# **Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding**



### **Research Article**

### **Elucidating the genetic potential of hybrids derived from elite maize (***Zea mays* **L.) inbreds for economic traits under North-Western Himalayan condition**

### **Gaurav Sharma\*, Uttam Chandel, Sawan Kumar, Satish Kumar Guleria and Nimit Kumar**

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, CSK Himachal Pradesh Agricultural University, Palampur, Himachal Pradesh 176062, India

**\*E-Mail:** gsharma.gs341@gmail.com

#### **Abstract**

This study was conducted to evaluate the combining ability of newly acquired maize inbred lines over the environments. Twenty-eight crosses developed by crossing eight elite inbreds in a half-diallel mating design were evaluated during *kharif,* 2019 for 12 agro-morphological traits in RBD with two replications at two different environments reflecting diverse agro-climatic and ecological conditions of North-Western Himalayas (SAREC, Kangra and HAREC, Bajaura). Analysis of variance showed that there was sufficient amount of genetic variability in the material studied. In accordance to Bartlett's test, error variance was homogeneous only for six traits. Estimates of σ<sup>2</sup>SCA were higher as compared to  $\sigma$ <sup>2</sup>GCA both within and across environments for all the traits, except days to 75 per cent brown husk at Kangra, representing prevalence of non-additive gene action for these traits. The inbred line B73 at Bajaura, and LM14 at Kangra were found to be good general combiner for most traits. The inbreds with good GCA can be used as potential parents for the development of high yielding single cross maize hybrids. The hybrid combinations namely*,*  B73 × BAJIM1811 and BAJIM1522 × BAJIM1811 were identified as potentially superior ones at Kangra and Bajaura environments. The identified promising hybrids need further assessment for their superiority in performance across the locations and over years.

**Keywords:** General combining ability, specific combining ability, gene action and maize.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Maize (*Zea mays* L.), originated from Central America, is the world's leading crop widely cultivated as a cereal grain. It is a member of *Poaceae* family, *Maydeae* tribe and one of the oldest cultivated crops. Choosing the right parent/inbred is a prerequisite for hybrid development in corn. Combining ability analysis is of particular importance in cross pollinated plants such as maize as it helps to identify potential parents that can be used to produce hybrids/synthetics. The nature and magnitude of gene action is an important factor in developing an effective breeding programme that can be interpreted through combining ability analysis. Due to genotype × environment interactions, the information obtained under

one environment and/or with one set of genetic material might not be applicable to another because the estimates derived from one environment may be biased and would not present a true picture of genetic nature and the breeding value of population. Since, the quantitative traits are influenced by the environment, a study among different environments is likely to yield genotype × environment interactions to accurately assess genetic variation. Assessment of genotype × environment interaction is also critical to determine an ideal breeding strategy for releasing genotypes with stability to target environments. In any hybridization programme, identifying the best combination parental genotypes is the most critical

challenge for a plant breeder. Combining ability analysis is an important tool to identify superior parents and best specific hybrid combinations for yield and related traits. In North- Western Himalayas regions, performance of maize hybrids and varieties vary location wise and need to develop the stable and high yield hybrids and varieties, which can be obtained by assessing the genetic potential of inbred lines. The present study was carried out keeping in view the above-mentioned reasons.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The experimental material consisted hybrids generated by crossing eight inbreds *viz.,*  $P_1$  (B73),  $P_2$  (BAJIM1522),  $P_3$  (BAJIM2010),  $P_4$  (BAJIM1811),  $P_5$  (LM16),  $P_6$  (LM14),  $P_7$  (40318) and  $P_8$  (CML141). The inbreds were crossed during *kharif*, 2019 in a 8 × 8 diallel mating system without reciprocals. The crosses along with parents were evaluated in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RBD) with two replications in a plot size of  $3.0 \times 1.2$ m  $(3.6 \text{ m}^2)$  with a spacing of 60 x 20 cm, along with four checks (Palam Sankar Makka-2, Vivek Hybrid-45, Bio-9544 and DKC 7074) during *kharif*, 2020. The experiment was conducted at two environments (SAREC, Kangra and HAREC, Bajaura) representing diverse agro-climatic

and ecological conditions. SAREC, Kangra  $(E_1)$  is situated at 32°09'N latitude and 76°22'E longitude at 700 m above mean sea level. HAREC, Bajaura (E $_{\textrm{\tiny{2}}})$  is located at 32°20'N latitude and 77'E longitude and 1090 m above mean sea level. Observations were recorded on 12 agromorphological traits and combining ability analysis was performed for individual and pooled over environment to evaluate the general and specific combining ability effects. The data obtained from  $\mathsf{F}_1$  population and parents was evaluated for combining ability using Griffing's (1956) experimental method II, model I as it was most appropriate for the material under study. Combining ability analysis for pooled environments was carried out by procedure as per suggested by Singh (1973) using WINDOSTAT software version 9.2.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Analysis of variance: Significant differences among parents and their crosses indicated inherent genetic differences among genotypes for traits studied. Analysis of variance showed significant differences indicating genetic variations among genotypes for all the traits except shelling (%) in E1 (**Table 1**). Similar results were also reported by Kumar *et al.* (2017) for grain yield, 1000 grain

#### **Table 1. Analysis of variance for different traits across the environments**



 $^*$  Significant at 5% probability level, E $_{_1}$  = Kangra, E $_{_2}$  = Bajaura

https://doi.org/10.37992/2024.1503.077 539

weight, number of kernels per row, ear circumference, days to 50% anthesis, days to 50% silking, ear height, ear length and plant height. El-Hosary *et al.* (2018) reported insignificant differences for shelling (%). By further partitioning of genotypic variance, significant mean square due to parents were observed for all traits except shelling (%) in  $E_{1}$ ; days to 50 per cent pollen shedding, days to 50 per cent silking and grain yield in  $\mathsf{E}_\mathsf{z}$ . Mean squares due to crosses showed significance for most traits except number of kernel rows per ear, number of kernels per row, ear length and shelling (%) in  $\mathsf{E}_{\gamma}$ , whereas, parents *vs*. crosses were significant for all traits, except days to 75 per cent brown husk in  $\mathsf{E}_{\gamma}$ . These results were in agreement with the reports of Lal and Kumar (2012) for days to 50% pollen shedding, days to 50% silking, days to 75% brown husk, ear height, plant height and grain yield/ plant. The Bartlett's test revealed that error variance was homogeneous for days to 50 per cent pollen shedding, days to 50 per cent silking, days to 75 per cent brown husk, ear circumference, 1000-grain weight and grain yield and hence pooled analysis was performed for these traits only. The pooled analysis elucidated significant differences between the environments for all traits, except days to 50 per cent silking. Significant mean squares due to genotypes, parents, crosses, parents *vs* crosses and genotype × environment interaction were observed for all the pooled traits, representing environmental influence on the expression of these traits (**Table 2**). Similar results were reported by Chandel *et al.* (2014) and Kumar *et al.* (2017) for genotypes and GEI for grain yield and 1000-grain weight.

Combining ability ANOVA and estimates of genetic components: ANOVA for combining ability unveiled significant mean sum of squares due to GCA and SCA for all traits, except mean sum of squares due to GCA for ear length and SCA for shelling (%) in E<sub>1</sub> (Table 3).

Gaurav Sharma et al.,

This revealed the presence of additive as well as nonadditive gene action for different traits. Significant GCA and SCA mean square were previously observed for days to 50% pollen shedding, days to 50% silking, plant height, ear height, ear length, number of kernels per row and grain yield by Rajesh *et al.* (2018) and Kumar *et al.* (2019). In pooled analysis, significant mean squares due to environment, GCA, SCA, GCA × environment and SCA × environment were observed for all traits, except for days to 50 per cent silking in environment and GCA × environment for days to 75 per cent brown husk (**Table 4**). This revealed that expression of the traits is strongly influenced by environmental effect and its interaction with combining ability effects. Non-significant GCA × environment interaction for days to 75 per cent brown husk revealed that the trends in variation of GCA effects were similar across the environments for this trait (Bello and Olaoye, 2009). Mean sum of squares for both GCA × environment and SCA × environment were significant for days to 50 per cent pollen shedding, days to 50 per cent silking, ear circumference, 1000-grain weight and grain yield suggesting that both additive and non-additive genetic components were equally influenced by environments. The magnitude of interaction observed in case of GCA was higher than that of SCA for days to 50 per cent pollen shedding, days to 50 per cent silking, days to 75 per cent brown husk and 1000-grain weight suggesting that additive component was less stable over environments than dominance components. However, the magnitudes of GCA × environment mean squares were invariably lesser than GCA mean squares for most of the traits except grain yield suggesting that the interaction effects may be of minor relevance in comparison to main effects. Present findings suggested that environment must be taken into consideration while evaluating genotypes for different traits particularly grain yield. Significant differences among environment,

**Table 2. Pooled analysis of variance for heterogeneous traits over the environments**



\* Significant at 5% probability level



#### **Table 3. Analysis of variance for combining ability across the environments**

 $^*$  Significant at 5% probability level,  $\mathsf{E}^{}_1$  = Kangra,  $\mathsf{E}^{}_2$  = Bajaura

#### **Table 4. Pooled analysis of variance for combining ability for different traits over the environments**



\* Significant at 5% probability level

GCA × environment and SCA × environment among most of the traits were observed earlier by Chandel and Mankotia (2014) and Kumar *et al.* (2017) for days to 50 per cent silking, ear girth and grain yield.

Estimates of genetic components *viz.*, σ<sup>2</sup>GCA, σ<sup>2</sup>SCA

and σ<sup>2</sup>GCA/σ<sup>2</sup>SCA for different traits across  $\mathsf{E}^{\,}_{1}$ ,  $\mathsf{E}^{\,}_{2}$  as well as for pooled over environment have been presented in **Table 5**. σ<sup>2</sup>SCA were higher in comparison to σ<sup>2</sup>GCA in both the environments and in pooled environment except for days to 75 per cent brown husk in  $\mathsf{E}^{}_1$ . This represented higher influence of non-additive genetic effects than





 $E_1$  = Kangra,  $E_2$  = Bajaura, P = Pooled

additive genetic effects in the expression of various traits in hybrids. The ratio of variance of GCA to SCA was less than unity hence non-additive gene action played key role in the inheritance of these traits. GCA/SCA ratio was equal to unity for days to 75 per cent brown husk at  $\mathsf{E}^{}_{1}$  revealing the significance of additive as well as nonadditive gene actions. Prevalence of non-additive gene action was previously reported for days to 50% pollen shedding, days to 50% silking, days to 75% maturity, ear circumference, 1000 grain weight and grain yield by Chandel and Mankotia (2014); Darshan and Marker (2019) and Sharma *et al.* (2019).

Combining ability effects: Estimates of all parental GCA effects and significant SCA effects for hybrids are represented in graphical form in **Fig. 1 and 2**. In case of days to 50 per cent pollen shedding, GCA effects ranged from -1.59 (P<sub>6</sub>) to 2.01 (P<sub>8</sub>) in E<sub>1</sub>; -2.11 (P<sub>1</sub>) to 2.69 (P<sub>8</sub>) in  $\mathsf{E}_\mathsf{2}$  and -1.67 (P $_\mathsf{1}$ ) to 2.35 (P $_\mathsf{8}$ ) in pooled environment. From the results, it was observed that parent  $\mathsf{P}_{_{6}}$ ,  $\mathsf{P}_{_{1}}$  in  $\mathsf{E}_{_{1}}$ ;  $\mathsf{P}_{_{1}}$ ,  $\mathsf{P}_{_{5}}$ ,  $P_6$  in  $E_2$  and  $P_1$ ,  $P_6$ ,  $P_5$  in pooled environment, exhibited significant negative values for GCA effects. Negative GCA effects were observed for the above trait by Chandel *et al.* (2019) who suggested that these parents can be good sources of genes for earliness. Estimates of SCA effects elucidated that out of the 28 crosses, 9 crosses in  $E_{1}$ ; 16 crosses in  $E_2$  and 15 crosses in pooled environment showed significant negative SCA effects. Cross  $P_1 \times P_2$ followed by  $P_1 \times P_5$  and  $P_2 \times P_4$  had highest significant negative values in pooled over environment.

Parents P<sub>6</sub>, P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>5</sub> in E<sub>1</sub>; P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>5</sub>, P<sub>6</sub> in E<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>6</sub>, P<sub>5</sub> in pooled environment, showed significant negative GCA values for days to 50 per cent silking. Significant negative SCA effects for 13 crosses in  $\mathsf{E}^{}_{1}$  and 18 crosses in  $E_2$  were observed. In pooled over environment,  $P_1 \times$  $P_5$  followed by  $P_1 \times P_3$  and  $P_4 \times P_6$  had highest significant SCA values in negative direction. Kumar *et al.* (2022) also reported significant negative SCA effects for days to 50 per cent silking.

Analysis of days to 75 per cent brown husk for GCA effects ranged from -1.26 (P<sub>1</sub>) to 1.14 (P<sub>4</sub>) in E<sub>1</sub>; -0.90 (P<sub>1</sub>) to 1.00  $(P_4)$  in  $E_2$  and -1.08  $(P_1)$  to 1.07  $(P_4)$  in pooled environment. Significant negative values for GCA effects were observed for parent  $P_1$  (-1.26),  $P_2$  (-0.61) in  $E_1$ ,  $P_1$ (-0.90), P<sub>5</sub> (-0.55) in E<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>1</sub> (-1.08), P<sub>5</sub> (-0.41) in pooled environment, which is desirable as it represents earliness. The crosses  $P_3 \times P_8$ ,  $P_5 \times P_8$ ,  $P_1 \times P_8$  and  $P_4 \times P_7$  in  $E_1$ ;  $P_8$  $\times P_7$ ,  $P_2 \times P_3$ ,  $P_1 \times P_3$ ,  $P_5 \times P_6$  in  $E_2$  and  $P_2 \times P_3$ ,  $P_5 \times P_6$ ,  $P_3 \times P_8$  and  $P_1 \times P_3$  in pooled over environment showed significant negative SCA effects.

For ear circumference parent  $P_2$  in  $E_1$  and  $P_2$ ,  $P_1$  in  $E_2$ as well as pooled over environment, had significant positive values for GCA effects. The crosses  $P_{2} \times P_{4} (1.63)$ followed by P<sub>1</sub> × P<sub>4</sub> (1.58) and P<sub>4</sub> × P<sub>6</sub> (1.55) exhibited highest significant positive SCA effects in pooled over environment. For 1000-grain weight, parent  $P_7$ ,  $P_8$ ,  $P_4$  in  $E_1$ ;  $P_7$ ,  $P_8$ ,  $P_4$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_5$  in  $E_2$  and  $P_7$ ,  $P_8$ ,  $P_4$  in pooled over environment, showed significant positive values for GCA









effects. The estimates of SCA effects for 1000-grain weight ranged from -40.21 (P<sub>1</sub> × P<sub>2</sub>) to 55.99 (P<sub>4</sub> × P<sub>6</sub>) in  $E_1$ , -59.94 (P<sub>2</sub> × P<sub>8</sub>) to 61.66 (P<sub>1</sub> × P<sub>4</sub>) in E<sub>2</sub> and -25.35 (P<sub>1</sub>)  $\times$  P<sub>2</sub>) to 40.7 (P<sub>1</sub> $\times$  P<sub>4</sub>) in pooled over environment. Highest significant positive values for this trait were observed by  $P_1 \times P_4$ ,  $P_3 \times P_6$  and  $P_1 \times P_7$  in pooled environment.

For grain yield, GCA effects ranged from -3.32  $(P_5)$  to 4.03  $(P_6)$  in E<sub>1</sub>; -8.46 (P<sub>7</sub>) to 7.23 (P<sub>1</sub>) in E<sub>2</sub> and -4.42 (P<sub>7</sub>) to 2.79  $(P_1)$  in pooled environment. Parent  $P_6$ ,  $P_8$  in  $E_1$ ;  $P_1$ ,  $P_4$ ,  $P_2$ in  $E_2$  and  $P_1$  in pooled environment, depicted significant positive values for GCA effects. These genotypes likely to possess favourable alleles for the trait of interest and

*EJPB*

are predominantly additive in nature. SCA effects ranged from -13.65 (P<sub>4</sub> × P<sub>7</sub>) to 30.17 (P<sub>1</sub> × P<sub>4</sub>) in E<sub>1</sub>, -16.62 (P<sub>4</sub> ×  $P_7$ ) to 41.28 ( $P_2 \times P_4$ ) in E<sub>2</sub> and -15.14 ( $P_4 \times P_7$ ) to 35.04  $(P_2 \times P_4)$  in pooled over environment. In pooled over environment, highest significant positive values for this trait were observed by  $\mathsf{P}_{2} \times \mathsf{P}_{4}$  followed by  $\mathsf{P}_{1} \times \mathsf{P}_{4}$  and  $\mathsf{P}_{1} \times$  $\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{3}}$  revealed that non-additive genetic effects (dominance and/or epistasis) are important for this trait and heterosis is likely to occur in these specific combinations. Similar results were observed by Katragadda *et al.* (2020).

The relative importance of GCA vs. SCA can inform breeding strategies and help predict genetic gain. If GCA effects are predominant, recurrent selection methods might be more effective. If SCA effects are significant, hybrid breeding programs might be more suitable. Genotypes with high GCA are valuable for developing improved inbred lines or varieties. The crosses with best specific combination for grain yield would be obtained from poor × average, good × good or average × average parental combinations. High SCA effects where both parents were good general combiners could be attributed to additive × additive gene action. The results, therefore, revealed that parents with good GCA effects did not always result in high SCA crosses which further indicated that SCA effects are attributable to dominance and epistatic interactions. High SCA effects expressed by poor × average might be due to diverse genetic background of parental lines. The poor × poor crosses can perform better in comparison to good × good and good × poor combinations because of the complimentary gene action.

In present study, adequate amount of genetic variability was observed for the experimental material that can be used for maize improvement programme. Analysis of variance depicted genetic diversity among the genotypes studied. The inbred line B73 at  $\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{2}}$ , pooled over environment and LM14 at  $\mathsf{E}^{}_{1}$  were found to be good general combiner for grain yield and can be used as potential inbred parental lines for further development of high yielding single cross maize hybrids. Based on high *per se* performance, SCA effects and days to 75 per cent brown husk, the crosses B73× BAJIM1811and BAJIM1522× BAJIM1811identified as potential hybrids at  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  as well as pooled over environment may be commercially exploited after further evaluation for its performance superiority across the locations and over years.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The authors are extremely thankful to the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, HAREC, Bajaura and SAREC, Kangra for providing all the necessary resources to complete the research.

#### **REFERENCES**

Bello, O.B. and Olaoye, G. 2009. Combining ability for maize grain yield and other agronomic characters in a typical Southern guinea savanna ecology of Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **8**:2518- 2522.

- Chandel, U. and Mankotia, B.S. 2014. Combining ability in local and CIMMYT inbred lines of maize (*Zea mays* L.) for grain yield and yield components using line  $\times$ tester analysis. *SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics*, **46**:256-264.
- Chandel, U., Mankotia, B.S. and Thakur, K.S. 2014. Evaluation of CIMMYT maize (*Zea mays* L.) germplasm by tropical inbred testers. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*, **43**:131-139[. \[Cross ref\]](https://doi.org/10.3329/bjb.v43i2.21661)
- Chandel, U., Kumar, D. and Guleria, S.K. 2019. Combining ability effects and heterotic grouping in newly developed early maturing yellow maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbreds under sub-tropical conditions. *Electronic Journal Plant Breeding*, **10**:1049-1059. [\[Cross ref\]](https://doi.org/10.5958/0975-928X.2019.00134.0)
- Darshan, S.S. and Marker, S. 2019. Heterosis and combining ability for grain yield and its component characters in quality protein maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids. *Electronic Journal Plant Breeding*, **10**:111-118. [\[Cross ref\]](https://doi.org/10.5958/0975-928X.2019.00013.9)
- El-Hosary, A.A.A., Motawea, M.H. and Elgammaal, A.A. 2018. Combining ability for yield and some of its attributes in maize across two locations. *Egyptian Journal of Plant Breeding*, **22**:625-640.
- Griffing, B. 1956. A generalized treatment of the use of diallel crosses in quantitative inheritance. *Heredity*, **10**:31- 50. [\[Cross ref\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1956.2)
- Katragadda, S., Tekale, P. and Dinasarapu, S. 2020. Identification of potential parental lines for single, three-way and double crosses in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Maydica*, **65**:M14.
- Kumar, A., Dadheech, A., Kiran, N., Bisen, P. and Kumar, S. 2017. Diallel analysis of combining ability for yield and yield contributing traits over the environments in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **6**:196- 208. [\[Cross ref\]](https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.610.025)
- Kumar, S., Chandel, U., Guleria, S.K. and Devlash, R. 2019. Combining ability and heterosis for yield contributing and quality traits in medium maturing inbred lines of maize (*Zea mays* L.) using line × tester. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, **7**:2027-2034.
- Kumar, P., Longmei, N., Jat, B.S., Choudhary, B., Yathish, K.R., Bhushan, B., Goyal, M. and Rakshit, S. 2022. Heterotic grouping of Indian baby corn lines based on combining ability. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*, **82**:161-166. [\[Cross ref\]](https://doi.org/10.31742/IJGPB.82.2.4)
- Lal, J.J. and Kumar, R.S. 2012. Combining ability and heterosis for polygenic characters in maize (*Zea*

*mays* L.). *Madras Agricultural Journal*, **99**:174-177. [\[Cross ref\]](https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.100040)

- Rajesh, V., Kumar, S.S., Reddy, N.V. and Sankar, A. 2018. Combining ability and genetic action studies for yield and its related traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **7**:2645-2652. [\[Cross ref\]](https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.706.313)
- Sharma, P., Kamboj, M.C. and Punia, M.S. 2019. Assessment of combining ability effects using quality protein maize donors as testers for yield and yield traits in maize. *Electronic Journal Plant Breeding*, **10**:1367- 1375[. \[Cross ref\]](https://doi.org/10.5958/0975-928X.2019.00175.3)
- Singh, D. 1973. Diallel analysis for combining ability over several environments. *Indian Journal Genetics and Plant Breeding*, **33**:469-481.