Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding

Research Article



Nature of gene action and combining ability effects for grain yield and quality traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

S. Santhiya¹, R. Pushpam^{1*}, A.Subramanian¹, A. John Joel² and A. Senthil³

¹Centre for Plant Breeding and Genetics, TNAU, Coimbatore, India

²Centre for Plant Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, TNAU, Coimbatore, India

³Department of Crop Physiology, TNAU, Coimbatore, India

*E-Mail: pushpamtnau@gmail.com

Abstract

Combining ability, along with heterosis was elucidated for nine yield attributing and 10 grain quality traits in a set of 40 rice hybrid combinations derived from 13 parents using Line × Tester mating approach. The analysis of combining ability unveiled significant GCA and SCA variances, highlighting the importance of both additive and non-additive genetic components. The ratio of dominant genetic variance to additive genetic variance exceeded unity, indicating the prevalence of non-additive gene action in governing the inheritance of all examined traits. The parental line CO 55 and the testers IC 457996 and IC 208262 were recognized as good combiners for the majority of yield-related and grain-quality traits. Regarding *per se* performance, heterosis, and *sca* effects, the crosses CO 55 × IC 457996 and ADT 54 × IC 115439 were found promising. Consequently, these combinations could be exploited for recombination breeding aimed at developing early maturing, high-yielding fine-grain rice varieties with market acceptance.

Keywords: Combing ability, Line x Tester, Gene action, Heterosis, Rice

INTRODUCTION

Rice stands as the foremost important food crop in the world, especially in Asian countries. Despite being the primary dietary source for more than one-third of the world's population, its demand is increasing day by day. Projections indicate that by 2050, the global demand for rice will surge to 943.6 million tonnes, necessitating an annual production increase of 5.8 million tonnes from current levels (FAO, 2017). However, earlier records revealed that the annual growth in yield has been limited to < 1% over the past decade, failing to keep pace with the escalating demand due to various constraints (Khush, 2013). In the present era, the significance of quality parameters is on the rise globally, especially in regions with self-sustaining rice production alongside improvements in people's per capita income and living standards. This prioritizes the need for the development

of rice varieties that are both high-yielding and of superior quality. In light of the presently recognized constraints in resources and diverse environmental challenges, enhancing rice production through sustainable methods poses a significant challenge. Examining combining ability is one among many genetic approaches aimed at surpassing the yield barrier in rice and enhancing productivity. Selection of parental lines is crucial for the success of crop variety development, as the performance of the progeny relies primarily on the genetic potential of the parents (Sprague and Tatum, 1942). Combining ability studies helps to pinpoint optimal combiners that can be incorporated into crosses to exploit heterosis, accumulate fixable genes and acquire desirable segregants. This, in turn, enables breeders to effectively outline the breeding plan for the future enhancement of existing materials

(Abd-El-Aty *et al.*, 2023). The line × tester mating designs are frequently employed to screen parents for different sorts of gene activities and provide accurate information of GCA and SCA even when working with small sample sizes (Dimaano *et al.*, 2020; Kempthorne, 1957). Hence, this study was conducted with the objectives of 1) assessing the nature and extent of gene action related to yield, yield-contributing factors and grain quality traits, 2) estimating the General Combining Ability (GCA) of chosen parents and the Specific Combining Ability (SCA) of the resulting hybrid crosses and 3) quantifying the degree of heterosis exhibited by the generated hybrids.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five popular rice varieties viz., CO 52, CO 54, CO 55, ADT 53 and ADT 54 (lines) and eight landraces viz., IC 457996, IC 206282, IC 378202, IC 464685, IC 115439, IC 67496 and IC 458210 (testers) were chosen as parents based on their yield and quality characters. Hybridization was effected during Rabi, 2022 at the experimental plot in the Department of Rice, TNAU, Coimbatore. The resulting 40 hybrids from line × tester mating fashion along with thirteen parents were evaluated in a randomized complete block design with two replications during Summer, 2023 at the experimental farm of the Department of Rice. TNAU. which is located at 11° N latitude and 77° E longitude. Twenty-one-day-old seedlings were transplanted with one seedling per hill, with a spacing of 30 × 30 cm between rows and plants. The crop was grown by adopting recommended agronomic practices. Nine yield attributing traits viz., days to fifty per cent flowering (DFF), plant height (cm; PH), number of productive tillers (NPT), flag leaf length (cm; FLL), flag leaf width (cm; FLB), panicle length (cm; PL), spikelet fertility (%; SF), thousand grain weight (g; TSW), single plant yield (g; SPY) and ten grain quality traits viz., kernel length (mm; KL), kernel breadth (mm; KB), length breadth ratio (LB ratio), kernel length after cooking (mm; KLAC), kernel breadth after cooking (mm; KBAC), linear elongation ratio (LER), breadth-wise expansion ratio (BER), alkali spreading value (ASV), volume expansion ratio (VER) and water uptake ratio (WUR) were recorded on true F,'s from each replication. Combining ability analysis was performed through the line × tester method as suggested by Kempthorne (1957). Estimates of GCA and SCA effects and their variances were computed according to the method of Singh and Chaudhary (1977) as implemented through the 'Agricolae' package (Gramaje et al., 2020) of R software v4.3.1. The relative weight of additive versus non-additive type of gene actions was assessed according to Verma and Srivastava (2004). Heterotic effects were computed relative to standard parent CO 55 (best-performing check) as described by Liang et al. (1972) and their significance was evaluated using the t-test as outlined by Turner (1953).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The rapid rise in population growth acts as the most

influential driver for enhancing rice productivity. To meet the anticipated surge in food demand by 2050, there is a continual need for the enhanced creation of high-yielding rice varieties with improved grain qualities. Consequently, assessing the hybrid combining ability of parental lines proves to be an effective strategy for enhancing rice production.

Combining ability analysis: The combining ability was investigated to identify genotypes exhibiting high genetic potential for creating cross-combinations with desired traits and to examine the nature of gene action of targeted traits. The mean square estimates of combining ability analysis for yield, yield attributing and grain quality traits are summarized in Table 1. According to the analysis of variance, the highly significant mean squares due to the crosses and their partitions, lines, tester and line × tester interaction for the studied traits indicate a high degree of genetic diversity among the studied genotypes. The variance due to lines was significant for all the traits except flag leaf width and thousand grain weight whereas, the tester showed significant mean squares for all the examined traits. These significant mean squares for lines and tester indicate the prevalence of additive variances for these traits. The significant mean squares between lines x testers interaction except for thousand grain weight, kernel length, linear elongation ratio and volume expansion ratio emphasize the relevance of dominance or non-additive genetic factors in determining the expression of these traits. Consistent with our findings, the occurrence of both additive and non-additive genetic factors for yield, yield attributing and grain quality traits were previously reported by Manivelan et al. (2022), Gramaje et al. (2020) and Singh et al. (2012).

Estimates of genetic parameters: Understanding the gene action of traits is essential for implementing an appropriate breeding program. The findings demonstrated that the dominant genetic variance (σ^2 H) was greater than the additive genetic variance ($\sigma^2 D$) in controlling the inheritance of all examined traits (Table 2). This emphasized the significant contribution of non-additive gene effects in determining the genetic expression of these traits, as evidenced by the elevated values of σ^2 H/ σ^2 D. Hence, the selection of desired genotypes based on phenotype performance may be ineffective for these traits, thus offering scope for hybridization and the strategic selection of families or progenies in subsequent generations to enhance the desired traits. Notably, for all traits except kernel length, the degree of dominance surpassed unity, indicating the prevalence of over-dominance in the manifestation of these traits during crosses. Consistent with our findings, previous research by Abd-El-Aty et al. (2023), Manivelan et al. (2022) and Gramaje et al. (2020) also highlighted the substantial role of non-additive gene action in influencing yield components, emphasizing their relevance in hybrid rice development. Proportional contribution to the total

| S.No Traits Mean sum of squares | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|-------|
| | - | Replications | Crosses | Lines | Testers | Lines × Testers | Error |
| | df | 1 | 39 | 4 | 7 | 28 | 52 |
| 1 | Days to fifty per cent flowering | 2.07 | 10.40** | 55.33** | 7.02** | 4.83** | 6.21 |
| 2 | Plant height | 2.47 | 4.22** | 18.34** | 3.56** | 2.36** | 59.64 |
| 3 | Number of productive tillers | 1.87 | 3.77** | 4.21** | 8.03** | 1.77* | 16.02 |
| 4 | Flag leaf length | 3.94 | 6.45** | 35.58** | 4.66** | 2.74** | 11.49 |
| 5 | Flag leaf breadth | 2.56 | 2.20** | 2.33 | 3.73** | 1.80* | 0.01 |
| 6 | Panicle length | 0.20 | 12.03** | 9.87** | 32.06** | 7.33** | 11.92 |
| 7 | Thousand grain weight | 0.04 | 3.05** | 1.47 | 10.60** | 1.39 | 0.84 |
| 8 | Spikelet fertility | 0.20 | 12.03** | 9.87** | 32.06** | 7.33** | 11.92 |
| 9 | Single plant yield | 2.06 | 5.80** | 4.40** | 13.16** | 4.16** | 13.65 |
| 10 | Kernel length | 0.03 | 4.83** | 8.91** | 16.45** | 1.34 | 0.02 |
| 11 | Kernel breadth | 1.47 | 22.18** | 38.38** | 51.37** | 12.57** | 0.00 |
| 12 | Length Breadth ratio | 1.33 | 19.99** | 40.18** | 52.25** | 9.04** | 0.01 |
| 13 | Kernel length after cooking | 1.16 | 9.32** | 31.19** | 17.48** | 4.16** | 0.13 |
| 14 | Kernel breadth after cooking | 0.02 | 2.87** | 4.17** | 3.36** | 2.57** | 0.06 |
| 15 | Linear Elongation Ratio | 0.73 | 3.08** | 8.09** | 5.74** | 1.70 | 0.01 |
| 16 | Breadthwise Expansion Ratio | 0.61 | 2.34** | 3.51* | 2.63* | 2.11* | 0.02 |
| 17 | Alkali Spreading value | 0.45 | 13.14** | 7.32** | 36.48** | 8.14** | 0.11 |
| 18 | Volume Expansion Ratio | 1.03 | 2.25** | 6.71** | 3.00* | 1.42 | 0.27 |
| 19 | Water Uptake Ratio | 3.63 | 3.66** | 8.17** | 5.85** | 2.46** | 0.12 |

Table 1. Mean square estimates of combining ability analysis for yield, yield attributing and grain quality traits

** 1% level of significance; *5% level of significance

Table 2. Estimate of genetic variance and proportional contribution of lines, tester and line × tester interaction

| S.No | Traits | $\sigma^2 D$ | $\sigma^2 H$ | σ²Η/ σ²D | Degree of dominance | Proportional contribution | | |
|------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------|---------------|
| | | | | | | Lines | Testers | Line × Tester |
| 1 | Days to fifty per cent flowering | 1.831 | 11.881 | 6.490 | 2.547 | 54.560 | 12.120 | 33.320 |
| 2 | Plant height | 5.849 | 40.629 | 6.947 | 2.636 | 44.610 | 15.150 | 40.230 |
| 3 | Number of productive tillers | 0.956 | 13.132 | 13.736 | 3.706 | 11.460 | 38.240 | 50.300 |
| 4 | Flag leaf length | 2.258 | 9.991 | 4.425 | 2.104 | 56.570 | 12.960 | 30.480 |
| 5 | Flag leaf breadth | 0.000 | 0.003 | 16.000 | 4.000 | 10.860 | 30.420 | 58.720 |
| 6 | Panicle length | 0.204 | 1.834 | 8.990 | 2.998 | 38.750 | 20.100 | 41.150 |
| 7 | Thousand grain weight | 0.074 | 0.164 | 2.232 | 1.494 | 4.930 | 62.330 | 32.740 |
| 8 | Spikelet fertility | 2.964 | 37.744 | 12.733 | 3.568 | 8.410 | 47.830 | 43.750 |
| 9 | Single plant yield | 1.184 | 21.571 | 18.225 | 4.269 | 7.780 | 40.710 | 51.510 |
| 10 | Kernel length | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.941 | 0.970 | 18.920 | 61.170 | 19.900 |
| 11 | Kernel breadth | 0.002 | 0.017 | 10.750 | 3.279 | 17.750 | 41.570 | 40.680 |
| 12 | Length Breadth ratio | 0.004 | 0.027 | 6.850 | 2.617 | 20.610 | 46.920 | 32.460 |
| 13 | Kernel length after cooking | 0.035 | 0.203 | 5.800 | 2.408 | 34.310 | 33.640 | 32.050 |
| 14 | Kernel breadth after cooking | 0.001 | 0.050 | 50.300 | 7.092 | 14.890 | 21.000 | 64.110 |
| 15 | Linear Elongation Ratio | 0.001 | 0.003 | 5.000 | 2.236 | 26.930 | 33.450 | 39.610 |
| 16 | Breadthwise Expansion Ratio | 0.000 | 0.012 | 60.000 | 7.746 | 15.090 | 18.370 | 66.530 |
| 17 | Alkali Spreading value | 0.029 | 0.392 | 13.500 | 3.674 | 5.710 | 49.820 | 44.470 |
| 18 | Volume Expansion Ratio | 0.012 | 0.057 | 4.822 | 2.196 | 30.590 | 23.970 | 45.430 |
| 19 | Water Uptake Ratio | 0.008 | 0.091 | 11.628 | 3.410 | 22.920 | 28.700 | 48.380 |

 $\sigma^2 D$ – Additive genetic variance; $\sigma^2 H$ – Dominance genetic variance

variance by lines, testers and interactions revealed that the magnitude of the contribution of the line × tester interaction was high for most of the examined traits *viz.*, number of productive tillers, flag leaf breadth, panicle length, kernel breadth after cooking, linear elongation ratio, breadth-wise expansion ratio, volume expansion ratio and water uptake ratio (**Table 2**). This emphasizes the significance of the specific combining ability of crosses, providing additional confirmation of the nonadditive gene action in manifesting these traits. Likewise, testers had contributed a maximum for thousand grain weight, spikelet fertility, kernel length, kernel breadth, length breadth ratio and alkali spreading value indicating their more diverse nature.

General Combining Ability: The success of any plant breeding effort revolves around the selection of superior parents that can transmit the intended traits to the progenies. From a genetic standpoint, the GCA assesses both additive and additive × additive gene activity. Hence, the success of any breeding program relies on selecting parents with favorable GCA effects. The selection of parents based on their per se performance and the general combining ability (gca) effect facilitates the identification of lines harboring favorable alleles for the desired trait, in view of the preponderant additive gene action. This has also been advocated by Abd El-Aty et al. (2022) and Sunny et al. (2022) in rice and Rajan et al. (2022) in brinjal. In the present study, the line CO 55 exhibited significant mean performance and gca effects in the desirable direction for days to fifty per cent flowering and most of the grain quality traits viz., kernel length. kernel breadth, length breadth ratio, kernel length after cooking and kernel breadth after cooking, a major factor in determining the market value and consumer preferences. Also, it showed high positive gca effects for single plant yield, linear elongation ratio, volume expansion ratio and water uptake ratio. Similarly, the line ADT 53 showed low mean and negative gca effects for days to fifty per cent flowering and plant height making it well-suited for developing short-duration semi-dwarf rice varieties. The line CO 52 had high positive gca effects for number of productive tillers, kernel length, length breadth ratio, kernel length after cooking, volume expansion ratio and water uptake ratio. Moreover, the line ADT 54 showed high positive *qca* effects for panicle length, spikelet fertility and alkali spreading value whereas, negative gca effects for breadth-wise expansion ratio.

The tester IC 457996 exhibited high inherent performance with favorable *gca* in the desirable direction for numerous yield-attributing traits *viz.*, days to fifty per cent flowering, panicle length, thousand grain weight, spikelet fertility and single plant yield. Also, they were found to be good combiners for grain quality traits *viz.*, kernel length, kernel breadth, length breadth ratio, kernel length after cooking and alkali spreading value. Similarly, the tester IC 206282 was found to be a good combiner for yield-attributing traits

(flag leaf breadth and panicle length) and grain quality traits (kernel breadth, length breadth ratio, kernel length after cooking, linear elongation ratio, volume expansion ratio and water uptake ratio). Highly significant positive gca effects for thousand grain weight and single plant yield were noticed in the tester IC 115439. Likewise, the tester IC 115406 recorded negative gca effects for days to fifty per cent flowering, kernel breadth and kernel breadth after cooking while positive gca effects were found for spikelet fertility and length breadth ratio. The results revealed that none of the parents exhibited significant gca effects in preferred directions for all the examined traits. Consequently, to enhance the precision of selection, parents were scored based on their gca effects. A score of '+1' was assigned for the significant positive gca effect, '-1' for the negative significant gca effects and '0' for nonsignificance in the traits (Fig. 1). The results indicated that among the lines CO 55 and testers IC 457996 followed by IC 206282 registered a high score for most of the yield attributing and grain quality traits indicating a sizable quotient of additive variance in operation for these traits and hence could be utilized in breeding programs to facilitate the development of fine-grain rice varieties characterized by high yield in a short duration and with market acceptance.

Specific combining ability: The Specific Combining Ability (SCA) of the crosses determines the genetic potential of the crosses in harnessing heterosis for use in breeding material as they comprehend the non-additive impact on a trait. These have been attributed to the existence of linkage during the repulsion phase or the combination of desirable genes with favourable effects from parents (Sunny et al., 2022). Similar to the outcomes observed in GCA, none of the hybrid combinations simultaneously demonstrated desirable sca effects for all of the examined traits. Similar scenario was reported earlier by Gramaje et al. (2020), Rahman et al. (2022) and Kahani et al. (2018). Kernel breadth and length breadth ratio (27.50%) exhibited the highest percentage of crosses with desirable sca effects, followed by alkali spreading value (20.00%) and number of productive tillers and spikelet fertility (12.50%). The sca effects along with mean performance could be a precise measure of identifying desirable hybrids for different traits. The best-performing F, hybrids in terms of per se performance and sca effect for yield attributing and grain quality traits are summarized in Table 3. Yield improvement is the prime objective of any breeding program. Although rice often displays superior sca effects for grain yield (Sari et al., 2020), only 17.50% of the crosses showed statistically significant positive sca effects, suggesting a careful selection of parents for generating L × T population. Notably, only two crosses viz., ADT 53 \times IC 115439 and ADT 54 \times IC 115439 demonstrated a combination of high mean and desirable sca effects for single plant yield. In addition, higher per se performance with significant positive sca effects were exhibited by ADT 54 × IC 115439 for spikelet fertility, which





Fig. 1. Ranking parents as per gca effects for yield, yield attributing and grain quality traits

plays a major role in enhancing the yield while integrating desirable traits from landraces. The crosses CO 55 × IC 378202 and ADT 54 × IC 457996 exhibited higher per se performance and significant sca in the desirable direction for grain guality traits viz., kernel breadth, length breadth ratio and kernel breadth after cooking. Similarly, higher per se performance and significant sca in the desirable direction for kernel breadth, kernel breadth after cooking and alkali spreading value were noticed in CO 55 × IC 458210 along with significant positive sca for single plant yield and spikelet fertility. Significant sca alone was observed in the crosses CO 52 × IC 378202 and ADT 54 × IC 206282 kernel breadth, length breadth ratio and kernel breadth after cooking followed by ADT 53 × IC 115439 and CO 54 × IC 458210 for length breadth ratio and alkali spreading value. Moreover, CO 52 × IC 378202 exhibited high sca for the number of productive tillers, while ADT 54 × IC 206282 revealed high sca for plant height, flag leaf length and alkali spreading value. Furthermore, ADT 53 × IC 115439 displayed high sca effects for single plant yield and kernel breadth, while CO 54 × IC 458210 exhibited high sca effects for kernel breadth after cooking and breadthwise expansion ratio. Therefore, these crosses can be employed for harnessing heterosis and transgressive segregants identified for both grain yield and quality from the best-performing crosses can be stabilized in subsequent generations through pedigree selection.

Heterosis: Among the three types of heterosis, standard heterosis could be a better measure for breeding purposes as it reflects the actual superiority of F_1 hybrids over the existing best cultivar for replacement, making it more relevant and advantageous (Virmani *et al.*, 1982).

Therefore, in the current study, heterosis over the elite cultivars CO 55 was considered as the criterion to assess the best hybrid for both grain yield and quality. The bestperforming F, hybrids in terms of per se performance and standard heterosis for yield attributing and grain guality traits are summarized in Table 4. Heterosis for grain vield results from the simultaneous enhancement in the expression of its components (Grafius, 1959). Almost all the hybrids i.e. 52.5% of them with significant positive heterosis for grain yield also demonstrated significant positive heterosis for thousand grain weight. Similarly, in the case of the number of productive tillers among the nine hybrid combinations, four specific combinations CO 55 × IC 457996, ADT 53 × IC 378202, CO 55 × IC 464685, and ADT 54 × IC 67496 manifested noteworthy positive heterosis for both the number of productive tillers and grain yield. Furthermore, CO 55 × IC 457996 and ADT 54 × IC 67496 also exhibited superior heterosis for panicle length. Early-maturing rice hybrids are desirable for their suitability in multiple cropping systems. Out of the six hybrid combinations displaying noteworthy negative heterosis for days to fifty per cent flowering, three combinations viz., CO 55 × IC 457996, ADT 53 × IC 378202, and CO 52 × IC 457996 proved to be superior over the check, in terms of both grain yield and thousand grain weight. Cultivars characterized by longer grain length are predominantly favored, as they contribute significantly to determining market value. Significantly, CO 52 × IC 457996 exhibited positive heterosis for kernel length, while CO 55 × IC 457996 demonstrated superior heterosis for kernel length after cooking. Therefore, these hybrid combinations can be employed in the development of short-duration, high-yielding rice cultivars with better market acceptability. These results are in close

| Table 3. Comparison of crosses with significan | nt sca effects and per se performance with the gca status of |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| parents for yield attributing and grain quality tr | raits |

| Traits | Hybrids | Per se performance | <i>sca</i> effects | <i>gca</i> status |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Number of the destruction of the second | CO 52 × IC 378202 | 39.00** | 6.03 * | НхН |
| Number of productive tillers | ADT 54 × IC 67496 | 31.00* | 5.84 * | LxL |
| | CO 52 × IC 458210 | 38.45* | 5.09 * | LxH |
| Flag leaf length | ADT 54 × IC 457996 | 47.02** | 7.30 ** | ΗxL |
| 0 | ADT 54 × IC 206282 | 45.25** | 5.95 * | ΗxL |
| | ADT 54 × IC 458210 | 47.35** | 6.34 * | НхН |
| Flag leaf breadth | CO 52 × IC 67496 | 1.43* | 0.14 * | LxL |
| Panicle length | ADT 54 × IC 458210 | 32.02** | 3.58 ** | HxL |
| | ADT 53 × IC 378202 | 47.35 ^{**} 1.43* 32.02** 88.08** 84.97** 44.08* 45.36* 47.23** 1.88** 1.85** 1.93** | 13.89 ** | LxL |
| Spikelet fertility | ADT 54 × IC 115439 | 84.97** | 9.62 ** | ΗxL |
| | CO 54 × IC 378202 | 44.08* | 5.64 * | LxH |
| Single plant yield | ADT 53 × IC 115439 | 45.36* | 6.52 * | LxH |
| | ADT 54 × IC 115439 | 47.23** | 6.16 * | LxH |
| | CO 55 × IC 378202 | 1.88** | -0.11 ** | LxL |
| Kernel breadth | CO 55 × IC 458210 | 1.85** | -0.08 * | LxL |
| | CO 52 × IC 378202 | 1.93** | -0.12 ** | LxL |
| | ADT 54 × IC 457996 | 1.98* | -0.21 ** | ΗxL |
| | CO 55 × IC 378202 | 3.23** | 0.22 ** | НхН |
| | CO 55 × IC 458210 | 3.11** | 0.14 * | ΗxL |
| Length breadth ratio | ADT 53 × IC 115406 | 3.08** | 0.17 ** | LxH |
| | CO 52 × IC 378202 | 3.12** | 0.21 ** | НхН |
| | ADT 54 × IC 457996 | 3.09** | 0.29 ** | LxH |
| | CO 55 × IC 378202 | 2.00** | -0.46 * | LxL |
| Kernel breadin alter cooking | CO 55 × IC 464685 | 2.3* | -0.36 * | LxL |
| | CO 54 × IC 457996 | 4.75** | 0.58 * | LxH |
| | CO 54 × IC 67496 | 4.34* | 1.08 ** | LxL |
| Aikaii Spreading value | CO 55 × IC 458210 | 4.59** | 0.87 ** | LxL |
| | ADT 53 × IC 378202 | 4.84** | 0.71 ** | LxH |

** 1% level of significance; *5% level of significance; L - Parents with low GCA; H - Parents with high GCA

agreement with Yadav *et al.*(2021), Sunny *et al.* (2022) and Manivelan *et al.* (2022).

Heterosis and Combining ability: The parents were categorized into high GCA (with a significant *gca* effect in the desired direction) and low GCA parents (with non-significant and significant *gca* effects in an undesirable direction). The F_1 hybrids exhibiting significant *sca* effects and heterosis were grouped with the three distinct groups based on the GCA effects of the parents associated (**Fig. 2 and Fig. 3**). Our findings align with the outcome of Mallikarjuna *et al.* (2016) where crosses involving various combinations, including High × High, High × Low, Low × High, and Low × Low general combiners, yield superior *sca* effects. The combination of a desirable *sca* effect along with parents exhibiting desirable *gca* effect

indicates an additive x additive effect and thus, simple selection can be employed for improvements. Our findings indicate that the highest proportion of hybrids exhibiting significant sca effects was linked to parents with Low × Low GCA (60.56%), followed by those with High × Low GCA (32.39%), and High × High GCA parents (7.04%). The superior performance of most hybrids expressed by low × low crosses can be primarily attributed to epistatic interaction (dominance × dominance), leading to overdominance. Likewise, the highest proportion of hybrids exhibiting significant heterosis was linked to parents with Low × Low GCA (43.62%), followed by those with High × Low GCA (42.55%), and High × High GCA parents (13.83%). A similar grouping pattern was also observed by Sunny et al. (2022) and Rahman et al. (2022). This emphasizes the importance of parental diversity i.e. in

| Traits | Hybrids | Standard heterosis | gca status |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| | CO 55 × IC 457996 | -6.06 * | LxL |
| | ADT 53 × IC 457996 | -5.77 * | LxL |
| Dave to fifty per cent flowering | Hybrids Standard heterosis CO 55 × IC 457996 -6.06 * ADT 53 × IC 457996 -5.77 * ADT 53 × IC 178002 -5.23 * ADT 53 × IC 115406 -6.40 * ADT 53 × IC 464685 -6.13 * CO 52 × IC 457996 -5.69 * CO 52 × IC 457996 61.46 ** CO 52 × IC 457996 61.46 ** CO 52 × IC 458210 61.46 ** CO 52 × IC 458210 20.93 * ADT 54 × IC 67496 63.65 ** CO 52 × IC 458210 20.93 * ADT 54 × IC 206282 42.30 ** ADT 54 × IC 206282 11.38 * CO 55 × IC 457996 11.38 * CO 55 × IC 206282 11.46 * ADT 54 × IC 206282 16.50 ** ADT 54 × IC 457996 12.78 ** ADT 54 × IC 115406 13.59 ** ADT 54 × IC 115406 13.59 ** ADT 54 × IC 115406 13.59 ** ADT 54 × IC 115439 56.72 ** CO 52 × IC 157499 43.16 ** CO 52 × IC 1378202 42.65 ** CO 55 × IC 457996 <td>LxL</td> | LxL | |
| Days to my per cent nowening | | LxL | |
| | ADT 53 × IC 464685 | -6.13 * | LxL |
| | CO 52 × IC 457996 | -5.69 * | LxL |
| | CO 52 × IC 378202 | 103.12 ** | НхН |
| Number of productive tillers | ADT 54 × IC 67496 | 61.46 ** | LxL |
| Number of productive tillers | CO 52 × IC 67496 | 63.65 ** | ΗxL |
| | CO 52 × IC 458210 | 61.46 ** | ΗxL |
| | CO 52 × IC 458210 | 20.93 * | LxL |
| Flog loof longth | ADT 54 × IC 457996 | 47.86 ** | ΗxL |
| Flag lear length | ADT 54 × IC 206282 | Standard heterosis $-6.06 *$ $-5.77 *$ $-5.23 *$ $-6.40 *$ $-6.13 *$ $-5.69 *$ $103.12 **$ $61.46 **$ $63.65 **$ $61.46 **$ $20.93 *$ $47.86 **$ $42.30 **$ $48.90 **$ $11.38 *$ $11.46 *$ $12.78 **$ $16.50 **$ $13.59 **$ $24.35 **$ $56.23 **$ $54.66 **$ $56.72 **$ $43.16 **$ $42.65 **$ $48.71 **$ $46.80 **$ $52.83 **$ $4.60 *$ $12.79 *$ $17.65 *$ $46.15 **$ $38.46 *$ $28.28 *$ $28.10 *$ | ΗxL |
| | ADT 54 × IC 458210 | 48.90 ** | НхН |
| | CO 55 × IC 457996 | 11.38 * | LxH |
| | CO 55 × IC 206282 | 11.46 * | LxH |
| Deniele length | $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | НхН | |
| Panicie ierigin | | 16.50 ** | НхН |
| | ADT 54 × IC 115406 | 13.59 ** | ΗxL |
| | ADT 54 × IC 458210 | 24.35 ** | ΗxL |
| | ADT 53 × IC 115439 | 56.23 ** | LxH |
| Thousand grain weight | CO 52 × IC 115439 | 54.66 ** | LxH |
| | ADT 54 × IC 115439 | 56.72 ** | LxH |
| | CO 54 × IC 457996 | 43.16 ** | LxH |
| | CO 54 × IC 378202 | 42.65 ** | LxH |
| Single plant yield | CO 55 × IC 457996 | 48.71 ** | НхН |
| Single plant yield | Hybrids Standard heterosis co 55 × IC 457996 -6.06 * ADT 53 × IC 457996 -5.77 * ADT 53 × IC 378202 -5.23 * ADT 53 × IC 378202 -6.06 * ADT 53 × IC 464685 -6.13 * CO 52 × IC 457996 -5.69 * CO 52 × IC 457996 -6.06 ** ADT 54 × IC 67496 61.46 ** CO 52 × IC 458210 20.93 * ADT 54 × IC 2522 42.30 ** ADT 54 × IC 457996 47.86 ** ADT 54 × IC 457996 47.86 ** ADT 54 × IC 457996 11.38 * CO 55 × IC 206282 11.38 * ADT 54 × IC 457996 11.38 * CO 55 × IC 206282 16.50 ** ADT 54 × IC 457996 12.78 ** ADT 54 × IC 115439 56.23 ** ADT 54 × IC 11543 | LxH | |
| | ADT 53 × IC 115439 | 46.80 ** | LxH |
| | ADT 54 × IC 115439 | 52.83 ** | ΗxL |
| Kernel length | CO 52 × IC 457996 | 4.60 * | НхН |
| Kernel length after cooking | CO 55 × IC 457996 | 12.79 * | НхН |
| Alkeli Spreading value | CO 55 × IC 457996 | 17.65 * | LxH |
| Alkali Spreading value | CO 52 × IC 206282 | 46.15 ** | ΗxL |
| Volume Expansion Ratio | ADT 54 × IC 206282 | 38.46 * | НхН |
| Water Uptaka Batia | CO 52 × IC 206282 | 28.28 * | НхН |
| | CO 52 × IC 458210 | 28.10 * | ΗxL |

Table 4. Comparison of crosses with significant standard heterosis with the *gca* status of parents for yield attributing and grain quality traits

** 1% level of significance; *5% level of significance; L - Parents with low GCA; H - Parents with high GCA

terms of the *gca* effect, in determining the expression of observed SCA and heterosis. The high-yielding potential of crosses *viz.*, CO 54 × IC 378202, ADT 53 × IC 115439 and ADT 54 × IC 115439 with high *sca* effect and standard heterosis can be attributed to the presence of high × low combiners as a result of interaction between positive alleles from good combiners and negative alleles

from poor combiners (**Table 3 and Table 4**). The high *sca* effect observed in these crosses could be attributed to the complementary type of gene action. Crosses involving good general combiners, specifically High × High GCA as seen in CO 55 × IC 457996, led to the discovery of a cross that is early maturing, high yielding with significant mean and standard heterosis which might be the



Fig. 2. Frequency of crosses as per gca effects of parents for yield, yield attributing and grain quality traits





result of interaction between positive × positive alleles (Table 4). Additionally, CO 55 × IC 457996 exhibited superior performance compared to a standard check in terms of number of productive tillers, panicle length, thousand grain weight, kernel length after cooking and alkali spreading value. Therefore, the likelihood of obtaining superior segregants from CO 55 × IC 457996 is high, especially when the additive genetic system in the good combiner and the epistatic effect in the crosses complement each other to maximize desirable plant traits. These anticipated transgressive segregants could be utilized in identifying early maturing, high-yielding fine-grain rice varieties with market acceptance through the pedigree method of selection. Similar findings were reported by Sing et al. (1971), ElShamey et al. (2022) and Sunny et al. (2022).

In the present study, the parental line CO 55 and the testers IC 457996 and IC 208262 were recognized as good combiners for the majority of yield-related and grain-quality traits. Consequently, these parent lines can be strategically utilized in pedigree breeding to produce superior recombinants through selection in subsequent generations. Moreover, the cross combinations CO 55 × IC 457996, ADT 54 × IC 115439 and ADT 54 × IC 115439 emerged as particularly promising based on *per se* performance, specific combining ability effects and heterosis, suggesting that they could be considered for use in future breeding programs.

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Aty, M.S., Abo-Youssef, M.I., Galal, A.A., Salama, A.M., Salama, A.A., El-Shehawi, A.M., Elseehy, M.M., El-Saadony, M.T. and El-Tahan, A.M. 2022. Genetic behavior of earliness and yield traits of some rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, **29**(4): 2691-2697. [Cross Ref]
- Abd-El-Aty, M.S., Abo-Youssef, M.I., Bahgt, M.M., Ibrahim, O.M., Faltakh, H., Nouri, H., Korany, S.M., Alsherif, E.A., AbdElgawad, H. and El-Tahan, A.M. 2023. Mode of gene action and heterosis for physiological, biochemical, and agronomic traits in some diverse rice genotypes under normal and drought conditions. *Frontiers in plant science*, **14**: 1108977. [Cross Ref]
- Yadav, A. K., Vyas, R. P., Yadav, V. K. and Kumar, V. 2021. Combining ability analysis for yield and its contributing traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **12**(3): 757-765. [Cross Ref]
- Dimaano, N.G.B., Ali, J., Mahender, A., Sta. Cruz, P.C., Baltazar, A.M., Diaz, M.G.Q., Pang, Y.L., Acero Jr, B.L. and Li, Z. 2020. Identification of quantitative trait loci governing early germination and seedling

vigor traits related to weed competitive ability in rice. *Euphytica*, **216:** 1-20. [Cross Ref]

- ElShamey, E.A., Sakran, R.M., ElSayed, M.A., Aloufi, S., Alharthi, B., Alqurashi, M., Mansour, E. and Abd El-Moneim, D.2022. Heterosis and combining ability for floral and yield characters in rice using cytoplasmic male sterility system. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, **29**(5), 3727-3738. [Cross Ref]
- FAO. 2017. Rice Market Monitor; Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO): Bangkok, Thailand. 1–42.
- Grafius, J. 1959. Heterosis in Barley 1. Agronomy Journal, 51(9): 551-554. [Cross Ref]
- Gramaje, L. V., Caguiat, J. D., Enriquez, J. O. S., Dela Cruz, Q. D., Millas, R. A., Carampatana, J. E. and Tabanao, D. A. A. 2020. Heterosis and combining ability analysis in CMS hybrid rice. *Euphytica*, **216**, 1-22. [Cross Ref]
- Kahani, F., Hittalmani, S., Erfani, R. and Haradari, C. 2018. Heterotic effects and combining ability for yield traits in rice developed for semi-dry aerobic cultivation. SABRAO Journal of Breeding Genetics, 50(1): 46-61.

Kempthorne, O. 1957. An introduction to genetic statistics.

- Khush, G. S. 2013. Strategies for increasing the yield potential of cereals: case of rice as an example. *Plant Breeding*, **132**(5), 433-436. [Cross Ref]
- Liang, G., Reddy, C. and Dayton, A. 1972. Heterosis, inbreeding depression, and heritability estimates in a systematic series of grain sorghum genotypes 1. *Crop science*, **12**(4): 409-411. [Cross Ref]
- Mallikarjuna, B. P., Shivakumar, N. and Shivaleela, K. 2016. Combining ability analysis in newly developed rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) hybrids. *Environment and Ecology*, **34**(1A): 400-404.
- Manivelan, K., Hepziba, S. J., Suresh, R., Theradimani, M., Renuka, R. and Gnanamalar, R. 2022. Combining ability and heterosis for yield and grain quality characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **13**(2): 410-418. [Cross Ref]
- Rahman, M. M., Sarker, U., Swapan, M. A. H., Raihan, M. S., Oba, S., Alamri, S. and Siddiqui, M. H. 2022. Combining ability analysis and marker-based prediction of heterosis in yield reveal prominent heterotic combinations from diallel population of rice. *Agronomy*, **12**(8): 1797. [Cross Ref]
- Rajan, N., Debnath, S., Dutta, A. K., Pandey, B., Singh, A. K., Singh, R. K., Singh, A. K. and Dugbakie, B.

https://doi.org/10.37992/2024.1501.003

N. 2022. Elucidation of nature of gene action and estimation of combining ability effects for fruit yield improvement and yield attributing traits in brinjal landraces. Journal of Food Quality, **2022**, 1-12. [Cross Ref]

- Sari, W. K., Nualsri, C., Junsawang, N. and Soonsuwon, W. 2020. Combining ability and heritability for yield and its related traits in Thai upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Agriculture and Natural Resources*, **54**(3), 229– 236-229–236. [Cross Ref]
- Singh, R. K. and Chaudhary, B. D. 1977. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis.
- Singh, T. H. and PS, P. 1971. Line x Tester analysis of combining ability in cotton.
- Singh, C. M. and Babu, G. S. 2012. Magnitude of heterosis and combining ability in relation to yield and some morphological traits for improvement of upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Madras Agricultural Journal*, **99**(jul-sep), 1. [Cross Ref]
- Sprague, G. F. and Tatum, L. A. 1942. General vs. specific combining ability in single crosses of corn. *Journal* of the American society of agronomy, **34(**10). [Cross Ref]
- Sunny, A., Chakraborty, N.R., Kumar, A., Singh, B.K., Paul, A., Maman, S., Sebastian, A. and Darko, D.A. 2022. Understanding gene action, combining ability, and heterosis to identify superior aromatic rice hybrids using artificial neural network. *Journal of Food Quality*, 1-16. [Cross Ref]
- Turner, J. 1953. A study of heterosis in upland cotton II. Combining ability and inbreeding effects 1. Agronomy Journal, 45(10): 487-490. [Cross Ref]
- Verma, O. and Srivastava, H. 2004. Genetic component and combining ability analyses in relation to heterosis for yield and associated traits using three diverse rice-growing ecosystems. *Field crops research*, 88(2-3): 91-102. [Cross Ref]
- Virmani, S., Aquino, R. and Khush, G. 1982. Heterosis breeding in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, **63:** 373-380. [Cross Ref]