

Assessment of genetic diversity in Indian Italian millet genetic resources [*Setaria Italica* (L.) Beauv]

K. Amarnath, A.V.S. Durga Prasad and C.V. Chandra Mohan Reddy



ISSN: 0975-928X

Volume: 10

Number:1

EJPB (2019) 10(1):83-91

DOI: 10.5958/0975-928X.2019.00010.3

<https://ejplantbreeding.org>

Research Article

Assessment of genetic diversity in Indian Italian millet genetic resources [*Setaria Italica* (L.) Beauv]

K. Amarnath¹, A.V.S. Durga Prasad² and C.V. Chandra Mohan Reddy³

¹P.G. Scholar, Dept. of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Agricultural College, Mahanandi -518 502, A.P.

²Assistant Professor, Dept. of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Agricultural College, Mahanandi -518 502, A.P.

³Scientist (Small millets), RARS, Nandyal - 518 501, A.P.

E-Mail: kolimigundlaamarnath.agri@gmail.com

(Received: 15 Sep 2018; Revised: 10 Jan 2019; Accepted: 10 Jan 2019)

Abstract

Fifty Italian millet genetic resources were studied to assess the magnitude of genetic diversity for 12 quantitative traits using Mahalanobis D^2 Statistic. Based on Tocher's method, the entire genetic resources were partitioned into nine distinct non-overlapping clusters suggesting availability of substantial genetic diversity. Cluster I was the largest comprising of large number of accessions (36) followed by cluster II with (7) accessions and the remaining were mono genotypic clusters III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII and IX containing only single accession each indicating high degree of heterogeneity among the genotypes. Clusters II and IX showed maximum inter-cluster distance between them implying these genetic resources with high degree of genetic diversity may be utilized in inter-varietal hybridization programme. The trait, culm branches followed by 1000 grain weight contributed maximum towards total divergence indicating feasibility of improvement through those characters.

Key words

D^2 Statistic and Italian millet.

Introduction

Millet cultivation is overlooked due to the impact of green revolution and is further stepped down to "Orphan cereals". (Brunda *et al.*, 2015). However, in the present scenario, more emphasis is being laid on small millet crops owing to their reorientation to the nutritional importance as "Nutri diet". In recent times, rapid expansion of small millets area is chiefly attributed to short duration ability, resilience to erotic climatic situations and heavy demand from health conscious consumers. Among the small millets, Italian millet ranks second in terms of global production next to finger millet. The grains of this millet are an excellent source of quality proteins (leucine and methionine), beta carotene, minerals (Ca, Fe, K, Mg and Zn), antioxidants, dietary fibre, phytochemicals, vitamins (thiamine, riboflavin and niacin) and possess low glycemic index, a requisite for healthy human diet. Despite its economic importance, limited research progress was made to study the genetic resources of Italian millet. In order to identify diverse genetic resources, precise information on the nature and degree of genetic diversity is required which in turn helps the plant breeders in selecting the parents for targeted hybridization (Reddy *et al.* 2015). Thus, genetic divergence studies enable to assess the extent of genetic diversity prevalent in the genetic resources utilized for study.

Material and Methods

A set of 50 genetic resources of Italian millet were evaluated during *kharif*, 2017 in a randomized block design, replicated thrice at RARS, Nandyal. The details are given in the annexure I. Each genetic resource was represented by a single row plot of 3 m length with inter and intra-row spacing of 22.5 cm and 10 cm respectively. Data were recorded on five randomly selected competitive plants of each genetic resource / replication for 12 quantitative traits *viz.*, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, peduncle length, peduncle exertion, panicle length, flag leaf blade length, flag leaf blade width, culm branches, total number of productive tillers / plant, 1000 grain weight and grain yield / plant. The data was analyzed through software - WINDOWSTAT 9.2 version.

Results and Discussion

The analysis of variance (Table 1) revealed significant differences among the genetic resources for all the characters studied indicating considerable extent of variability in the germplasm accessions, which could be utilized in further breeding programmes. Based on relative magnitude of D^2 values, 50 genetic resources were grouped into nine distinct non-overlapping clusters (Table 2, Fig 1). The distribution pattern of the genetic resources into clusters indicated that

Cluster I was the largest comprising of large number of accessions (36) followed by cluster II with (7) accessions and the remaining were monogenotypic clusters III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII and IX containing only single accession each indicating high degree of heterogeneity among the genotypes.

The average intra-cluster and inter-cluster D^2 values were worked out using D^2 values from divergence analysis (Table 3, Fig. 2). The data analysis revealed that the inter cluster distance ranged from 9.96 to 201.50. The inter-cluster distances were higher than the intra-cluster distances implying the presence of wider genetic diversity between the clusters rather than within the clusters. Intra cluster distances ranged from 0 to 17.67. Least intra cluster distance (0) observed in clusters III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII and IX indicated the close resemblance between the genetic resources present in that cluster. Contrarily, highest intra cluster distance 17.67 in cluster II represented differences in the genetic resources within cluster. Therefore, genetic resources occupying the same cluster have low levels of diversity and selection of parents within the cluster may not be considered as promising. Inter-cluster distance values varied from 9.96 (cluster III and cluster VI) to 201.50 (cluster II and cluster IX). Hence the genetic resources between cluster II (SiA 3397, SiA 3396, SiA 3384, SiA 3340, SiA 3447, SiA 3347 and SiA 3369) and cluster IX (SiA 3222) possessing maximum inter cluster distance between them had high degree of genetic diversity and thus may be utilized under inter-varietal hybridization programme (transgressive breeding) for obtaining superior segregants. Similar results were reported earlier by Anantharaju and Meenakshiganesan (2008), Kadam (2008) and Mahanthesha *et al* (2017).

A perusal of cluster means for 12 characters per cluster were presented in Table 4. Wide spectrum of variation was observed in clusters for the characters studied implying that the clusters formed were distinct. The cluster I, cluster V and cluster VI reported maximum 1000 grain weight (2.67), maximum peduncle exertion (22.30) and highest flag leaf blade length (36.40), respectively. High culm branches (4.54) and number of productive tillers / plant (2.44) were noticed in cluster II. Cluster IV recorded desired highest means for most of the traits viz., plant height (137.70), peduncle length (35.35), panicle length (21.87) and flag leaf blade width (2.03). Highest grain yield / plant (4.36) and desired lower values for days to 50% flowering (30.67), days to maturity (61.33) *i.e.*, earliness were reported in cluster IX.

The result indicates that selection of genetic resources having desired values for particular trait could be made and utilized in the hybridization programme for improvement of that character. It is observed that no cluster contained at least one genetic resource with all the desirable traits, which ruled out the possibility of selecting directly one genotype for immediate use. Therefore,

hybridization between the selected genetic resources from divergent clusters is essential to judiciously combine all the targeted traits. Similar reports were in consonance with findings of Murugan & Nirmalakumari (2006)

Information on the relative contribution of various plant characters towards divergence (Table 5 and Fig 3) has also been reported to aid the breeder in choice of parents for hybridization and effective selections in the advance generations. Among all the characters studied, culm branches contributed maximum (45.39%) towards genetic divergence followed by 1000 grain weight (27.35%) indicating feasibility of genetic improvement through those characters. Similar reports were published by Sirisha (2008) for 1000 grain weight.

Acknowledgement

The authors are highly thankful to Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Guntur, A.P. for providing Italian millet genetic resources and assistance for the conduct of experiment.

References

- Anantharaju, P. and Meenakshiganesan, N. 2008. Genetic divergence studies in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. **42**(2): 120-123.
- Brunda, S.M., Kamatar, M.Y., Naveenkumar, K.L., Hundekar, R. 2015. Genetic diversity in the foxtail millet (*Setaria italica*) germplasm as determined by phenotypical traits. *The Ecoscan*. **8**: 483-488.
- Kadam, D. 2008. Genetic divergence in finger millet (Nachani /Ragi /Nagali /*Eleusine coracana*). *Journal of Soils and Crops*. **18**: 138-142.
- Mahanthesha, M., Sujatha, M., Pandravada, S.R. and Meena, A.K. 2017 b. Study of genetic divergence in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn) germplasm. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience*. **5**(3): 373-377.



- Murugan, R. and Nirmala kumari, A. 2006. Genetic divergence in italian millet. (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv). *Indian Journal of Genetics*. **66**(4): 339- 340.
- Reddy, C.V.C.M., Pullibai, P., Manjunath, J and Munirathnam, P. 2015. Genetic diversity and genotype by trait analysis for yield and yield attributing traits in italian millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv). *International journal of agricultural innovations and research*. **3**(6): 1726-1730.
- Sirisha, A.B.M., Panduranga Rao, C., Rama Kumar, P.V and Rao, S.V. 2009. Variability, character association and path coefficient analysis in Italian millet [*Setaria italica* (L.)Beauv]. *The Andhra Agricultural Journal*. **56**(4): 441-446.

Table 1. ANOVA for 12 quantitative traits in 50 Italian millet genetic resources

S. No.	Characters	Mean squares		
		Replications (df:2)	Genotypes (df:49)	Error (df:98)
1.	Days to 50% flowering	3.02	35.96**	2.37
2.	Days to maturity	4.53	37.07**	3.09
3.	Plant height	104.27	275.89**	69.31
4.	Peduncle length	11.61	33.63**	4.83
5.	Peduncle exertion	4.61	24.15**	6.15
6.	Panicle length	5.30	15.09**	4.97
7.	Flag leaf blade length	21.33	23.88**	10.30
8.	Flag leaf blade width	0.049	0.056**	0.019
9.	Culm branches	0.002	2.39**	0.06
10.	Number of productive tillers/plant	0.28	0.74**	0.12
11.	1000 grain weight	0.013	0.13**	0.00
12.	Grain yield/plant	0.60	1.60**	0.21

Table 2. Cluster composition of 50 Italian millet genetic resources based on Tocher's method

Cluster number	No. of genotypes	Genotypes
I	36	Suryanandi, SiA 3364, SiA 3375, SiA 3376 SiA 3382, Narasimharaya, SiA 3407, SiA 3403, SiA 3390, SiA 3399, SiA 3389, Srilakshmi SiA 3395, SiA 3404, SiA 3367 , SiA 3156, SiA 3383,Prasad, SiA 3378, SiA 3386, SiA 3355, SiA 3327, SiA 3346, SiA 3335, SiA 3354, Krishnadevaraya, SiA 3392, SiA 3377, SiA 3405, SiA 3328, SiA 3318, SiA 3333, SiA 3085, SiA 3363, SiA 3398, SiA 3400.
II	7	SiA 3397, SiA 3396, SiA 3384, SiA 3340, SiA 3447, SiA 3347, SiA 3369
III	1	SiA-3394
IV	1	SiA 3401
V	1	SiA 3322
VI	1	SiA 3393
VII	1	ISC 379
VIII	1	SiA 3393
IX	1	SiA 3222

Table 3. Average intra and inter cluster distances among nine clusters

Clusters	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX
I	15.36	35.23	24.93	27.27	24.60	37.08	27.97	46.26	130.08
II		17.67	68.97	82.44	34.50	85.46	16.42	63.31	201.50
III			0.00	10.96	23.71	9.96	12.80	35.62	85.24
IV				0.00	43.23	17.63	22.03	54.73	78.71
V					0.00	35.23	19.16	18.86	152.05
VI						0.00	15.73	33.96	89.10
VII							0.0	32.08	140.17
VIII								0.00	114.28
IX									0.00

Table 4 Cluster means with respect to yield and yield attributes among 50 Italian millet genetic resources

Character Cluster	DFF	DAM	PLH	PEL	PEE	PAL	BLL	BLW	CUB	NPT	TGW	GYP
1 Cluster	49.14	79.78	133.85	30.12	15.17	18.37	32.51	1.89	2.89	1.86	2.67	3.97
2 Cluster	51.19	81.48	129.97	28.51	13.50	17.29	31.57	1.74	4.54	2.44	2.56	3.48
3 Cluster	46.67	78.67	119.43	28.53	15.53	19.97	35.80	1.71	1.60	1.00	2.50	2.82
4 Cluster	45.00	78.00	137.70	35.33	18.87	21.87	37.80	2.03	1.53	0.60	2.63	4.23
5 Cluster	53.33	83.67	131.80	4.90	12.48	21.79	32.47	1.85	2.93	1.55	2.27	3.18
6 cluster	48.33	77.33	122.20	33.87	19.30	15.63	36.40	1.73	1.53	0.90	2.37	1.95
7 Cluster	53.00	84.67	125.90	30.60	22.30	18.83	33.97	1.85	1.53	1.17	2.47	3.23
8 Cluster	49.67	80.00	126.77	25.13	14.61	10.55	25.60	1.87	3.07	2.07	2.00	3.67
9 Cluster	30.67	61.33	101.73	27.80	16.20	12.61	26.47	1.87	2.13	1.29	2.23	4.36

DFF- Days to 50% flowering, DAM- Days to maturity, PLH- Plant height, PEL- Peduncle length, PEE- Peduncle exertion, PAL- Panicle length, FBL- Flag leaf blade length, FBW-Flag leaf Blade width, CUB- Culm branches, NPT- Number of productive tillers / plant, TGW- 1000 Grain weight, GYP- Grain yield / plant.

Table 5. Contribution of yield and its attributes towards total diversity in 50 Italian millet germplasm accessions.

S. No.	Character	Times ranked first	Contribution (%)
1.	Days to 50% flowering	101	8.24
2.	Days to maturity	28	2.29
3.	Plant height	12	0.98
4.	Peduncle length	85	6.94
5.	Peduncle exertion	7	0.57
6.	Panicle length	4	0.33
7.	Flag leaf blade length	2	0.16
8.	Flag leaf blade width	14	1.14
9.	Culm branches	556	45.39
10.	Number of productive tillers / plant	9	0.73
11.	1000 grain weight	335	27.35
12.	Grain yield / plant	72	5.88




Fig. 1. Clustering pattern of 50 Italian millet genetic resources by Tochers method




Fig. 2. Cluster diagram illustrating average intra and inter cluster distances.




Fig. 3. Relative contribution of 12 characters to total genetic diversity in 50 Italian millet genetic resources.

Annexure I. Details of the 50 Italian millet genetic resources utilized for study

S. No	Germplasm accession	Parentage
1	SiA 3085	Selection from SiA 2644 from farmers field
2	SiA 3156	Pureline selection from SiA 2871
3	SiA 3221	SiA 3075 x ISC 379
4	SiA 3322	Selection from ISC 1161
5	SiA 3327	Selection from ISC 1076
6	SiA 3328	Selection from ISC 748
7	SiA 3333	Selection from ISC 909
8	SiA 3335	Selection from ISC 745
9	SiA 3340	Selection from ISC 362
10	SiA 3346	Selection from ISC 160
11	SiA 3347	Selection from ISC 1162
12	SiA 3354	Selection from ISC 18
13	SiA 3355	Selection from ISC 869
14	SiA 3363	Selection from ISC 1134
15	SiA 3364	Selection from ISC 267
16	SiA 3367	Selection from ISC 1118
17	SiA 3369	Selection from ISC 1177
18	SiA 3375	Selection from ISC 838
19	SiA 3376	Selection from ISC 796
20	SiA 3377	Selection from ISC 254
21	SiA 3378	Selection from ISC 525
22	SiA 3381	Selection from ISC 398
23	SiA 3382	Selection from ISC 403
24	SiA 3383	Selection from ISC 200
25	SiA 3384	Selection from ISC 480
26	SiA 3386	Selection from ISC 907
27	SiA 3389	Selection from ISC 507
28	SiA 3390	Selection from ISC 828
29	SiA 3392	Selection from ISC 717
30	SiA 3393	Selection from ISC 375
31	SiA 3394	Selection from ISC 792
32	SiA 3395	Selection from ISC 931
33	SiA 3396	Selection from ISC 846
34	SiA 3397	Selection from ISC 917
35	SiA 3398	Selection from ISC 179
36	SiA 3399	Selection from ISC 237
37	SiA 3400	Selection from ISC 388
38	SiA 3401	Selection from ISC 735
39	SiA 3403	Selection from ISC 842
40	SiA 3404	Selection from ISC 195
41	SiA 3405	Selection from ISC 364
42	SiA 3407	Selection from ISC 96
43	SiA 3447	Selection from ISC 1704
44	SiA 3381	Selection from ISC 936
45	Srilakshmi	Pureline selection from local
46	Suryanandi	Pureline selection from SiA 1244
47	Prasad	Selection from Dronachalam village
48	Narasimharaya	SiA 805 x SiA242
49	Krishnadevaraya	SiA 326 x SiA242
50	ISC 347	ICRISAT gene bank

