

Research Note

A study on correlation and path analysis for seed yield and yield components in sunflower [*Helianthus annuus* (L.)]

M.M.Pandya*, P.B.Patel¹, A.V. Narwade

Dept.of Genetics & Plant Breeding, N. M. College of Agricultural, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari – 396 450 (Gujarat)

¹Pulses & Castor Research Station, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari – 396 450 (Gujarat) Email- pandyamihir2828@gmail.com

(Received: 23 July 2014; Accepted: 28 Mar 2015)

Abstract

Correlation and path coefficient analysis was carried out in 40 genotypes of sunflower [*Helianthus annuus* (L.)]. Association analysis between seed yield per plant and other 14 characters revealed that seed yield per plant showed highly significant and positive correlation with head diameter, number of seeds per capitula, number of filled seeds per capitula and 100 seed weight at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. These seed yield per plant also possessed positive association with days to maturity, stem diameter, number of leaves per plant, leaf area at flowering, plant height, oil content and vacant inner diameter. The characters days to 50 per cent flowering, days to initiation of flower and number of unfilled seeds per capitula exhibited negative correlation with seed yield per plant. Path analysis indicated that days to 50 per cent flowering had highest positive direct effect on seed yield per plant followed by number of leaves per plant. The character days to initiation of flower exhibited high negative direct effects.

Keywords

Sunflower, correlation, path analysis.

The objectives of any plant breeder include selection from a natural population or from the one developed by him for one or several characters (Simmonds, 1983). Yield is a complex character which can not be improved to a greater extent on its own. Because ,it is influenced by a set of other characters known as yield components which are related among themselves and with yield either favorably or unfavorably. In general, in most crops, the associations among yield components are reported to be undesirable thereby hindering the rapid progress that could be made. Various kind of association have been reported in sunflower. Hence, it would be necessary to know what kind of relationship exists among and between morphological and yield components and whether a given relationship is a true relationship.

The experimental material for present investigation comprised of 40 genotypes of Sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) obtained from Directorate of Oilseed Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, were grown in a randomized block design during the summer season 2011, at Research Farm of Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari. Five plants were randomly selected in each entry in each replication for recording observation on growth, yield and phonological characters. The seeds were sown at 60×30cm spacing. Genotypic correlation were computed as per Weber and Murthy (1952). Path coefficient analysis was carried out as suggested by Wright (1921) and illustrated by Dewey and Lu (1959). The

character which exhibited significant correlation with seed yield were considered for path analysis.

Correlation: The results of present study, which revealed comparative higher degree of genotypic correlation coefficients than their phenotypic counterparts in most of the characters, indicated that there was a higher degree of association between two characters of genotypic, their phenotypic association was lessened due to the influence of environment. However, in few cases, the phenotypic correlation were slightly higher than their genotypic cause inflated the value of genotypic correlation because of the influence of the environmental factors.

In the present investigation, seed yield per plant was found to be highly significant and positively correlated with head diameter, number of seeds per capitula, number of filled seeds per capitula and 100 seed weight at both genotypic and phenotypic level indicating that these attributes were mainly influencing the seed yield in Sunflower. Thus, selection practiced for the improvement in one character will automatically result in the improvement in the other character even though direct selection for improvement has not been made for the yield character. Similar results exhibiting highly significant and positive correlation between seed yield and other traits as obtained in the present investigation were reported by Robert (1970) for head diameter; Chandra and Anand (1977), Sidhu and Bains (1980) and Vershney and Singh (1978) for plant height, head diameter and 100 seed weight.



Lakshmanaiah (1980) for head diameter, 100 seed weight, plant height, stem diameter at both the levels; Chaudhary and Anand (1985) and Lawrence and Shaik (1993) for number of leaves per plant, plant height, maturity, stem and head diameters; Shankar et al. (2006) and Yasin and Singh (2010) for number of filled seeds per capitula and head diameter. The seed yield per plant expressed negative correlation with traits, days to 50 per cent flowering and initiation of flower, number of unfilled seeds per capitula in present investigation which suggests scope for breeding high yielding, early flowering, early maturing in future. Similar results in this regard were earlier reported by Khanna (1972) and Fick et al. (1974) for number of unfilled seed per capitula; Tyagi SD and Tyagi JP (2010) for 50 per cent flowering and number of unfilled seeds. Days to 50 per cent flowering had highly significant and positive correlation with days to initiation of flower at both the genotypic and phenotypic levels. While days to 50 per cent flowering had significant and positive association with days to maturity. Similar results were also reported by Mogali and Virupakshappa (1994), Tahir et al. (2002), respectively. Highly significant and positive association of days to 50 per cent flowering indicated that late flowering will result in high seed yield.

Initiation of flower exhibited significant and positive correlation with days to maturity at genotypic levels. This result was supported by Ayyasamy *et al.* (1977). Days to maturity showed significant and negative correlation with number of leaves and plant height. This indicated that days to initiation of flower and late maturity are also a good indicator of high yield. Nur (1978) for number of leaves and plant height.

Stem diameter showed highly significant and positive correlation with leaf area at flowering and vacant inner diameter. This finding is an accordance with those reported by Ayysamy et al. (1977). While stem diameter had significant positive association with number of leaves. number of seeds per capitula and number of per filled seed capitula. Rostova and (1984) found Anashchenko also similar correlation of stem diameter with number of seeds and number of filled seeds per capitula; Sheriff et al. (1986) for number of leaves and number of seeds per capitulum. Number of leaves were highly significantly and positively associated with plant height and significantly associated with leaf area at flowering. All these associations confirmed the results obtained by Lawrence and Shaik (1993), indicating number of leaves might also be a reliable source for selection.

Plant height showed significant and positive correlations with vacant inner diameter, head diameter, number of seeds per capitula and number of filled seeds per capitula. Similar results were also reported correlation for plant height with head diameter and number of seeds per capitula by Patil *et al.* (1996) and Lawrence and Shaik (1993). Alba and Greco (1979) for number of seeds per capitula. Therefore, the undesirable association of maturity and plant height with yield calls for a compromise between selection for high yield, dwarfness and earliness.

Head diameter had highly significant and positive correlation with number of seeds per capitula, number of filled and it had negative correlation with number unfilled seeds per capitula and 100 seed weight. Lawrence and Shaik (1993) found similar correlation of head diameter with number of seeds per capitula and 100 seed weight; Khanna (1972) for number of seeds per capitula; Nur (1978) for number of unfilled seeds per capitula; Safavi (2011) for 100 seed weight. Therefore, simultaneous selection of this trait with the other is likely to prove reliable for selection.

Number of seeds per capitula exhibited highly significant and positive correlation with number of filled seeds per capitula and 100 seed weight, while negatively correlated with number of unfilled seed per capitula. All these associations confirmed the results obtained Yasin and Singh (2010). Number of filled seeds per capitula showed significant and negative association with number of unfilled seeds per capitula. Potdar *et al.* (1977) and D'yakov (1982) support this result. Therefore, number of seeds and number of filled seeds per capitula were strongly and positively associated with seed yield per plant at both genotypic and phenotypic level.

Oil content showed negative non significant correlation with days to maturity, stem diameter, number of leaves per plant, plant height, number of seeds per capitula, number of filled and unfilled seeds per capitula, 100 seed weight whereas positive association with seed yield, days to 50 per cent flowering, days to initiation of flower, leaf area at flowering, vacant inner diameter and head diameter. Fick *et al.* (1974) found similar association with days to 50 per cent flowering, number of unfilled seeds per capitula; Mogali and Virupakshappa (1994) for number of filled seeds per capitula.

Path analysis: The highest positive direct effect on seed yield per plant were recorded for days to 50 percent flowering, followed by number of leaves, stem diameter, head diameter, 100 seed weight and number of filled seeds per capitula. Similar results have been reported by Singh and



Yadav (1985), Singh *et al.* (1998), and Kholghi *et al.* (2011) for head diameter, number of filled seeds per capitula and 100 seed weight; Ashok *et al.* (2000), Sowmya *et al.*(2010) and Arshad *et al.* (2007) for head diameter; Anuradha *et al.* (2005) for stem diameter, head diameter, and number of filled seed per head.

The negative direct effects of day to initiation of flower was high while that of leaf area at flowering, number of seeds per capitula, plant height, vacant inner diameter were low. Such negative direct effects were also reported by Devi et al. (1998) for leaf area index; Arshad et al. (2007) for days to flower initation and plant height; Srinivas et al. (2010) for leaf area at flowering and plant height. Head diameter showed very high correlation with seed yield per plant which was mainly to its high positive direct and indirect effect via number of seeds per capitula and low indirect effect via days to maturity, plant height, oil content, leaf area at flowering, number of leaves per plant, vacant inner diameter, stem diameter, 100 seed weight and number of filled seeds per capitula. Days to 50 per cent flowering, days to initiation of flower and number of unfilled seed per capitula were negative. Number of filled seed per capitula also exhibited very high correlation with seed yield per plant which was due to its high direct effect, high indirect effects via number of seeds per capitula and head diameter and low indirect effect via vacant inner diameter , days to maturity and number of leaves per plant. Other indirect effects were neligible.

Though the correlation of number of seeds per capitula with seed yield per plant was highly significant and positive but it had negative direct effect on seed yield per plant. Positive correlation was due to the high negative indirect effect via number of filled seed per capitula and low indirect effect via vacant inner diameter, number of leaves per plant, days to maturity, leaf area at flowering, plant height, stem diameter, head diameter and 100 seed weight. Indirect effects via rest of the characters were negligible.

Highly significant and positive correlation of 100 seed weight with seed yield per plant was due to its low to moderate indirect effect via leaf area at flowering, plant height, days to maturity, stem diameter, number of filled seeds per capitula, number of seeds per capitula and head diameter.

The positive correlation of plant height with seed yield per plant was due to its moderate to high negative indirect effects via stem diameter, head diameter, number of filled seeds per capitula, vacant inner diameter, leaf area at flowering and number of leaves per plant. Positive significant correlation of number of leaves with seed yield per plant was due to its moderate to high indirect effects via head diameter, number of seeds and filled seeds per capitula, stem diameter, leaf area at flowering, vacant inner diameter and plant height. Leaf area at flowering had positive correlation with seed yield per plant showing negative indirect and direct effect via all the fourteen characters except number of unfilled seeds per capitula.

In general, indirect effects of all the traits via leaf area at flowering, oil content, days to 50 per cent flowering, days to initiation of flower, vacant inner diameter, days to maturity, number of unfilled seeds per capitula and stem diameter were very small and negligible. Indirect effects of the characters viz., head diameter, number of filled seeds per capitula, 100 seed weight, and number of seeds per capitula were high. Therefore, indirect selection practiced on these characters will results in the improvement of respective characters and ultimately seed yield.

From the above results, it would be reasonable to suggest that a breeder engaged in the improvement of sunflower yield and oil content should play greater emphasis on the number of seeds per capitula, test weight and total weight of the head.

References

- Alba, E. and Greco, I. 1979. An analysis of the association factors influencing seed yield in sunflower. Sunflower Newslr., 3(2):13-15.
- Anuradha, N.; Rama Kumar, P. V.; Durga Rani, and Reddy, A.V. 2005. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in sunflower. *Andhra Agric. J.*, **52**(3&4):346-349.
- Arshad, M.; Kashif, M. and Khan, M. A. 2007. Path coefficient analysis for seed yield traits in sunflower hybrids. *Pak. J. Bot.*, **39**(6):2009-2015.
- Ayyasamy, M. K.; Krishnaraju, J. and Sivsankran, D. 1977. Biometric studies in sunflower. *Madras Agric. J.*, **64**(7):430-432.
- Chandra, S. and Anand, I. J. 1977. Association of morphological and physiological characters with seed yield and grain filling in sunflower. *Crop improv.*, **4**(2):170-172.
- Chaudhary, S. K. and Anand, I. J. 1985. An analysis of the association factors influencing seed yield in sunflower. *Crop improv.*, **2**(1):78-85.
- Devi, K. R.; Raghunatham, G. and Gopal, M. 1998. Character association and path analysis for seed yield in sunflower. *Andhra Agric .J.*, 45(3&4):151-156.



- Dewey, P. R. and Lu, K. H. 1959. A Correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested Wheat Grass seed production. *Agron. J.*, **51**(9):515-518.
- Fick, G. H.; Zimmer, D. E. and Zimmerman, D. C. 1974. Correlation of seed oil content in sunflower with other plant and seed characteristics. *Crop Sci.*, **14**(5):755.
- Khanna, K. R. 1972. Factors affecting the production of filled seeds in sunflower. *Euphytica*, **21**(2):384-387.
- Kholghi, M.; Bernousi, I.; Darvishzadeh, R. and Pirzad, A. 2011. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of seed yield and yield related trait in Iranian Cofectionery sunflower population. *African J.Biotechnology.*, **10**(61): 13058-13063.
- Lakshmanaiah, V. H. 1980. Genetic variability and association of morphological characters with seed yield and oil content in sunflower. *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.*, **14**(2):259.
- Lawrence, M. and Shaik, M. 1993. Character association and path analysis of certain quantitative characters in sunflower. *J.Oilseeds. Res.*, **10** (1):124-126.
- Mogali, S. C. and Virupakshappa, K. 1994. Inter character association and path coefficient analysis in sunflower. *Indian J.Genet.*, 54(4):366-370.
- Nur, J. M. 1978. Sunflower and the problem of unfilled seeds under sudan condition. Acta agronomica academine scientiarum hungariae, 27(3-4):339-340.
- Patil, B. R.; Rudraradhya, M.; C. H. M. Vijayakumar; Basappa, H. and Kulkarni, R. S. 1996. Correlation and path analysis in sunflower. J. Oilseeds Res., 13 (2):162-166.
- Robert, E. B. 1970. Head size of sunflower as an indication of plot yields. *Agron. J.*, **62**:112-113.
- Rostova, N. S. and Anashchenko, A. V. 1984. Comparative analysis of the correlations between yield characters in sunflower hybrids. *Sel'skokhozyai stevennaya biologiya*, **12**:64-72.
- Safavi, S. M. 2011. Correlation between traits and estimation of genetic parameters in sunflower. *American J. Scientific res.*, **28**:13-17.
- Shankar, V. G.; Ganesh, M.; Ranganatha, A. R. G. and Bhave, M. H. V. 2006. A study on correlation and path analysis of seed yield and yield components in sunflower. *Agric. Sci. Digest*, **26**(2):87-90.
- Sherief, N. M.; Appadurai, R. and Rangasamy, R. S. 1986. Growth pattern, heterosis and correlation in sunflower. *Madras Agric. J.*, 73(6):308-312.

- Sidhu, B. S. and Bains, D. S. 1980. Correlation between seed yield, yield attributing and quality characteristics of sunflower. *Indian J. Agron.*, 25(1):156-157.
- Simmonds, N. W. 1983. The state of the art in Genetic Engineering of plants. An Agricultural Perspective. Plenum Press, New York, London. 5-26.
- Singh, J. V. and Yadava, P. T. 1985. Correlation and path-coefficient analysis in sunflower. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, **55**(4):243-246.
- Singh, M.; Singh, H.; Kumar, R.; Tonk, D. S.; Singh, V. P.; Singh, T. and Singh, S. M. 1998. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of some morphological and seed yield characters of sunflower. *Crop Res.*, 16(1): 93-96.
- Sowmya, H. C.; Shadakshari, Y. G.; Pranesh, K. J.; Srivastava, A. and Nandini, B. 2010. Character association and path analysis in sunflower. *Electronic J. Plant Breed.*, 1(4):828-831.
- Srinivas, B.; Singh, K. D.; Prayaga, L. 2010. Correlation and path analysis studies for yield and its components in sunflower. *Crop Res.*, 39(1-3):107-110.
- Tahir, M. H.; Sadaqat, H. A. and Bashir, S. 2002. Correlation and Path coefficient analysis of morphological traits in sunflower populations. *International J. Agric. and biol.*, 4(3):341-343.
- Tyagi, S. D. and Tyagi, J. P. 2010. Correlation and Path coefficient analysis of the components of yield in sunflower cultivar. *Indian J. Plant* genet. Resour., 23(3):321-323.
- Varshney, S. K. and Singh, B. 1978. Genetic variability in some quantitative characters and scope for improvement in sunflower. *Pantnagar J. Res.*, **3**(1):12-14.
- Weber, C. R. and Moorthy, B.R., 1952. Heritable and non-heritable relationship and variability of oil content and agronomic characters in the F_2 generation of soybean crosses. *Agron. J.*44: 202-209.
- Wright, S. 1921. Correlation and causation. J. Agric. Res., 20:557.
- Yasin, A. B. and Singh, S. 2010. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in sunflower. J. Plant breed. and Crop Sci., 2(5):129-133.



Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 6(2): 540-545 (June 2015) ISSN 0975-928X

haracters	R	DFI	DF	DM	PH	SD	HD	NLP	LAF	NSC	NFSC	NUSC	TW	OC	VAC
-	r _g	-0.179	-0.164	0.071	0.392*	0.242	0.804**	0.331*	0.231	0.759**	0.771**	-0.643**	0.654**	0.075	0.032
	rp	-0.152	-0.150	0.066	0.381*	0.237	0.746**	0.321	0.215	0.730**	0.746**	-0.576**	0.475**	0.066	0.055
DFI	rg		0.996**	0.412*	-0.249	0.080	-0.055	-0.309	0.141	-0.109	-0.110	0.083	-0.310	0.125	-0.198
	rp		0.928**	0.318	-0.213	0.082	-0.014	-0.264	0.110	-0.117	-0.119	0.065	-0.138	0.113	-0.127
F	r _g			0.374*	-0.221	-0.052	-0.053	-0.310	0.032	-0.128	-0.118	0.070	-0.212	0.104	-0.268
	rp			0.351	-0.199	-0.018	-0.031	-0.262	0.031	-0.111	-0.107	0.069	-0.169	0.093	-0.190
1	rg				-0.344*	0.125	0.180	-0.338*	0.094	0.292	0.279	-0.150	0.070	-0.058	-0.083
	rp				-0.257	0.122	0.162	-0.277	0.094	0.267	0.253	-0.079	0.058	-0.040	-0.074
PH	rg					0.278	0.339*	0.891**	0.321	0.344*	0.344*	-0.358*	0.046	-0.073	0.350*
	rp					0.270	0.327*	0.870**	0.310	0.340*	0.340*	-0.302	0.026	-0.060	0.343*
)	rg						0.293	0.347*	0.811**	0.375*	0.357*	-0.204	0.090	-0.067	0.756**
	rp						0.267	0.327*	0.776**	0.360*	0.342*	-0.175	0.077	-0.068	0.562**
)	rg							0.256	0.240	0.824**	0.811**	-0.734**	0.600**	0.014	0.194
	rp							0.233	0.213	0.768**	0.755**	-0.586**	0.337*	0.029	0.121
NLP	$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{g}}$								0.379*	0.287	0.286	-0.316	-0.188	-0.102	0.400*
	rp								0.361*	0.273	0.275	-0.286	-0.112	-0.096	0.270
F	$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{g}}$									0.325*	0.303	-0.160	0.046	0.064	0.551**
	rp									0.309	0.288	-0.133	-0.017	0.073	0.491**
С	$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{g}}$										0.971**	-0.765**	0.549**	-0.035	0.194
	rp										0.970**	-0.632**	0.319	-0.029	0.124
SC	rg											-0.807**	0.489**	-0.026	0.144
	rp											-0.694**	0.295	-0.022	0.104
JSC	$\mathbf{r_g}$												-0.189	-0.019	-0.003
	rp												-0.206	0.016	-0.110
7	$\mathbf{r_g}$													-0.077	-0.022
	rp													-0.066	0.027
	r_{g}														0.054
	r _p														0.038
OFI= days to flower initiation		PH=plant height (cm)			NLP=Number. of leaves per plant					d seeds per ca	SY=seed yield per plant (g)				
DF= days to 50 % flowering		SD=stem diameter (cm)			LAF=leaf area at flowering (cm ²)				Number of u	OC=oil content (%)					
DM=days to maturity		HD= he	ead diamete	r (cm)	NSC= Numl	ber of seeds p	er capitula	TW=100 s	eed weight (g	VAC=vacant inner diameter (cr					



Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 6(2): 540-545 (June 2015) ISSN 0975-928X

Table 2. Direct and Indirect effects of causal variable on yield in genotypes of Sunflower															
Characters	DFI	DF	DM	PH	SD	HD	NLP	LAF	NSC	NFSC	NUSC	TW	OC	VAC	Correlation coefficient
DFI	-0.713	-0.710	-0.294	0.178	-0.057	0.039	0.220	-0.100	0.040	0.078	-0.059	0.221	-0.089	0.141	-0.179
DF	0.656	0.659	0.246	-0.146	-0.034	-0.035	-0.204	0.021	-0.084	-0.078	0.046	-0.140	0.069	-0.177	-0.164
DM	0.011	0.010	0.027	-0.008	0.003	0.005	-0.009	0.003	0.008	0.008	-0.004	0.002	-0.002	-0.002	0.071
PH	0.062	0.055	0.075	-0.247	-0.069	-0.084	-0.220	-0.079	-0.085	-0.085	0.089	-0.011	0.018	-0.087	0.392*
SD	0.035	-0.023	0.055	0.122	0.439	0.129	0.152	0.356	0.165	0.157	-0.090	0.039	-0.029	0.332	0.242
HD	-0.021	-0.020	0.069	0.131	0.113	0.385	0.099	0.093	0.318	0.313	-0.283	0.231	0.005	0.075	0.804**
NLP	-0.175	-0.175	-0.180	0.504	0.196	0.145	0.566	0.214	0.162	0.162	-0.179	-0.106	-0.058	0.226	0.331*
LAF	-0.015	-0.003	-0.010	-0.033	-0.084	-0.025	-0.039	-0.104	-0.034	-0.032	0.017	-0.005	-0.007	-0.057	0.231
NSC	0.025	0.029	-0.067	-0.078	-0.085	-0.188	-0.065	-0.074	-0.228	-0.221	0.174	-0.125	0.008	-0.044	0.759**
NFSC	-0.040	-0.043	0.101	0.124	0.129	0.293	0.103	0.109	0.350	0.361	-0.291	0.176	-0.010	0.052	0.771**
NUSC	0.001	0.010	-0.002	-0.004	-0.003	-0.009	-0.004	-0.002	-0.009	-0.010	0.012	-0.002	-0.002	0.002	-0.643**
TW	-0.118	-0.081	0.027	0.018	0.034	0.229	-0.072	0.018	0.210	0.187	-0.072	0.382	-0.029	-0.009	0.654**
OC	0.028	0.023	-0.013	-0.016	-0.015	0.003	-0.023	0.014	-0.008	-0.006	-0.004	-0.017	0.222	0.012	0.075
VAC	0.085	0.116	0.036	-0.151	-0.326	-0.084	-0.172	-0.238	-0.084	-0.062	0.001	0.010	-0.023	-0.431	0.032

Residual effect=0.382

DFI= days to flower initiation DF= days to 50 % flowering DM=days to maturity PH=plant height (cm) SD=stem diameter (cm) HD= head diameter (cm) NLP=Number of leaves per plant LAF=leaf area at flowering (cm²) NSC= Number of seeds per capitula NFSC= Number of filled seeds per capitula NUFSC= Number of unfilled seeds per capitula TW=100 seed weight (g) SY=seed yield per plant (g) OC=oil content (%) VAC=vacant inner diameter (cm)