, **47**(7):314-318. [Cross Ref]
- Katral, A., Biradar, H., Harijan, Y., Aruna, Y.R., Hadimani, J. and Hittalmani, S. 2022. Genetic analysis and traits association study in marker-assisted multi-drought-traits pyramided genotypes under reproductive-stage moisture stress in rice (*Oryza* sativa L.). Euphytica, 218(3):21. [Cross Ref]
- Lafitte, H.R., Price, A.H. and Courtois, B. 2004. Yield response to water deficit in an upland rice mapping population: associations among traits and genetic markers. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, **109**:1237-1246. [Cross Ref]

- Lohiteswararao, R.V., Kumar, P., Sravani, P., Raju, K.K. and Gogada, R. 2021. Correlation and path analysis of grain yield and its attributes of traditional rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **10**(12):1055-1058. [Cross Ref]
- Lush, J.L. 1940. Intra-sire correlations or regressions of offspring on dam as a method of estimating heritability of characteristics. *Proceedings of American Society of Animal Production*, **33**:293-301.
- Manickavelu, A., Nadarajan, N., Ganesh, S.K., Gnanamalar, R.P. and Chandra Babu, R. 2006. Drought tolerance in rice: morphological and molecular genetic consideration. *Plant Growth Regulation*, **50**:121-138. [Cross Ref]
- Manikanta, C.L., Beena, R., Stephen, R., Manju, R.V., Viji, M.M. and Alex, S. 2020. Physio morphological plasticity of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes exposed to water-stress. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture*, **58** (1).
- Manivelan, K., Juliet Hepziba, S., Suresh, R., Theradimani, M., Renuka, R. and Gnanamalar, R.P. 2022. Inherent variability, correlation and path analysis in lowland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). In *Biological Forum– An International Journal*, **14** (2):771-778.
- Manohar, R.V., Nivethitha, T., Jadhav, B.N., Raveendran, M., Sritharan, N., Pushpam, R. and Joel, A.J. 2022. Utilising genetic variability and diversity analysis as a tool to identify drought tolerant pre-breeding genetic materials in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **11**(8): 1374-1381. [Cross Ref]
- Mukherjee, M., Padhy, B., Donde, R., Mahadani, P., Baksh, S.Y., Behera, L. and Dash, S.K. 2018. Study of genetic diversity and effectiveness of traits for direct selection under drought as well as nonstress condition for Rainfed upland rice. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **7**(3):2060-2067.
- Muthuramu, S. and Ragavan, T. 2020. Genotypic correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield traits in rainfed rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **9**(2):1621-1623. [Cross Ref]
- Navya, G.T., Dushyanthakumar, B.M., Madhuri, R., Shubha, K.N. and Gangaprasad, S. 2019. Studies on morpho-physiological traits associated with drought tolerance in local landraces of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 8(7):1940-1951. [Cross Ref]
- Nithya, N., Beena, R., Stephen, R., Abida, P.S., Jayalekshmi,

https://doi.org/10.37992/2023.1403.125

V.G., Viji, M.M. and Manju, R.V. 2020. Genetic variability, heritability, correlation coefficient and path analysis of morphophysiological and yield related traits of rice under drought stress. *Chemical Science Review and Letters*, **9**(33):48-54. [Cross Ref]

- Palaniyappan, S., Arunachalam, P., Banumathy, S., Mini, M.L. and Muthuramu, S. 2020. Genetic parameters and character association studies in rice (*Oryza* sativa L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 9(3):1652-1657.
- Renuprasath, P., Ganesan, N.M., Bama, K.S., Boominathan, P. and Suresh, R. 2023. Variability and association analysis for yield and yield contributing traits in early segregating backcross population in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **12** (2): 3218-3222.
- Sanku, G., Hepziba, S.J., Sheeba, A., Hemalatha, G. and Senthil, K. 2022. Genetic variability and relatedness among yield characters in rice landraces and improved varieties. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **13**(3):932-939. [Cross Ref]
- Sathishkumar, R., Gnanamalar, R.P., Suresh, R., Anand, G. and Vellaikumar, S. 2020. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient studies in F2 generation of short slender aromatic and medium slender non-aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **11**(2):505-510. [Cross Ref]
- Sellammal, R., Robin, S. and Raveendran, M. 2014. Association and heritability studies for drought resistance under varied moisture stress regimes in backcross inbred population of rice. *Rice Science*, **21**(3):150-161. [Cross Ref]
- Shanmugam, A., Suresh, R., Ramanathan, A., Anandhi, P. and Sassikumar, D. 2023. Unravelling genetic diversity of South Indian rice landraces based on yield and its components. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **14**(1):160-169. [Cross Ref]
- Singh, K.S., Suneetha, Y., Kumar, G.V., Rao, V.S., Raja, D.S. and Srinivas, T. 2020. Variability, correlation and path studies in coloured rice. *Int J Chem Stud*, 8(4):2138-2144. [Cross Ref]
- Singh, V.K., Wahi, N., Mishra, S.K., Singh, B.D. and Singh, N.K. 2022. Studies on genetic variability, correlation analysis, character association and path analysis of phenotypic characteristics of twelve mega varieties of rice and its near-isogenic lines carrying high grain number per panicle QTL qGN4. 1. Current Trends in Biotechnology and Pharmacy, 16(1):35-45.
- Venkateshwarlu, C., Kole, P.C., Paul, P.J., Singh, A.K., Singh, V.K. and Kumar, A. 2022. Capturing genetic

variability and identification of promising droughttolerant lines in exotic landrace derived population under reproductive drought stress in rice. *Frontiers in plant science*, **13**:814774. [Cross Ref]

- Weatherley, P. 1950. Studies in the water relations of the cotton plant. I. The field measurement of water deficits in leaves. *New Phytologist* :81-97. [Cross Ref]
- Wright, S. 1921. Correlation and causation. *Journal of* Agricultural research **20**:557-580.