



## Research Note

# Studies on genetic variability, interrelationships association and path analysis in indigenous germplasm of Lentil in Madhya Pradesh, India

Suneeta Pandey, Amit Bhatore and Anita Babbar

Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, JNKVV, Jabalpur

E-mail: suneetagen@gmail.com

(Received:14 Nov 2014; Accepted:26 Dec 2014)

### Abstract

One hundred thirty nine lentil germplasm lines (collected from different parts of Madhya Pradesh) including 3 checks were studied for seed yield and its components for estimating genetic variability and correlation co-efficient. Analysis of variance for seed yield and its component showed significant differences among the genotypes for all ten traits. High heritability estimates were observed for all the traits except number of primary branches per plant. In general phenotypic coefficients of variation were greater than their corresponding genotypic coefficients of variation. High estimates of heritability coupled with high genetic advance and moderate to high GCV were observed for total number of pods per plant, number of effective pods per plant, number of seeds per plant, number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight and seed yield per plant indicating that selection in such traits might be effective. Seed yield per plant exhibited positive and highly significant correlations with days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, total number of pods per plant, number of effective pods per plant, number of seeds per plant and number of seeds per pod. Path coefficient analysis showed that number of effective pods per plant, number of seeds per plant, and 100 seed weight showed maximum and positive direct effect on seed yield per plant. Hence these traits could be used for the improvement of seed yield resulting in the evolution of high yielding varieties of lentil.

### Keywords

Lentil, Germplasm, Heritability, Variability

The lentil or daal or Masoor dal (*Lens culinaris* Medik) is a bushy annual plant of the legume family, grown for its lens-shaped seeds. With 26% protein, lentils have the third highest level of protein from any plant-based food after soybeans and hemp and is an important part of the diet in many parts of the world, especially in Indian subcontinent which have large vegetarian populations. In India, lentil is being grown in 1.56 M ha area with production 1.06 M t and productivity 678 kg/ha. Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Jharkhand, Bihar and West Bengal are the major lentil growing states in India, sharing 85% and 90% of the total area and production, respectively. Madhya Pradesh covers 6.2 Lakh ha area with production 2.3 Lakh tones and productivity 371 kg/ha (FAOSTAT 2012-13).

The Main concern with lentil is low yield potential because of narrow genetic base of the local cultivars. Therefore, the key to increase lentil yield in South Asia including Bangladesh is through widening the available genetic base (Erskine and Saxena, 1993). Indian lentils are exclusively of pilose type and show limited variations. This narrow genetic variability among indigenous germplasm has restricted breeding progress. Lentil is a short, slender, self-pollinated annual diploid ( $2n=14$ ) which exhibits a wide range of morphological variations. Considerable variations among the characters for use in breeding and selection programmes have been reported for various morphological characters (Sindhu and

Mishra, 1982; Ramgiriy *et al.*, 1989; Sarker and Erskine, 2001). The knowledge of genetic variation and relationships between populations is important to understand the available genetic variability and its potential use in breeding programs. Genetic variation between and within populations of crop species is a major interest of plant breeders and geneticists (Hayward & Breese, 1993). Quantitative traits provide an estimate of genetic diversity. Various numerical taxonomic techniques have been successfully used to classify and measure the pattern of phenotypic diversity in the relationship of germplasm collections in a variety of crops by many scientists in lentil (Fratini *et al.*, 2007 and Tullu *et al.*, 2008), pea (Amurrio *et al.*, 1995) and alfalfa (Smith *et al.*, 1995).

The traditional approach of characterization and evaluation involves cultivation of accession sub samples and their morphological and agronomical description; a procedure facilitated by the use of internationally recognized descriptor lists (Erskine and Williams, 1980). Morphological characterization is the first step in the classification and description of any crop germplasm (Smith and Smith, 1989). One of the approaches for gene pool assembly is to collect material from diverse geographical origins with a concentration of accessions from proposed centres of diversity in individual samples (Laghetty *et al.*, 1998). Germplasm collection of crop plants is an excellent source of economically useful plant characters. However, in many crops the number of available

accessions greatly surpasses the time a breeder can devote in a screening operation. The breeders must have a means of choosing the accessions that most likely possess the traits of interest. Targeted and more efficient utilization of germplasm by plant breeders can be achieved if the trait characteristics of accessions are known. Therefore, present study was undertaken to access and evaluate the genetic variability in lentil germplasm collected from different district and tribal areas of Madhya Pradesh on the basis of quantitative traits and to identify superior genotypes for future use.

One hundred thirty nine germplasm lines collected from different districts and tribal areas of Madhya Pradesh were used as material and were planted in a randomized block design with three replications at the Seed Breeding Farm, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, JNKVV, Jabalpur during *Rabi* 2013-14. Observations were recorded on five plants from each replication for ten economic traits *i.e.* days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, total number of pods per plant, number of effective pods per plant, number of seeds per plant, number of seeds per pod, 100-seed weight and seed yield per plant. Standard statistical procedure were used for the analysis of variance, genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation (Burton, 1952), heritability (Hanson *et al.*, 1956) and genetic advance (Johnson *et al.*, 1955). The genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients were computed using genotypic and phenotypic variances and co-variances (Al. Jibouri *et al.*, 1958). The path coefficient analysis was done according to the method by Dewey and Lu (1959).

Evaluation of 139 germplasm accessions showed significant differences for the traits studied. The estimates of mean, range, genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation (GCV and PCV), heritability and genetic advance for various traits studied are presented in Table 1. The range was maximum (8.80-231.80) for number of seeds per plant, followed by total number of pods per plant (15.30-163.0), number of effective pods per plant (5.97-139.0) and days to maturity (85.67-123.7), whereas minimum range (0.73-3.23) for number of seeds per pod, followed by seed yield per plant (0.2-4.5). The traits showing wide range of variation furnish sample scope for efficient selection.

The phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation for seed yield per plant (65.50, 63.55), number of seeds per plant (62.27, 62.17), number of effective pods per plant (51.28, 50.99) and total number of pods per plant (41.59, 41.37) was high which reflected greater genetic variability among the accessions and responsiveness of the attributes

for making further selection. This indicated the presence of maximum amount of genetic variability. However, moderate PCV and GCV was observed for number of seeds per pod (23.29, 22.59), number of secondary branches (20.73, 19.63) and 100 seed weight (20.54, 19.91), which accentuate the wide scope of selection for the improvement of these traits from a considerable amount of variability present.

The magnitude of GCV and PCV was low for the remaining traits. The genetic constants for the characters revealed that magnitude of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was higher than the corresponding genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all the traits, its denoting environmental factors affecting their expression to some degree or other. Close correspondence between PCV and GCV for all the observed traits implied that it is relatively stable to environmental alteration. However the magnitude of difference between PVC and GVC was less for all the traits while the existent variation for the traits were mainly due to the genetic factor. There is adequate scope for selection based on these traits (Bicer and Sakar, 2004; Haddad, *et al.*, 2004, Solanki, 2006). Younis *et al.* (2008) found greater phenotypic coefficient of variation for pods per plant, seed yield and biomass. Higher values of phenotypic coefficients of variation for seed yield and biological yield have also been reported by Sadiq *et al.* (2000) and only for seed yield by Idrees *et al.* (2006).

The estimates of heritability in broad sense were high for all the observed traits except number of primary branches per plant (68 %), which suggested that the characters are least influenced by the environmental factors and also indicate the dependency of phenotypic expression which reflects the genotypic ability of cultivars to transmit the genes to their off-springs. Similar results were also reported by Rao and Yadav (1988), Chauhan and Singh (1998), Bicer and Sarkar (2008), Younis *et al.* (2008) and Rasheed *et al.* (2008). Jain *et al.* (1995) found high heritability for number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant, pod weight per plant, number of seeds per plant, seed index and harvest index except plant height and biological yield, Bicer and Sakar (2004) for seed weight and number of days to 50 % flowering and Singh and Srivastava (2013) for seed yield per plant, number of primary branches per plant and number of secondary branches per plant.

The genetic advance as percentage of mean was maximum for number of seeds per plant (127.87), seed yield per plant (127.01), number of effective pods per plant (104.42) and total number of pods per plant (84.75), whereas moderate genetic advance were observed for number of seeds per

pod (45.12) and 100 seed weight (39.77), which explained that could be uplifted to a large extent. Characters showing high heritability with high genetic advance can be considered as favorable attributes for the improvement through selection and thus, could be improved upon by adapting selection without progeny testing.

High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was observed in total number of pods per plant, number of effective pods per plant and number of seeds per plant. Tyagi and Khan (2011) informed that pods per plant, days to 50% flowering and 100 seed weight showed high variability coupled with high genetic advance (per cent mean). According to Chakraborty and Hague (2000) high estimates of heritability in conjunction with high genetic advance was observed for grain yield, 100 grain weight and number of pods per plant. Studies on heritability and genetic advance indicate that simple selection among germplasm accessions can bring about significant improvement in these traits as the heritability and genetic advance were high. The expected genetic advance could have been biased towards higher side as it is based on the estimates of heritability in broad sense.

Estimation of simple correlation coefficient was made among ten important yield components with yield of the 139 lentil accessions. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients between different pairs of traits are presented in Table 2. In most of the events, genotypic correlation coefficient was higher than phenotypic correlation coefficient. This suggests that there was inherent affiliation among the traits but the environment minimized the phenotypic affiliation (Rathi, *et al.*, 2002).

The correlation coefficient revealed that seed yield per plant had positive association with days to maturity, plant height, number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, total number of pods per plant, number of effective pods per plant, number of seeds per plant and number of seeds per pod. Significant positive relationship between yield and vegetative growth represented by plant height could be assigned to photosynthetic factors. Similar finding were noticed by Solanki (2006); Singh *et al.* (2004), Chauhan and Singh, (2001) and Kumar *et al.*(2002).

The genotypic path coefficient for yield and yield contributing traits are presented in Table 3. Number of effective pods per plant showed high positive direct effect (0.468) on seed yield per plant, followed by number of seeds per plant (0.417) and 100 seed weight (0.168). The trait number of effective pods per plant showed

substantial indirect positive contribution on seed yield per plant *via* total number of pods per plant (0.454) and number of seeds per plant (0.436). The indirect contribution of number of seeds per plant on seed yield was in high order through total number of pods per plant (0.378), number of effective pods per plant (0.386) and number of seeds per pod (0.227). Similar results were observed by Vir *et al.* (2001), Kumar *et al.*(2004), Singh *et al.* (2009) and Tyagi and Khan (2011). Tadesse *et al.* (2014) found negative direct effect for 100 seed weight.

The above finding illustrated that number of effective pods per plant, number of seeds per plant was the important components in selection for higher seed yield of lentil. This substantiated that the productivity of lentil is directly related with assimilation rate as reported earlier by Dixit and Dubey, (1984); Chakraborty and Haque ,(2000); Bicer and Sakar, (2004) and Kakde *et al.* (2005).

The unexplained variations in phenotype and genotype were 0.5363 and 0.5002, respectively. It further described the existence of some more factors, not considered here, and needed to be included in this study to account fully for the variation in seed yield of lentil. The result of the present experiment revealed that a wide variability existed among the collected lentil accessions. Also there was correlation of different yield elements with the yield of lentil.

It was also concluded from the results that very few germplasm accessions belonged to superior or highly desirable category like early maturity in < 90 days, number of effective pods per plant > 70, very large seed size > 3g/100 seed weight and >3g seed yield per plant and such germplasm lines may prove useful donors (Table 4 Figure 1, 2,3,4) for yield improvement.

#### References

- Al. Jibouri, H.A., Miller, R.A. and Robinson, H.F. 1958. Genetic environmental variances and covariances in an upland cotton cross interspecific origin. *Agron. J.*, 50: 633-636.
- Amurrio, J.M., De Ron, A.M. and Zeven, A.C. 1995. Numerical taxonomy of Iberian pea landraces based on quantitative and qualitative characters. *Euphytica*, 82: 195-205.
- Bicer, B.T. and Sakar, D. 2004. Genetic Variability and Heritability for Grain Yield and Other Characters in Lentil. *J. Biolog. Sci.*, 4 (2): 216-218.
- Bicer, B.T. and Sakar, D. 2008. Heritability and path analysis of some economical characteristics in lentil. *J. Central Eur. Agric.*, 9(1): 191-196.
- Burton, G.W. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. *Proc. Sixth. Int. Grass land Congr.* 1: 277-288.



- Chakraborty, M. and Haque, M.F. 2000. Genetic variability and component analysis in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.). *J. Res. Bira Agri. University*, 12(2): 199-204.
- Chauhan, M.P. and Singh, I.S. 1998. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for seed yield and other quantitative characters over two years in lentil. *Lens Newslr.*, 25(1-2): 3-6.
- Chauhan, M.P. and Singh, I.S. 2001. Relationship between seed yield and its component characters in lentil (*Lens culinaris* medik.). *Legume Res.*, 24 (4):278-280.
- Dewey, D.R. and Lu, K.H. 1959. Correlation and path-coefficient analysis of created wheat grass seed production. *Agron. J.*, 51: 515-518.
- Dixit, P. and Dubey, D.K. 1984. Path analysis in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Med.). *Lens Newslr.*, 11(2):15-17.
- Erskine, W. and Saxena, M.C. 1993. Problems and prospects of stress resistance breeding in lentil. in: Singh, K. B. and Saxena, M. C. (eds). Breeding for stress tolerance in cool-season food legume. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, U. K. pp. 51-62.
- Erskine, N. and Williams, J.T. 1980. The principles, problems and responsibilities of the preliminary evaluation of genetic resources samples of seed-propagated crops. *Plant Genet. Res. Newslr.*, 41: 19-32.
- Fratini, R., Durán, Y., García, P. and Pérez de la Vega, M. 2007. Identification of quantitative trait loci (QTL) for plant structure, growth habit and yield in lentil. *Spanish J. Agric. Res.*, 5(3): 348-356.
- Haddad, N.I., Boggo, T.P. and Muchibauer, F.J. 2004. Genetic variation of six agronomic characters in three lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) cross. *Euphytica*, 31: 113-120.
- Hanson, C.H., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E. 1956. The biometrical studies on yield in segregating population of korian lespedeza. *Agron. J.*, 48: 268-272.
- Hayward, M.D. and Breese, E.L. 1993. Population structure and variability. In: Hayward MD, Bosemark NO, Romayosa I (Eds), Plant Breeding: Principles and Prospects, Chapman and Hall, London. pp: 7-29.
- Idress, A., Sadiq, M.S., Hanif, M., Abbas, G. and Haider, S. 2006. Genetic parameters and path coefficient analysis in mutated generation of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). *J. Agric. Res.*, 44(3): 181-189.
- Jain, S.K., Madaria, S.K., Rao, S.K. and Nigam, P.K. 1995. Analysis of yield factors in lentil. *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, 29(4):173-180.
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E., 1955. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. *Agron. J.* 47: 314-318.
- Kakde, S.S., Sharma, R.N., Khilkre, A.S. and Lambade, B.M. 2005. Correlation and path analysis studies (*Lens culinaris* L.). *J. Soils and Crops*, 15(1):67-71.
- Kumar, R., Sharma, S.K., Malik, B.P. and Sharma, S. 2004. Path coefficient analysis of seed yield components in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.). *Legume Res.*, 27(4): 305-307.
- Kumar, R., Sharma, S. K., Malik, B.P.S., Dahiya, A. and Sharma, A. 2002. Correlation studies in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.). *Ann. Bio. Hisar.*, 18 (2): 121-123.
- Laghetti, G., Pienaar, B.L., Pasdulosi, S. and Perrino, P. 1998. Ecogeographical distribution of *Vigna savi* in southern Africa and some areas of the Mediterranean basin. *Plant Genet. Res. Newslr.*, 115: 6-12.
- Panse, V.G. 1957. Genetics of quantitative characters in relation to plant breeding. *Indian J. Genet.*, 17: 318-328.
- Ramgirya, S.R., Paliwal, K.K. and Tomar, S.K. 1989. Variability and correlation of grain yield and other qualitative characters in lentil. *Lens Newslr.*, 16: 19-21.
- Rao, S.K. and Yadav, S.P. 1988. Genetic analysis of biological yield, harvest index and seed yield in lentil. *Lens Newslr.*, 15(1): 3-5.
- Rasheed, S., Hanif, M., Sadiq, S., Abbas, G., Asghar, M.J. and Haq, M.A. 2008. Inheritance of seed yield and related traits in some lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik) genotypes. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, 45(3): 49-52.
- Rathi, A.S., Sindhu, J.S. and Singh, V. S. 2002. Variability, heritability and genetic advance in lentil. *Legume Res.*, 25(2): 113-116.
- Sadiq, M.S., Sarwar, G. and Abbas, G. 2000. Selection criteria for seed yield in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* Wilczek). *J. Agric. Res.*, 38(1): 7-12.
- Sarker, A. and Erskine, W. 2001. Utilization of genetic Resources in lentil improvement. p. 42 In: Proceedings of the Genetic Resources of Field Crops: Genetic Resources Symposium, EUCARPIA, Poznam, Poland.
- Sindhu, J.S. and Mishra, H.O. 1982. Genetic variability in Indian microsperma type lentil. *Lens Newslr.*, 9: 10-11.
- Singh, G., Singh, I.S. and Kumar, R. 2004. Genetic variability for seed yield and its component characters in a macrosperma × microsperma cross of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.). *Crop Improv.*, 31(2): 206- 209.
- Singh, R.K. and Chaudhary, B.D. 1977. Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis. Kalyani Publisers, Ludhiana, New Delhi.
- Singh, S., Singh, I., Gill, R.K., Kumar, S. and Sarker, A. 2009. Genetic studies for yield and component characters in large seeded exotic lines of Lentil. *J. Food Legumes*. 22(4): 229-232.
- Singh, U. and Srivastava, R.K. 2013. Genetic variability, heritability, interrelationships association and path analysis in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.). *Trends in Biosciences*, 6(3):277-280.
- Smith, J.S.C. and Smith, O.S. 1989. The description and assessment of distances between inbred lines of maize: The utility of morphological, biochemical and genetic descriptors and a scheme for the testing of distinctiveness between inbred lines. *Maydica*, 34: 151-161.
- Smith, S.E., Guarino, L., Doss, A.A. and Conta, D.M. 1995. Morphological and agronomic affinities among Middle Eastern alfalfas accessions from Oman and Yemen. *Crop Sci.*, 35: 1118-1194.
- Solanki, Y. S. 2006. Comparison of correlations and path coefficients under environments in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.). *Crop Improv.*, 33(1): 70-73.



- Tadesse, T., Leggesse, T., Mulugeta, B. and Sefera, G. 2014. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield and yield component in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.). *Inter.J. Biodiversity and conservation*, 6(1):115-120.
- Tullu, A., Tar'an, B., Warkentin, T. and Vandenberg, A. 2008. Construction of an intraspecific linkage map and QTL analysis for earliness and plant height in lentil. *Crop Sci.*, 48(6): 2254-2264.
- Tyagi, S. D. and Khan, M. H. 2011. Correlation, path-coefficient and genetic diversity in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik) under rainfed conditions. *Internat. Research J. Plant Sci.*, (ISSN : 2141-5447). 2(7): 191-200.
- Vir, O., Gupta, V.P. and Vir, O. 2001. Association among yield and yield contributing characters in *macrosperma x microsperma* derivatives of lentil. *Crop Improv.*, 28 (1): 75-80.
- Younis, N., Hanif, M., Sadiq, S., Abbas, G., Asghar, M.J. and Haq, M.A. 2008. Estimates of genetic parameters and path analysis in lentil. *Pak. J. Agric. Sci.*, 45(3):44-47





**Table 1. Estimation of genetic parameters of different characters**

| Characters | Mean $\pm$ SEM   | Range      | PCV (%) | GCV (%) | Heritability (%) | Genetic advance (As % Mean) |
|------------|------------------|------------|---------|---------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| DM         | 109.1 $\pm$ 0.57 | 85.7-123.7 | 8.38    | 8.33    | 98.9             | 17.06                       |
| PH (cm)    | 33.5 $\pm$ 0.63  | 20.3-46    | 14.48   | 14.10   | 94.9             | 28.31                       |
| PB         | 2.7 $\pm$ 0.12   | 2.2-3.5    | 13.56   | 11.18   | 68.0             | 18.99                       |
| SB         | 5.2 $\pm$ 0.20   | 3.1-9.5    | 20.73   | 19.63   | 89.6             | 38.28                       |
| TP         | 50.7 $\pm$ 1.27  | 15.3-163   | 41.59   | 41.37   | 98.9             | 84.75                       |
| EP         | 37.1 $\pm$ 1.18  | 5.97-139   | 51.28   | 50.99   | 98.9             | 104.42                      |
| S/P        | 59.2 $\pm$ 1.20  | 8.8-231.8  | 62.27   | 62.17   | 99.7             | 127.87                      |
| S/Pod      | 1.6 $\pm$ 0.005  | 0.7-3.2    | 23.29   | 22.59   | 94.0             | 45.12                       |
| 100 SW (g) | 2.3 $\pm$ 0.07   | 1.2-3.4    | 20.54   | 19.91   | 94.0             | 39.77                       |
| SY/P (g)   | 1.2 $\pm$ 0.11   | 0.2-4.5    | 65.50   | 63.55   | 94.1             | 127.01                      |

DM= days to maturity, PH= plant height, PB= number of primary branches per plant, SB= number of secondary branches per plant, TP= total number of pods per plant, EP= number of effective pods per plant, S/P= number of seeds per plant, S/Pod= number of seeds per pod, 100 SW= 100 seed weight, SY/P= seed yield per plant

**Table 2. Correlation coefficients for different characters in 139 genotypes of lentil**

| Characters                       |    | Days to maturity | Plant height (cm) | Number of primary branches | Number of secondary branches | Total number of pods / Plant | Number of effective pods / plant | Number of seeds/Plant | Number of seeds /pod | 100 Seed weight (g) | Seed yield /plant (g) |
|----------------------------------|----|------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Days to maturity                 | rp | 1.000            |                   |                            |                              |                              |                                  |                       |                      |                     |                       |
|                                  | rg | 1.000            |                   |                            |                              |                              |                                  |                       |                      |                     |                       |
| Plant height (cm)                | rp | 0.417**          | 1.000             |                            |                              |                              |                                  |                       |                      |                     |                       |
|                                  | rg | 0.433            | 1.000             |                            |                              |                              |                                  |                       |                      |                     |                       |
| Number of primary branches       | rp | -0.124*          | 0.253**           | 1.000                      |                              |                              |                                  |                       |                      |                     |                       |
|                                  | rg | -0.163           | 0.274             | 1.000                      |                              |                              |                                  |                       |                      |                     |                       |
| Number of secondary branches     | rp | 0.0004           | 0.066             | 0.497**                    | 1.000                        |                              |                                  |                       |                      |                     |                       |
|                                  | rg | -0.002           | 0.055             | 0.537                      | 1.000                        |                              |                                  |                       |                      |                     |                       |
| Total number of pods / Plant     | rp | 0.355**          | 0.308**           | 0.211**                    | 0.354**                      | 1.000                        |                                  |                       |                      |                     |                       |
|                                  | rg | 0.360            | 0.316             | 0.245                      | 0.375                        | 1.000                        |                                  |                       |                      |                     |                       |
| Number of effective pods / plant | rp | 0.322**          | 0.286**           | 0.173**                    | 0.336**                      | 0.967**                      | 1.000                            |                       |                      |                     |                       |
|                                  | rg | 0.326            | 0.293             | 0.199                      | 0.352                        | 0.970                        | 1.000                            |                       |                      |                     |                       |
| Number of seeds/Plant            | rp | 0.424**          | 0.325**           | 0.133**                    | 0.294**                      | 0.903**                      | 0.924**                          | 1.000                 |                      |                     |                       |
|                                  | rg | 0.427            | 0.334             | 0.156                      | 0.309                        | 0.906                        | 0.926                            | 1.000                 |                      |                     |                       |
| Number of seeds /pod             | rp | 0.464**          | 0.234**           | -0.077                     | -0.007                       | 0.234**                      | 0.208**                          | 0.528**               | 1.000                |                     |                       |
|                                  | rg | 0.481            | 0.251             | -0.084                     | -0.010                       | 0.250                        | 0.232                            | 0.546                 | 1.000                |                     |                       |
| 100 Seed weight (g)              | rp | -0.338**         | -0.103*           | -0.063                     | -0.194**                     | -0.178**                     | -0.130**                         | -0.198**              | -0.230**             | 1.000               |                       |
|                                  | rg | -0.356           | -0.106            | -0.074                     | -0.211                       | -0.184                       | -0.133                           | -0.204                | -0.249               | 1.000               |                       |
| Seed yield /plant (g)            | rp | 0.353**          | 0.291**           | 0.127**                    | 0.282**                      | 0.780**                      | 0.806**                          | 0.822**               | 0.358**              | -0.030              | 1.000                 |
|                                  | rg | 0.365            | 0.307             | 0.148                      | 0.303                        | 0.798                        | 0.825                            | 0.841                 | 0.383                | -0.029              | 1.000                 |

\* and \*\* significant at 5% and 1% probability rg = genotypic correlation coefficient, rp = phenotypic correlation coefficient



**Table 3. Estimation of genotypic path coefficient for yield and yield contributing traits**

| Characters |   | Maturity | PH (cm) | PB     | SB      | TP/ Plant | EP/ Plant | S/Plant | S/Pod  | 100 SW (g) | Correlation of yield |
|------------|---|----------|---------|--------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|------------|----------------------|
| DM         | P | 0.072    | 0.030   | -0.010 | 0.000   | 0.026     | 0.023     | 0.031   | 0.034  | -0.024     | 0.353                |
|            | G | 0.084    | 0.036   | -0.014 | -0.0001 | 0.030     | 0.027     | 0.036   | 0.040  | -0.030     | 0.365                |
| PH (cm)    | P | 0.007    | 0.018   | 0.005  | 0.001   | 0.005     | 0.005     | 0.006   | 0.004  | -0.002     | 0.291                |
|            | G | 0.009    | 0.020   | 0.006  | 0.001   | 0.006     | 0.006     | 0.007   | 0.005  | -0.002     | 0.307                |
| PB         | P | 0.001    | -0.001  | -0.005 | -0.003  | -0.001    | -0.001    | -0.001  | 0.0004 | 0.0003     | 0.127                |
|            | G | 0.001    | -0.002  | -0.007 | -0.004  | -0.002    | -0.001    | -0.001  | 0.001  | 0.001      | 0.148                |
| SB         | P | 0.000    | 0.004   | 0.032  | 0.065   | 0.023     | 0.022     | 0.019   | -0.001 | -0.013     | 0.282                |
|            | G | -0.0001  | 0.004   | 0.043  | 0.079   | 0.030     | 0.028     | 0.025   | -0.001 | -0.017     | 0.303                |
| TP/ Plant  | P | -0.016   | -0.014  | -0.010 | -0.016  | -0.045    | -0.043    | -0.041  | -0.011 | 0.008      | 0.780                |
|            | G | -0.030   | -0.027  | -0.021 | -0.031  | -0.084    | -0.081    | -0.076  | -0.021 | 0.016      | 0.798                |
| EP/ Plant  | P | 0.140    | 0.124   | 0.075  | 0.146   | 0.421     | 0.435     | 0.402   | 0.091  | -0.056     | 0.806                |
|            | G | 0.152    | 0.137   | 0.093  | 0.165   | 0.454     | 0.468     | 0.436   | 0.109  | -0.062     | 0.825                |
| S/Plant    | P | 0.171    | 0.131   | 0.054  | 0.119   | 0.364     | 0.372     | 0.403   | 0.212  | -0.080     | 0.822                |
|            | G | 0.178    | 0.139   | 0.065  | 0.129   | 0.378     | 0.386     | 0.417   | 0.227  | -0.085     | 0.841                |
| S/Pod      | P | 0.029    | 0.015   | -0.005 | -0.001  | 0.015     | 0.013     | 0.033   | 0.063  | -0.014     | 0.358                |
|            | G | 0.031    | 0.016   | -0.005 | -0.001  | 0.016     | 0.015     | 0.035   | 0.065  | -0.016     | 0.383                |
| 100 SW (g) | P | -0.051   | -0.016  | -0.010 | -0.029  | -0.027    | -0.020    | -0.030  | -0.035 | 0.151      | -0.030               |
|            | G | -0.060   | -0.018  | -0.012 | -0.035  | -0.031    | -0.022    | -0.034  | -0.042 | 0.168      | -0.029               |

DM= days to maturity, PH= plant height, PB= number of primary branches per plant, SB= number of secondary branches per plant, TP= total number of pods per plant, EP= number of effective pods per plant, S/P= number of seeds per plant, S/Pod= number of seeds per pod, 100 SW= 100 seed weight, SY/P= seed yield per plant

Residual effect: P= 0.5363                      G= 0.5002



**Table 4. Promising germplasm lines for different traits**

| Desirable categories                     | Genotypes  |
|--|--|
| Early (< 90 days)                        | JLC-134, JLC-135, JLC-136, JLC-137, JLC-138, JLC-139, JLC-140                      |
| Number of effective pods per plant (>70) | JLC-54, JLC-67, JLC-81, JLC-82, JLC-106, JLC-109, JLC-131, JLC-132                 |
| Very large seed size (>3g/100 seed wt)   | JLC-2, JLC-3, JLC-5, JLC-6, JLC-7, JLC-10, JLC-78, JLC-80, JLC-83, JLC-93, JLC-137 |
| Seed yield per plant (>3g)               | JLC-35, JLC-67, JLC-106, JLC-117, JLC-132  |