



Research Note

Study on gene action, combining ability and heterosis for different traits in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern & Coss)

Bharath Kumar Margam and Nihar Ranjan Chakraborty*

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, PalliSikshaBhavana (Institute of Agriculture), VisvaBharati, Sriniketan, West Bengal, India

*E-Mail: nrchakraborty@gmail.com

Abstract

Combining ability and heterosis was investigated in mustard hybrids obtained from 7 × 7 half diallel cross. Twenty one F_{1s} along with seven parents were evaluated in Randomized Complete Block Design. The combined analysis of variance revealed very significant differences among the parents for all morphological variables with the exception of secondary branches and siliqua length, indicating wide diversity among the parental material used in the present study. Significant GCA and SCA variance indicated additive and non-additive gene action across all the characters. The SCA variance components were larger than the GCA variance components for most traits indicating the prevalence of non-additive gene effects. Jawahar mustard × PM-30, showed a significantly positive SCA and better parent heterosis for seed yield per plant whereas five hybrids (Kranti × PM-30, Gujarat Mustard-3 × Pusa Mahak, Jawahar Mustard × PM-30, Jawahar Mustard × Pusa Mahak and PM-30 × Pusa Mahak) exhibited significant positive SCA effect for number of siliqua/ plant. Jawahar Mustard × PM-30, Varuna×Jawahar Mustard, Gujarat Mustard-3 × PM-30, exhibited highly significant heterosis over the mid-parent.-

Keywords: *Brassica juncea*, GCA, SCA, gene action, Heterosis

Mustard [*Brassica juncea* L. (Czern&coss)] is India's most important oil seed crop. India's mustard production is much lower than the global average (Rana *et al.*, 2021). Increasing mustard production depends on cultivating more acreage or introducing new high-yielding varieties. Since space constraints restrict area expansion, development of new mustard varieties with high genetic potential for crop yield is the favourable option. The diversity of plant types and ease of crossing, in conjunction with the crop's high adaptability, presents a good opportunity for enhancing yield *via* recombination breeding, transgressive segregant selection, and heterosis breeding in mustard (Singh *et al.*, 2022; Lakshman *et al.*, 2020). Plant breeding is governed by the genetic information available from parents and their cross combinations (Singh *et al.*, 2016; Sandhu *et al.*, 2019). To start a successful mustard breeding strategy, it

is essential to identify the genetic type and the estimated pre-potency of parents in hybrid combinations.

Selecting parents from several heterotic groups has the potential to increase hybrid vigour (Lakshman *et al.*, 2018; Singh *et al.*, 2022). To generate such genetic information from parents and progenies, as well as their general and specific combining ability, a genetic model in respect to the experimental material is required. A variety of models have been developed to predict the general and specific combining ability of parents and crosses. Diallel analysis is one of these methods that is useful for estimating genetic parameters and providing information on the genetic behaviour of the traits under study. This technique has been employed in a variety of crops, including mustard (Kaur *et al.*, 2022; Tele *et al.*, 2016; Gupta *et al.*,

2010). Griffing (1956 a, b) classified diallel crosses into four categories. Of the four diallel approaches, half diallel procedure (without reciprocal crosses) have several advantages over others, providing the most information about the genetic architecture of a trait, parents, and allelic frequency, and are the most commonly used due to their ease of use. The combining ability in this context explains the breeding values of parental lines in order to develop specified cross combinations. Crossing a line to various others provides the mean performance of the line in all its crosses. This mean performance, represented as a divergence from the mean of all crosses, is referred to as a line's general combining ability (GCA). The predicted value of every individual cross is thus the sum of the general combining abilities of its two parental lines. The cross, on the other hand, may diverge from this expected value to a greater or smaller extent. This difference is referred to as the specific combining ability (SCA) of the two lines in combination. The GCA effects aid in the selection of superior parents, while the specific combining ability effects aid in the selection of superior hybrids. Crop breeding programs that include at least one parent with a high GCA value and a large SCA impact, as well as a hybrid with high *per se* performance are more dependable than those that do not include at least one parent with a high GCA value when making parent selections (Fasahat *et al.*, 2016). Several heterosis values for grain production in mustard have been reported by researchers.

The parental lines for the study were obtained from the Pulses and Oilseeds Research Station in Berhampur, West Bengal, India. Seven lines /genotypes were selected as parents based on evaluation of diverse agro-morphological traits during *Rabi* season 2018-2019 (October-February) (**Table 1**). Hybridization was started at the onset of flowering during *Rabi* season 2018-2019 (October-February) among the parents based on flowering synchrony. Emasculation was done in the afternoon (3 pm to 6.30 pm). Only those flower buds, which were expected to open in the next morning, were chosen for emasculation.

Twenty-one F_{1s} and their seven parents were evaluated in randomised complete block design (RCBD) with three

Table 1. List of parental materials used in Experiment

Parent No.	Genotype
1	Pusa Mahak
2	Pusa Mustard-30
3	Jawahar mustard
4	Rohini
5	Gujarath mustard-3
6	kranti
7	Varuna

replications with a plot size of 3 × 2 m² having spacing 60 cm × 20 cm. during *Rabi* season 2019-2020 (October-February) at the Agricultural Farm, Institute of Agriculture, Visva-Bharati, Sriniketan, located at 23° 19' N latitude, 87° 42' E longitude, and 58.9 m above sea level using a 7×7 half-diallel mating design. All the recommended package of practices were adopted to grow a good crop. Standard hybridization techniques (Labana *et al.*, 1993) were followed. The parents were planted at five-day intervals to synchronise flowering. Based on the synchronicity of blooming between the parents, hybridization was initiated at the start of flowering between the parents. The operation was conducted in the afternoon (3 pm to 6.30 pm). For emasculation, only those flower buds that were expected to blossom the next morning were chosen. Emasculated flower buds were covered with bag and labelled correctly. The next morning, emasculated flowers were pollinated between 4:30 and 7:30 a.m. After pollination, the flowers were again covered with bag and labelled with precision. After three days of cross-pollination, the bags were removed to allow the capsules to develop properly. Then, each capsule that had been cross-fertilized was tagged for identification reasons. These mature capsules were collected with hybrid seeds.

Statistical methods: The combining ability analysis was done as per Griffing's Method 2 Model 1 (Griffing, 1956). Heterosis expressed as percent increase or decrease in hybrid (F_1) over its mid parent value and better parent value in the desirable direction was estimated for various traits as per the formula Relative Heterosis = $100 \times [(F_1 - MP) / MP]$ suggested by Briggie 1963, Better Parent Heterosis = $100 \times [(F_1 - BP) / BP]$ suggested by Fonseca and Patterson 1968 Where F_1 = mean hybrid performance, BP = mean performance of better parents and MP = mean performance of mid parent.

The t-test was applied to determine significant difference of F_1 hybrid mean from respective mid parent and better parent values using formulae proposed by Wynne *et al.* 1970. The data were analysed in the computer using the Windostat version 8.6 from Indostat service Hyderabad, India. Components of variance due to GCA and SCA were estimated from the expectation of mean squares of the ANOVA for combining ability. The estimates were used to compute predictability factors following Baker (1978).

$$\text{Predictability Factor (PF)} = 2V_{GCA} / (2V_{GCA} + V_{SCA})$$

The predictability factor indicates the relative importance of additive gene action in predicting the expression of characters in the progenies.

The data were analysed in the computer using Statistical Package for Agricultural Research (SPAR-I) developed at Indian Statistical Research Institute, New Delhi and also the Windostat version 8.6 from Indostat service Hyderabad, India.

Table 2. Combined analysis of variance for diallel crosses for some quantitative characters

	df	Plant height (cm)	Primary branches	Secondary branches	Days to 50% flowering	No. of siliqua on main shoot	No. of siliqua per plant	Siliqua length (cm)	Beak length (cm)	No. of seeds / siliqua	Days to maturity	Seed yield per plant(g)	1000 seed weight (g)
Parents (P)	6	92.34***	0.82**	8.26	11.81*	64.11***	5552.52***	0.07	0.01***	0.87**	63.79***	4.67***	0.29*
F ₁	20	166.64***	0.42	8.86	31.41***	20.36***	2821.83***	0.11	0.01**	0.62**	83.41***	2.62***	0.17
P v F ₁	1	3.30	0.13	1.84	9.05	10.20	6822.27***	0.12	0.01*	0.10	30.86*	0.44	0.80**
Replication	1	1.52	0.00	115.65	2.16	22.38*	467.60	0.00	0.00	3.30***	12.07	0.04	0.42*
Error	27	9.50	0.16	9.19	4.31	5.00	485.83	0.09	0.00	0.23	6.74	0.26	0.09

*, **, *** : Significant at p = 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 respectively

The analysis of variance (Table 2) revealed highly significant difference among the parents for all morphological traits with the exception of secondary branches and siliqua length (cm), indicating wide diversity among the parental material used in the present study. The effects of GCA and SCA on all morphological features were statistically significant (Singh *et al.*, 2022), as shown in Table 3. This demonstrated the significance of additive and non-additive gene activity in the transmission of all the morphological characteristics. The F_{1s} varied in all characteristics except primary and secondary branches, siliqua length, and 1000 seed weight. The performances of the number of siliqua in each plant, the length of the beak, the number of days to maturity, and the weight of 1000 seeds were significantly different between the parents and the hybrids (Lakshman *et al.*, 2019; Sharma *et al.*, 2020). Hence, genetic variability studies are vital for parent selection in hybridization (Singh *et al.*, 2016a, b). Once genetic variability is known, crop improvement is attainable using an appropriate selection approach. Increasing total yield is simpler by selecting components for yield. According to variation analysis, all evaluated characteristics had a significant genotype influence. This shows that parents and hybrids have enough genetic variation for a full combining ability investigation.

In the F₁ generation, ANOVA for combining ability (Table 3) demonstrated that variance related to GCA and SCA were significant for all traits except numbers of seeds per siliqua at GCA. This demonstrated the importance of both additive and non-additive gene action in character inheritance. The variance due to SCA was found to be considerably greater than that of GCA for all characters indicating the greater influence of non-additive gene action for exploitation of heterosis (Table 3). The results are in agreement with the studies of Chaudhary *et al.* 2019. Most features have a lower ratio, indicating the prevalence of non-additive genes effects were more important than additive effects. Tiwari 2019; Meena *et al.* 2022; Ahmad *et al.* 2022 and Khan *et al.* 2023 also emphasized higher portion of non-additive gene effects in genetic control of seed yield in mustard and believed that selection for improving this trait must be delayed until

later breeding generations. The predictability ratios shown in Table 3 revealed that, out of the 12 morphological characteristics, the number of secondary branches was predominantly controlled by additive type of gene action. Findings of Kumar and Pandit 2022, indicated a higher contribution of the additive component for number of primary and secondary branches per plant. In accordance with previous studies (Lakshman *et al.*, 2019; Singh *et al.*, 2019; So *et al.*, 2022), additive and non-additive gene effects were reported in mustard. Taking into account all variance estimates, it can be concluded that the number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ and the number of siliqua on the main shoot were controlled primarily by additive gene action, and transgressive breeding may be useful for this trait, whereas seed yield plant⁻¹, siliqua plant⁻¹, and days to maturity were controlled primarily by non-additive gene action, and heterosis breeding is the preferred method for these traits.

The GCA-effects and *per se* performance of the seven parents are shown in Table 4. PM-30 was found to be good general combiner for plant height, primary branches, number of siliqua on the main shoot, and seed yield per plant. Other parent, Gujarat Mustard-3 was also good general combiner for number of siliqua per plant and seed yield per plant. Pusa Mahak exhibited good general combiner for primary branches, no. of siliqua per plant, beak length, and seed yield per plant. Considering *per se* performance of these cultivars and their GCA effect on grain yield, selection from progenies of crosses involving the above cultivars will not only improve grain yield, but also increase genetic efficiency of selection. The finding is in line with the report of Chaudhari *et al.* (2022); Singh *et al.* (2022) and Kaur *et al.* (2022). The success of every plant breeding endeavor rests on the selection of suitable parents for hybridization. The GCA is often correlated with genes and modifiable variables (Sprague and Tatum 1942, Shah *et al.*, 2021). These parents may also be used for hybridization or repeated crossing to generate high-yield hybrid varieties or background selection of transgressive segregants to generate pure-line mustard types. Early-generation selection has the potential to enhance additive gene effects for grain production and the majority of yield component characteristics. Based

Table 3. Analysis of variance for combining ability for 12 quantitative characters in the F₁ generation

df	Plant height (cm)	Primary branches	Secondary branches	Days to 50% flowering	No. of siliqua on main shoot	No. of siliqua on plant	Siliqua length(cm)	Beak length(cm)	No. of seeds / siliqua	Days to maturity	Seed yield per plant (g)	1000seed weight (g)
GCA	58.137***	0.427***	6.879	7.767**	32.231***	2193.051***	0.034	0.004***	0.266	14.735**	1.034***	0.156*
SCA	76.010***	0.199*	3.476	14.639***	9.889***	1672.792***	0.056	0.003***	0.346**	45.355***	1.627***	0.099*
Error	4.750	0.080	4.594	2.154	2.501	242.914	0.043	0.001	0.113	3.369	0.128	0.045
Var GCA	5.932	0.039	0.254	0.624	3.303	216.682	-0.001	0.000	0.017	1.263	0.101	0.012
Var SCA	71.260	0.119	-1.118	12.485	7.388	1429.878	0.013	0.002	0.233	41.985	1.499	0.054
Predictability Factor	0.143	0.393	-0.831	0.091	0.472	0.233	-0.170	0.267	0.127	0.057	0.118	0.315

*, **, *** : Significant at p = 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 respectively, Var GCA: Variance due to GCA and Var SCA: variance due to SCA

Table 4. GCA- effect and per se performance of parents for 12 quantitative characters in the F₁ generation

Parents	Plant height (cm)			Primary branches			Secondary branches			Days to 50% flowering			No. of siliqua on main shoot			No. of siliqua per plant		
	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA
Varuna	135.100	-1.982**	6.200	-0.063	10.300	0.011	54.500	0.802	34.400	-1.259*	158.800	-10.715*						
Kranti	140.300	1.579*	5.300	-0.280**	8.100	-1.278	60.00	-0.087	36.100	0.430	219.900	4.498						
Gujarath mustard-3	146.100	2.979*	6.300	0.031	12.600	0.667	53.00	-0.254	32.500	-1.870***	260.600	17.454**						
Rohini	149.800	-2.860***	6.800	-0.130	13.400	0.511	55.00	-0.754	34.600	-1.361**	234.100	-10.002*						
Jawahar mustard	134.500	-2.808***	6.300	-0.130	8.300	-1.078	56.500	1.524**	38.800	1.119*	104.00	-25.157***						
PM-30	151.900	2.690***	7.100	0.325**	9.900	0.133	53.00	-1.254*	49.500	3.563***	212.00	10.654*						
Pusa mahak	142.800	0.403	7.150	0.248**	11.500	1.033	54.500	0.024	36.200	-0.603	219.500	13.265*						

Table 4. Contd.

Parents	Siliqua length(cm)			Beak length(cm)			No. of seeds /siliqua			Days to maturity			Seed yield per plant(g)			1000seed weight(g)		
	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA	Per se	GCA
Varuna	4.340	0.005	0.770	-0.022*	13.600	0.122	122.500	1.897*	8.060	0.131	4.165	0.053						
Kranti	4.580	0.017	0.760	-0.009	12.800	-0.233*	125.00	0.063	6.720	-0.259*	3.940	-0.086						
Gujarath mustard-3	4.210	-0.040	0.810	-0.008	13.700	0.122	113.500	0.508	8.580	0.240*	3.910	-0.114						
Rohini	4.280	-0.094	0.820	0.006	14.100	0.122	112.00	-1.881**	8.085	-0.182	4.815	0.238**						
Jawahar mustard	4.500	-0.031	0.850	-0.001	13.000	-0.256*	119.500	0.397	4.615	-0.561***	4.130	-0.149*						
PM-30	4.750	0.049	0.840	-0.009	14.400	0.111	110.500	-1.437*	8.870	0.319**	4.710	0.048						
Pusa Mahak	4.530	0.094	0.980	0.044***	12.700	0.011	113.500	0.452	8.755	0.312**	4.645	0.011						

*, **, ***: Significant at p = 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 respectively

Table 5. Scoring of parents in respect of rank in GCA effects for quantitative characters (F_1)

Parents	Plant height (cm)	Primary branches	Secondary branches	Days to 50% flowering	No. of siliqua on main shoot	No. of siliqua per plant	Siliqua length (cm)	Beak Length (cm)	No. of seeds / siliqua	Days to maturity	Seed yield per plant(g)	1000 seed weight (g)	Total	Frequency
Varuna	-1	-1	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	+1	0	0	-3	Low
Kranti	+1	-1	-1	0	0	+1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	High
Gujarath mustard-3	+1	+1	0	0	-1	+1	0	0	0	0	0	0	+2	High
Rohini	-1	-1	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	-5	Low
Jawahar mustard	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2	Low
PM-30	+1	+1	0	-1	+1	+1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	+2	High
Pusa mahak	0	+1	+1	0	0	+1	0	0	0	0	0	0	+3	High

on their GCA effect, each parent was assigned a score (Table 5) for each characteristic. “+1” was awarded for any significant GCA effects in the desired direction. The value ‘-1’ was assigned to negative GCA impacts. The score for insignificant GCA effects was 0. None of the seven parents had a favourable GCA for every morphological trait. According to GCA, Pusa Mahak was the best combiner in general with positive score (+3). Genotype Rohini was the poorest general combiner with maximum negative score (-5). Negative GCA score were also observed in Varuna and Jawahar mustard.

In general, the vast majority of crosses in Table 6 with substantial SCA-effects for any morphological trait also exhibited excellent *per se* performance in the F_1 generation. In the F_1 generation, Jawahar mustard \times PM-30 (2.874), Gujarat Mustard-3 \times Rohini (1.519), Varuna \times Rohini, Kranti \times PM-30, Kranti \times Pusa Mahak, Gujarat Mustard-3 \times Jawahar Mustard, and Jawahar Mustard \times Pusa Mahak exhibited high *per se* performance and significant SCA-effects for seed yield per plant. These crosses also exhibited higher yield and one of the parents in each cross was a good general combiner indicating that such combinations are expected to produce desirable transgressive segregants. Results obtained from this study agree with results obtained by Singh *et al.* 2022; Ahmad *et al.* 2022 and Devi and Dutta, 2020. The cross Varuna \times Rohini demonstrated a highly significant SCA effect for plant height, while Varuna \times Kranti, Kranti \times PM-30, Kranti \times Pusa Mahak, Gujarat Mustard-3 \times Jawahar Mustard, Jawahar Mustard \times PM-30, and Jawahar Mustard \times Pusa Mahak demonstrated both high *per se* performance and positive and significant SCA-effects for plant height. Kranti \times PM-30 demonstrated outstanding performance *per se* and positive and statistically significant SCA-effects for primary branches. Gujarat mustard-3 \times Pusa Mahak exhibited excellent *per se* performance as well as favourable and substantial SCA-effects on secondary branches. For days to 50 percent

flowering, Jawahar Mustard \times Pusa Mahak demonstrated a high *per se* performance and a favourable and significant SCA-effect. Kranti \times Pusa Mahak had the highest *per se* performance and the most favourable and considerable SCA-effect for the siliqua on the main shoot, followed by Jawahar Mustard \times PM-30 and Gujarat Mustard-3 \times Rohini. The number of siliqua per plants in the cross Jawahar Mustard \times PM-30 exhibited high *per se* performance and favourable and considerable SCA-effects, followed by the crosses Varuna \times Jawahar Mustard, Gujarat Mustard-3 \times Pusa Mahak, and Kranti \times PM-30. Varuna \times Rohini demonstrated best performance and a statistically significant, positive SCA-effect for beak length, followed by Kranti \times Jawahar Mustard. Jawahar Mustard \times Pusa Mahak was the most significant in terms of SCA influence for days to maturity, followed by Varuna \times Gujarat Mustard-3 and Rohini \times Pusa Mahak. Only one cross between Kranti and Gujarat Mustard-3 was significant for 1000 seed weight. Higher SCA effects observed in this cross where one of the parent had average or good GCA, suggested that additive \times dominant gene interaction was involved in governing this trait. The significant negative value for estimates of SCA effects for seed yield per plant was shown by Kranti \times Gujarat mustard-3 (-1.524), Gujarat mustard-3 \times PM-30 (-1.137), Rohini \times PM-30 (-2.110), Rohini \times Pusa Mahak (-1.268), PM-30 \times Pusa Mahak (-1.389). SCA effects, which are considered to represent non-additive components of genetic variation, are useful for discerning the genetic value of crosses. Several crosses had substantial and acceptable SCA effects for one or more components, but none was an effective combiner for all F_1 characteristics. The crosses Kranti \times Pusa Mahak, Jawahar Mustard \times PM-30 and Kranti \times PM-30 were promising for seed yield per plant. The F_1 mean was greater than the parental mean for the number of siliqua on the main shoot, medium to low for days to maturity and days to 50 percent flowering, and very low for plant height, secondary branches, seed yield per plant, and primary branches.

Table 6. SCA-effects and Per Se Performance of F₁ hybrids for 12 quantitative characters

Crosses	Plant height (cm)		Primary branches		Secondary branches		Days to 50% flowering		No. of siliqua on main shoot		No. of siliqua per plant	
	Per se	SCA	Per se	SCA	Per se	SCA	Per se	SCA	Per se	SCA	Per se	SCA
Varuna x Kranti	151.00	8.055***	6.60	0.410	10.00	0.367	55.50	-1.125	38.70	2.852	248.50	34.326*
Varuna x Gujarath mustard-3	139.50	-4.845*	6.30	-0.201	10.50	-1.078	60.00	3.542*	35.50	1.952	231.80	4.669
Varuna x Rohini	149.90	11.394***	6.50	0.160	10.20	-1.222	55.50	-0.458	35.30	1.236	199.20	-0.474
Varuna x Jawahar mustard	139.75	1.191	6.60	0.260	13.30	3.467	62.00	3.764**	34.40	-2.164	246.90	62.380***
Varuna x PM-30	139.00	-5.056*	6.70	-0.096	11.70	0.656	57.00	1.542	34.80	-4.208**	178.00	-42.331**
Varuna x Pusa Mahak	139.60	-2.170	6.60	-0.118	11.00	-0.944	55.50	-1.236	34.80	-0.042	244.70	21.758
Kranti x Gujarath mustard-3	150.60	2.694	6.60	0.315	9.60	-0.689	53.00	-2.569	36.50	1.236	227.20	-15.141
Kranti x Rohini	135.20	-6.867**	5.90	-0.224	11.70	1.567	55.00	-0.069	34.50	-1.253	211.99	-2.894
Kranti x Jawahar mustard	133.30	-8.820***	6.10	-0.024	9.70	1.156	54.00	-3.347*	34.50	-3.753*	158.40	-41.330**
Kranti x PM-30	157.40	9.782***	7.25	0.671*	8.50	-1.256	57.00	2.431	40.30	-0.397	280.50	44.959**
Kranti x Pusa Mahak	152.90	7.569***	6.70	0.199	10.00	-0.656	52.00	-3.847**	40.80	4.269**	237.20	-0.952
Gujarath mustard-3x Rohini	146.80	3.332	6.20	-0.235	10.80	-1.278	56.00	1.097	37.50	4.047**	223.10	-4.741
Gujarath mustard-3 x Jawahar mustard	154.80	11.280***	6.70	0.265	8.70	-1.789	61.00	3.819**	37.00	1.047	201.40	-11.286
Gujarath mustard-3x PM-30	149.50	0.482	7.35	0.460	11.70	0.00	59.00	4.597**	35.50	-2.897	217.80	-30.698*
Gujarath mustard-3x Pusa Mahak	140.20	-6.531**	6.80	-0.013	16.70	4.100*	50.00	-5.681***	29.80	-4.431**	297.70	46.591**
Rohini x Jawahar mustard	122.30	-15.381***	5.30	-0.974***	9.80	-0.533	54.50	-2.181	35.20	-1.242	193.30	8.070
Rohini x PM-30	128.75	-14.429***	6.80	0.071	10.10	-1.444	48.50	-5.403***	34.60	-4.286**	217.50	-3.541
Rohini x Pusa Mahak	138.50	-2.392	6.80	0.149	12.40	-0.044	61.00	5.819***	34.90	0.181	159.80	-63.852***
Jawahar mustard x PM-30	150.65	7.419**	6.70	-0.029	11.30	1.344	52.50	-3.681*	45.50	4.114**	282.90	77.041***
Jawahar mustard x Pusa Mahak	151.72	10.776***	7.10	0.449	8.10	-2.756	64.00	6.542***	39.50	2.281	245.80	37.302*
PM-30x Pusa Mahak	141.90	-4.542*	6.20	-0.907**	15.30	3.233	56.00	1.319	36.0	-3.664*	258.30	13.991

* **, *** : Significant at p = 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 respectively

Table 6. (Continued)

Crosses	Siliqua length(cm)		Beak length(cm)		No.of seeds /siliqua		Days to maturity		Seed yield per plant(g)		1000seed weight(g)	
	Per se	SCA	Per se	SCA	Per se	SCA	Per se	SCA	Per se	SCA	Per se	SCA
Varuna x Kranti	4.10	-0.304	0.75	-0.028	13.80	0.511	117.00	-2.889	8.09	0.390	3.96	-0.130
Varuna x Gujarath mustard-3	4.68	0.333	0.72	-0.058*	13.20	-0.444	129.00	8.667***	7.75	-0.444	4.03	-0.037
Varuna x Rohini	4.38	0.092	0.89	0.097***	14.10	0.456	120.00	2.056	8.66	0.888*	4.51	0.092
Varuna x Jawahar mustard	4.38	0.030	0.74	-0.045	14.00	0.733*	120.50	0.278	7.03	-0.363	4.16	0.128
Varuna x PM-30	4.63	0.199	0.81	0.032	13.00	-0.633*	117.00	-1.389	8.26	-0.013	4.51	0.287
Varuna x Pusa Mahak	4.22	-0.255	0.82	-0.011	13.00	-0.533	112.00	-8.278***	7.86	0.4406	3.98	-0.212
Kranti x Gujarath mustard-3	4.66	0.301	0.81	0.019	13.50	0.211	112.00	-6.500***	6.28	-1.524***	4.33	0.407*
Kranti x Rohini	4.33	0.030	0.81	0.004	13.40	0.111	118.00	1.889	7.38	-0.007	4.01	-0.270
Kranti x Jawahar mustard	4.42	0.058	0.89	0.086**	12.40	-0.511	114.00	-4.389*	6.91	-0.092	4.15	0.261
Kranti x PM-30	4.00	-0.443*	0.79	-0.006	12.80	-0.478	124.00	7.444***	8.84	0.957**	3.83	-0.260
Kranti x Pusa Mahak	4.51	0.018	0.83	-0.014	13.60	0.422	109.00	-9.444*	9.32	1.444***	4.07	0.017
Gujarath mustard-3x Rohini	4.22	-0.023	0.85	0.044	12.60	-1.044**	111.00	-5.556**	9.40	1.519***	4.03	0.217
Gujarath mustard-3 x Jawahar mustard	4.17	-0.135	0.77	-0.029	13.70	0.433	120.00	1.167	8.53	1.028**	4.10	0.239
Gujarath mustard-3x PM-30	4.19	-0.201	0.77	-0.026	13.50	-0.133	125.00	8.000***	7.25	-1.137**	3.92	-0.142
Gujarath mustard-3x Pusa Mahak	4.33	-0.100	0.86	0.015	14.40	-0.867**	124.00	5.111**	8.38	0.005	3.74	-0.280
Rohini x Jawahar mustard	3.77	-0.482*	0.79	-0.029	12.90	-0.367	112.50	-3.944*	6.81	-0.274	4.03	-0.182
Rohini x PM-30	4.32	-0.012	0.80	-0.006	13.00	-0.633*	116.00	1.389	5.85	-2.110***	4.37	-0.043
Rohini x Pusa Mahak	4.59	0.213	0.75	-0.109***	14.10	0.567	125.00	8.500***	6.69	-1.268***	4.56	0.188
Jawahar mustard x PM-30	4.33	-0.064	0.74	-0.059*	13.10	-0.156	109.00	-7.889***	10.46	2.874***	3.44	-0.582**
Jawahar mustard x Pusa Mahak	4.66	0.221	0.84	-0.012	12.80	-0.356	132.00	13.222***	8.57	0.997**	3.52	-0.471*
PM-30x Pusa Mahak	4.49	-0.029	0.81	-0.034	14.00	0.478	118.50	1.556	7.07	-1.389***	3.94	-0.242

*, **, *** : Significant at p = 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 respectively

Table 7. Heterosis over mid and better parent for 12 quantitative characters in the F₁ generation

Crosses	Plant height (cm)		Primary branches		Secondary branches		Days to 50% flower		No. of siliqua on main shoot		No. of siliqua per plant	
	H (mp)	H (bp)	H (mp)	H (bp)	H (mp)	H (bp)	H (mp)	H (bp)	H (mp)	H (bp)	H (mp)	H (bp)
Varuna x Kranti	11.7*	9.66**	14.78*	6.45	8.70	-2.91	1.83	-3.06	9.79	7.20	31.24	13.01
Varuna x Gujarath mustard-3	3.26	-0.78	0.80	0.00	-8.30	-16.67	13.21**	11.63**	6.13	3.20	10.54	-11.05
Varuna x Rohini	10.95**	5.23**	0.00	-4.41	-13.92	-23.88	1.83	1.37	2.32	2.02	1.40	-14.91
Varuna x Jawahar mustard	3.90	3.67	5.60	4.76	43.01	29.13	13.76**	11.71**	-6.01	-11.34	87.90	55.48**
Varuna x PM-30	2.89	-3.14	0.75	-5.63	15.84	13.59	7.55	6.05	-17.04**	-29.70	-3.99	-16.04
Varuna x Pusa Mahak	3.33	0.47	-1.12	-7.69	0.92	-4.35	1.83	1.83	-1.42	-3.87	29.37	11.48
Kranti x Gujarath mustard-3	7.34**	5.17**	13.79*	4.76	-7.25	-23.81	0.00	-6.19	6.41	1.11	-5.43	-12.82
Kranti x Rohini	-3.64	-6.79**	-2.48	-13.24*	8.85	-12.69	0.00	-4.35	-2.40	-4.43	-6.61	-9.44
Kranti x Jawahar mustard	-0.89	-2.98	5.17	-3.17	18.29	16.87	-4.42	-7.30*	-7.88	-11.08	-2.19	-27.97**
Kranti x PM-30	12.19**	7.73**	16.94**	2.11	-5.56	-14.14	7.55	0.88	-5.84	-18.59**	29.89**	27.56*
Kranti x Pusa Mahak	8.98**	8.02**	7.63	-6.29	2.04	-13.04	-4.59	-9.17**	12.86*	12.71	7.97	7.87
Gujarath mustard-3x Rohini	0.48	-0.78	-5.34	-8.82	-16.92	-19.40	5.66	3.70	11.77	8.38	-9.80	-14.39
Gujarath mustard-3 x Jawahar mustard	15.09**	10.34**	6.35	6.35	-16.75	-30.95	15.09**	11.42**	3.79	-4.64	10.48	-22.72*
Gujarath mustard-3x PM-30	2.33	0.34	9.70	3.52	4.00	-7.14	11.32**	11.32**	-13.41**	-28.28**	-7.83	-16.42
Gujarath mustard-3x Pusa Mahak	-1.82	-2.94	1.12	-4.90	38.59	32.54	-5.66	-6.98*	-13.25*	-17.68**	24.02**	14.24
Rohini x Jawahar mustard	-9.07**	-13.96**	-19.08**	-25.87**	-9.68	-26.87	-0.91	-2.24	-4.09	-9.28	14.34	-17.43
Rohini x PM-30	-14.05**	-14.65**	-2.16	-4.90	-13.30	-24.63	-8.49*	-10.19**	-17.72**	-30.10**	-2.49	-7.09
Rohini x Pusa Mahak	-3.01	-5.33**	-2.51	-4.90	-0.40	-7.46	11.93*	11.42**	-1.41	-3.59	-29.54**	-31.74**
Jawahar mustard x PM-30	12.01**	5.20**	0.00	-6.29	24.18	14.14	-0.94	-4.11	3.06	-8.08	79.05**	33.44**
Jawahar mustard x Pusa Mahak	12.80**	9.43**	5.58	0.70	18.18	29.57	17.43**	15.32**	5.33	1.80	51.96**	11.98
PM-30x Pusa Mahak	-0.63	-3.70	-12.98	-13.29	42.99	33.04	5.66	4.19	-15.99**	-27.27**	19.72*	17.68
Range	-14.05	-14.65	-19.08	-25.87	-16.92	-30.95	-8.49	-10.19	-17.72	-30.1	-29.54	-31.74
Min.	15.09	10.34	16.94	6.45	43.01	33.04	17.43	15.32	12.86	12.71	87.9	55.48
Max.	3.530	0.486	2.025	-3.845	6.357	-2.812	4.268	1.773	-2.142	-8.167	15.714	-0.441
No. of crosses with positive	14 (8)	11 (8)	14 (3)	8 (0)	12 (0)	7 (0)	15 (6)	12 (6)	9 (1)	7 (0)	13 (5)	9 (2)
No. of crosses with negative	7 (1)	10 (4)	7 (1)	13 (2)	9 (0)	14 (0)	6 (1)	9 (4)	12 (5)	14 (5)	8 (1)	12 (3)

* , ** , *** : Significant at p = 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 respectively. Figures in the parenthesis indicate significant values(Contd.)

Table 7. Continued..

Crosses	Siliqua length(cm)		Beak length (cm)		No. of seeds /siliqua		Days to maturity		Seed yield per plant		1000seed weight	
	H (mp)	H (bp)	H (mp)	H (bp)	H (mp)	H (bp)	H (mp)	H (bp)	H (mp)	H (bp)	H (mp)	H (bp)
Varuna x Kranti	-8.18	-10.59	-1.96	-2.60	4.55	1.47	-5.45**	-6.40**	9.40	0.31	-2.28	-4.92
Varuna x Gujarath mustard-3	9.36	7.72	-8.86*	-11.11*	-3.30	-3.65	9.32**	5.31*	-6.85	-9.67	-0.31	-3.36
Varuna x Rohini	1.62	0.92	11.95*	8.54	1.81	0.00	2.35	-2.04	7.28	7.11	0.33	-6.44
Varuna x Jawahar mustard	-0.90	-2.67	-8.64*	-12.94**	5.26	2.94	-0.41	-1.63	10.93	-12.78	0.18	-0.24
Varuna x PM-30	1.87	-2.53	0.62	-3.57	-7.14*	-9.72**	0.43	-4.49*	-2.42	-6.88	1.63	-4.25
Varuna x Pusa Mahak	-4.85	-6.84	-6.29	-16.33**	-1.14	-4.41	-5.08*	-8.57**	-6.51	-10.22	-9.76	-14.42*
Kranti x Gujarath mustard-3	5.92	1.64	3.18	0.00	1.89	-1.46	-6.08**	-10.40**	-17.91**	-26.81**	10.32	9.90
Kranti x Rohini	-2.26	-5.46	2.53	-1.22	-0.37	-4.96	-0.42	-5.60*	-0.37	-8.78	-8.51	-16.82*
Kranti x Jawahar mustard	-2.64	-3.49	9.94*	4.12	-3.88	-4.62	-6.75**	-8.80**	21.92**	2.83	2.85	0.48
Kranti x PM-30	-14.26*	-15.79*	-1.88	-6.55	-5.88	-11.11**	5.31**	-0.80	13.41*	-0.34	-11.56	-18.79*
Kranti x Pusa Mahak	-1.10	-1.64	-4.60	-15.31**	6.67	6.25	-8.60**	-12.80	20.45**	6.45	-5.30	-12.49
Gujarath mustard-3x Rohini	-0.59	-1.40	4.29	3.66	-9.35**	-10.64**	-1.55	-2.20	12.81*	9.56	-7.62	-16.30*
Gujarath mustard-3 x Jawahar mustard	-4.25	-7.33	-7.23	-9.41*	2.62	0.00	3.00	0.42	29.29**	-0.58	1.99	-0.73
Gujarath mustard-3x PM-30	-6.58	-11.89	-7.27	-8.93	-3.91	-6.25	11.61**	10.13**	-16.96**	-18.32**	-9.16	-16.88*
Gujarath mustard-3x Pusa Mahak	-0.92	-4.42	-3.91	-12.24**	9.09**	5.11	9.25**	9.25**	-3.32	-4.28	-12.57	-19.48**
Rohini x Jawahar mustard	-14.12*	-16.22*	-5.99	-7.65	-4.80	-8.51*	-2.81	-5.86*	7.17	-15.83*	-9.89	-16.30*
Rohini x PM-30	-4.32	-9.05	-3.61	-4.76	-8.77**	-9.72**	4.27*	3.57	-30.99**	-34.05**	-8.35	-9.35
Rohini x Pusa Mahak	4.20	1.32	-16.67**	-23.47**	5.22	0.00	10.86**	10.13**	-20.61**	-23.64**	-3.59	-5.30
Jawahar mustard x PM-30	-6.38	-8.84	-12.43**	-12.94**	-4.38	-9.03*	-5.22*	-8.79**	55.06**	17.87**	-22.17**	-26.96**
Jawahar mustard x Pusa Mahak	3.21	2.87	8.20*	14.29**	0.39	1.54	13.30**	10.46**	28.20**	2.11	19.89**	24.33**
PM-30x Pusa Mahak	-3.23	-5.47	-10.99**	-17.35**	3.32	-2.78	5.80**	4.41	-19.83**	-20.35**	-15.77**	-16.35*
Range	-14.26	-16.22	-16.67	-23.47	-9.35	-11.11	-8.6	-12.8	-30.99	-34.05	-22.17	-26.96
Min.	9.36	7.72	11.95	14.29	9.09	6.25	13.3	10.46	55.06	17.87	19.89	24.33
Max.	-2.304	-4.7219	-2.839	-6.465	-0.576	-3.311	1.577	-1.1761	4.292	-6.966	-4.269	-8.317
No. of crosses with positive	6 (0)	5 (0)	7 (3)	6 (1)	10 (1)	8 (0)	11 (8)	8 (5)	11 (7)	7 (1)	7 (1)	3 (1)
No. of crosses with negative	15 (2)	16 (2)	14 (5)	15 (9)	11 (3)	13 (6)	10 (6)	13 (8)	10 (5)	14 (6)	14 (2)	18 (9)

*, **, ***, Significant at p = 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 respectively. Figures in the parenthesis indicate significant value

Heterosis: The magnitude of heterosis was estimated for all the 12 morphological traits and the same is furnished in **Table 7**. Heterosis over mid-parent for plant height ranged from -14.05 (Rohini × PM-30) to 15.09 percentage (Gujarat mustard-3 × Jawahar mustard) whereas heterosis over better-parent ranged from -14.65 (Rohini × PM-30) to 10.34 percentage (Gujarat mustard-3 × Jawahar mustard). Among the 21 F₁s, eight showed positive and significant heterosis over mid-parental and also eight hybrids exhibited positive and significant heterosis over the better-parental value. One cross exhibited negative and significant mid parent heterosis while four crosses showed negative and significant better-parent heterosis for this trait. Crosses with significant and desirable better-parent heterosis along with their specific combining ability effects for different characters, were computed to identify the superior cross combinations for their potential use in hybrid breeding. This experiment showed the presence of significant desirable better-parent heterosis for a good number of crosses for different characters. Singh *et al.* 2022 reported a heterobeltiosis of 51.84. The differences between the estimated heterosis values in this study and those reported previously might be due to the use of different parental combinations. Depending on breeding objectives, both positive and negative heterosis might be advantageous. Positive heterosis is often desired for yield (Singh *et al.*, 2022; Sunny *et al.*, 2022), whereas negative heterosis is desired for early flowering and short plant height (Lamkey and Edwards 1999). Negative heterosis for plant height reduces the likelihood of hybrids lodging, but positive heterosis, although increasing the risk of hybrids lodging, may increase yield, as shown by the positive correlation between plant height and seed production. Numerous studies (Lakshman *et al.*, 2018; Singh *et al.*, 2022) have found negative

heterosis in the height of mustard plants. Grafius, 1959 argues that heterosis in grain production is the result of contemporaneous increase in its many components.

In addition to morphological yield components, other variables may influence mustard seed heterosis. **Table 6** compares better-parent heterosis and SCA-effects. A Majority of the crosses with substantial heterosis in the desired direction displayed substantial SCA effects. It demonstrated the non-additive gene activity's function in heterosis. High GCA (strong GCA-effect in intended direction) or low GCA (strong GCA-effect in the undesired direction). Maximum number of hybrids with significant heterosis involved High × Low *gca*-parents (55.17%) followed by High × High *gca*-parents (41.38%) and Low × Low *gca*-parents (3.45%) in **Table 8**. The research indicated that parental variability in GCA-effects had a major role in heterosis. Crosses involving at least one parent with a high GCA-effect can only produce exceptional segregants if the additive genetic system in the excellent general combiner and the epistatic effects in the other parent cooperate to maximise the desired plant feature (Singh and Choudary 1995).

Estimates of mustard's combining capacity indicate a substantial opportunity to increase yield and contributing attributes. Experiments on heterosis revealed that all genotypes under investigation had genetic variation that might be used for both direct selection and hybridization followed by selection.

The study indicated that the nature of gene action indicated that, with the exception of the number of secondary branches and the number of siliqua on the

Table 8. Frequency of crosses as per GCA-effect of parent

Characters	Number of significant heterotic (mp) hybrids and GCA effects of the parents involved			
	High × High	High × Low	Low × Low	Total
Plant height (cm)	0	0	1	1
Primary branches	2	1	0	3
Secondary branches	0	0	0	0
Days to 50% flowering	0	1	0	1
siliqua on main shoot	1	0	0	1
No. of siliqua per plant	3	2	0	5
Siliqua length(cm)	0	0	0	0
Beak length	1	2	0	3
No.of seeds /siliqua	1	0	0	1
Days to maturity	2	4	0	6
Seed yield per plant	2	5	0	7
1000seed weight	0	1	0	1
Total	12	16	1	29
Percentage (%)	41.38%	55.17%	3.45%	100%

main shoot, the majority of the other features were governed by a non-additive form of gene action, for which heterosis breeding would be most efficient. The pedigree approach could be used to improve secondary branches per plant, since this attribute was mostly under additive gene regulation. According to the GCA analysis, Gujarat Mustard-3, PM-30, and Pusa Mahak were the best overall general combiners for all morphological features. In terms of seed yield per plant, the analysis of heterosis indicated the three best F1 hybrids to be Jawahar Mustard × PM-30, Varuna×Jawahar Mustard, Gujarath Mustard-3 × PM-30, all of which exhibited high significant heterosis over the mid-parent. These hybrids may be evaluated at many sites, produced as commercial hybrids, or progressed for selfing to isolate transgressive segregants or homozygous lines for use in breeding programmes.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, H.B., Rauf, S., Saeed, S., Hussain, I. and Khaliq, A. 2022. Genetic exploration for quality aspects in *Brassica campestris*. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, **60**(2):81-87
- Baker, R.J. 1978. Issues in diallel analysis. *Crop Science*, **18**: 533-36. [Cross Ref]
- Briggle, L.W. 1963. Heterosis in Wheat – A review. *Crop Science*, **3**(3): 407-412. [Cross Ref]
- Chaudhary, P.K., Patel, P.T., Prajapati, K.P., Patel, J.R., Patel, P.J. and Patel, B.K. 2019. Combining ability analysis for seed yield and its contributing traits in indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss.]. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology*, **12**(2): 85-92. [Cross Ref]
- Fasahat, P., Rajabi, J.A., Mohseni, R. and Derera, J. 2016. Principles and utilization of combining ability in plant breeding. *Biometrics and Biostatistics International Journal*, **1**(4): 1-24. [Cross Ref]
- Fonseca, S. and Patterson, F.L. 1968. Hybrid vigour in a seven parents diallel crosses in common winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Crop Science*, **8**: 85-88. [Cross Ref]
- Grafius, J.E. 1959. Heterosis in barley. *Agronomy Journal*, **51**: 551-554. [Cross Ref]
- Griffing, B. 1956. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system, *Australian Journal of Biological Sciences*, **9**: 463-493. [Cross Ref]
- Gupta, P., Chaudhary, H.B. and Lal, S.K. 2010. Heterosis and combining ability analysis for yield and its components in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern&Coss). *Frontiers of Agriculture in China*, **4**: 299-307. [Cross Ref]
- Kaur, S., Singh, R.K.V. and Gupta, S. 2022. Genetic variability analysis in different F1 combinations in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Journal of Oilseed Brassica*, **13**(1):59-63
- Khan, W., Rauf, A., Raziuddin, M.I., Kumar, T., Zia, M.A. and Arif, M. 2023. Inheritance pattern and gene action of biochemical attributes in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, **55**(2):483-488. [Cross Ref]
- Kumar, J.S. and Pandit, M.K. 2022. Genetic variability, diversity, heterosis and combining ability in sponge gourd [*Luffa cylindrica* (Roem.) L.]. *International Journal of Bio-Resource & Stress Management*, **13**(10): 1047-1056. [Cross Ref]
- Labana, K.S., Banga, S.S. and Banga, S.K. 1993. Breeding Oilseed Brassicas. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 19. [Cross Ref]
- Lakshman, S.S., Chakraborty, N.R. and Kole, P.C. 2019. Study on the combining ability and gene action in sunflower through line x tester matting design. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding* **10** (2): 816-826. [Cross Ref]
- Lakshman, S.S., Chakraborty, N.R. and Kole, P.C. 2020. Economic heterosis in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.): Seed yield and yield attributing traits in newly developed hybrids. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **11**(2):461-468. [Cross Ref]
- Lakshman, S.S., Godke, M.K., Chakraborty, N.R. and Kole, P.C. 2018. Heterosis and combining ability study for seed yield, oil content and yield attributing characters in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Indian Agriculturist*, **62**(1&2): 19-30
- Lamkey, K. and Edwards, J.W. 1999. The quantitative genetics of heterosis, *The Genetics and Exploitation of Heterosis in Crops*, edited by Coors J.G. and Pandey S., Crop Science Society of America. pp. 31–48. [Cross Ref]
- Meena, V.K., Taak, Y., Chaudhary, R., Chand, S., Patel, M.K., Muthusamy, V., Yadav, S., Saini, N., Vasudev, S. and Yadava, D.K. 2022. Deciphering the genetic inheritance of tocopherols in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern and Coss). *Plants*, **11**(13): 1779. [Cross Ref]
- Rana, K., Parihar, M., Singh, J.P., Singh, R.K., Jadav, S.S. and Kumar, U. 2021. Evaluation of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) varieties for productivity, profitability, energetics and carbon dynamics under diverse irrigation regimes and sulphur application rates. *Environmental Sustainability*, **4**(4):805-21. [Cross Ref]
- Sandhu, S.K., Kang, M.S., Akash, M.W. and Singh, P. 2019. Selection indices for improving selection efficiency

- in Indian mustard. *Journal of Crop Improvement*, **33**(1):25-41. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Shah, M.A., Rehman, F.U., Mehmood, A., Ullah, F., Shah, S.I. and Rasheed, S.M. 2021. Combining ability, heritability and gene action assessment in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) for yield and yield attributes. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, **37**(1):104-9. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Sharma, D., Nanjundan, J., Singh, L., Singh, S.P., Parmar, N., Kumar, S., Singh, K.H., Mishra, A.K., Singh, R., Verma, K.S. and Thakur, A.K. 2020. Genetic diversity in leafy mustard (*Brassica juncea* var. *rugosa*) as revealed by agro-morphological traits and SSR markers. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants*, **26**(10):2005-18. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Singh, I., Kumar, R., Kaur, S., Singh, H. and Kaur, R. 2019. Combining ability studies using diallel mating design in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern&Coss.]. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, **53**(3):366-9. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Singh, S. and Choudary, B.S. 1995. Combining ability for some metric traits in rice. *Madras Agricultural Journal*, **82**: 165-169. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Singh, S., Prakash, A., Chakraborty, N.R., Wheeler, C., Agarwal, P.K. and Ghosh, A. 2016. Genetic variability, character association and divergence studies in *Jatropha curcas* for improvement in oil yield. *Trees structure and function*. **29**: [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Singh, V.V., Sharma, H.K., Ram, B., Meena, H.S. and Rai, P.K. 2022. Heterosis and gene action studies for agro-physiological traits in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Vegetos*, **35**(3):803-809. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- So, C.P., Sibolibane, M.M. and Weis, A.E. 2022. An exploration into the conversion of dominance to additive genetic variance in contrasting environments. *American Journal of Botany*, **109**(11):1893-1905. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Sprague, G.F. and Tatum, L.A. 1942. General vs specific combining ability in single crosses of corn. *Journal of American Society of Agronomy*, **34**: 923-932. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Sunny, A., Chakraborty, N.R., Kumar, A., Singh, B.K., Paul, A., Maman, S., Sebastian, A. and Darko, D.A. 2022. Understanding gene action, combining ability, and heterosis to identify superior aromatic rice hybrids using artificial neural network. *Journal of food quality article ID 9282733*. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Tele, R.B., Patil, S.R., Lole, M.D., Solanke, A.K.P. and Bansod, S.C. 2016. Genetic analysis in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) through diallel mating, *Journal of Oilseed Brassica*, **1**(1):55-60.
- Tiwari, V.K. 2019. Morphological parameters in breeding for higher seed yield in Indian mustard [*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern. & Coss.]. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, **10**(1):187-195. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Wynne, J.C., Emery, D.A. and Rice, P.W. 1970. Combining ability estimates in *Arachis hypogaea* L. II. Field performance of F₁ hybrids. *Crop Science*, **10**: 713-715. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)