

Research Article

Selection, variation and heritability of candidate plus trees (CPT's) of *Salix alba*

P.A. Paray*¹, S.A. Gangoo¹, T.H. Masoodi¹, K.N. Qaiser¹, A.I. Islam¹ and S. Maqbool²

¹Faculty of Forestry, SKUAST-K, Benhama, Ganderbal, J&K-191201

²Faculty of Agriculture, SKUAST-K, Wadura, Sopore, J&K-193201

E-mail: parayparveez86@gmail.com

(Received: 02 Jan 2017; Revised: 05 Sep 2017; Accepted: 20 Sep 2017)

Abstract

Clonal trial of 100 CPT's of *Salix alba* was laid out in common garden experiment at Faculty of Forestry nursery, SKUAST-K. Wide variation was recorded for all the CPT's of *Salix alba* with respect to various morphometric (growth, biomass and leaf) characters. Maximum values of growth, biomass and leaf characters were registered by CPT-26, CPT-53, CPT-56, CPT-75, CPT-37 and CPT-45. Genetic parameters estimated for the clonal material revealed fair differences between PCV and GCV. High heritability was registered by all the characters with maximum (0.982) for shoot height which was followed by high to moderate genetic gain indicating additive gene action. Correlation coefficient analysis revealed strong and positive association between most of the growth, biomass and leaf traits with maximum genotypic (0.874) and phenotypic (0.849) correlation between collar diameter and volume index.

Key words

CPT's, *Salix alba*, clonal trial, genetic parameters, correlation

Introduction

Salix alba (white willow) is a moderate to a large deciduous tree with ascending branches and spreading but light crown, attaining a height of 20-25 m and a diameter of 60 cm. Under its native habitat, trees are known to attain a height of 30 m and 1 m in diameter (Luna, 1995). There are about 450-520 species of *Salix* known from all around the world which are distributed mostly in the Northern Hemisphere (Argus, 1997). *Salix alba* is one of the tree willows among the 33 known *Salix* species of India. It was introduced to Kashmir from England in 1927 and raised first in compartment: 1a of Haran, Ganderbal (Anon, 1983). The growth statistics of *Salix alba* can be compared with a good clone of poplar. In order to diversify the plantation of tree species with integration of agriculture crops, willow is most eco-friendly and farmer's choice. The cricket bat and artificial limbs industry is solely depending on the wood of *Salix alba* and there is ready made market for willow based wood industry.

The *Salix* species are eco-friendly, multipurpose, fast growing and are widely used for plantation world over. These are being cultivated for a variety of end uses viz. baskets, cricket bats, hurdles, furniture, plywood, paper and pulp, rope making etc. White willow is primarily utilized for cricket bats and polo balls, fruit boxes, artificial limbs, match-wood, honey-comb frames, tool handles, fibre-boards, agricultural implements, boats and used as a fence post. It is as durable as oak (Luna, 1995). The essential purpose of tree improvement is to develop a suitable clone/variety that eventually brings about economic returns and related benefits to growers. An efficient and practical means of screening the genetic resources

is essentially required. Knowledge of variances and heritability within and between hybrid populations is important in various breeding decisions. High genetic variances due to hybrids are reflected in high broad sense heritability and provide an estimate of the proportion of the variation within a population that is due to genetic differences among individuals. Inter character association is equally important as it helps in indirect selection. This study was undertaken keeping in view the significance of *Salix alba* and lack of information with regard to its variability studies in Kashmir valley. The study will determine the extent of its variation, genetic parameters and inter-character association which is considered essential for a tree improvement programme.

Materials and Methods

The 100 CPT's were selected from twenty places across the two districts of Kashmir valley following comparison tree method. The characteristics of these CPT's have been presented under the table 1. The experimental site i.e. forest nursery at Regional Research station and Faculty of Agriculture, Wadura is located between 34° 07' N latitude and 74° 33' E longitude at an elevation of about 1,524 m (amsl). The experimental site lies to the North of Srinagar city is about 60 km away from it (Srinagar). The soil of the nursery is well drained and silty loam in texture. The ramets of these 100 CPT's were used to lay out the clonal trial in randomized complete block design with three replications during 2014. The clonal trial was maintained as per the standard procedure. These CPT's were evaluated for various morphometric characteristics viz. -Shoot height (cm), Collar diameter (mm), Volume index (cm³), Above

ground biomass (g), Below ground biomass (g), Total biomass (g), R/S ratio, Leaf length (cm), Leaf width (cm), L/W ratio, Petiole length (mm), Position of maximum width (cm), Branches/plant and Branch angle ($^{\circ}$) at the end of growing season. The experimental data was subjected to the statistical analysis following the RCBD and the variation among the treatment means was tested for significance by analysis of variance technique described by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The genetic parameters were worked as follows: Phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) were computed as per the method suggested by Burton (1952):

$$PCV = \frac{\sqrt{V_p}}{\text{mean}} \times 100 \text{ and } GCV = \frac{\sqrt{V_g}}{\text{mean}} \times 100$$

Where, V_p is phenotypic variance and V_g is genotypic variance

Heritability (H^2) in broad sense was estimated as per the procedure presented by Johnson *et al.* (1955):

$$H^2 = \frac{V_g}{V_p}$$

Where, V_g is genotypic variance and V_p is phenotypic variance

Genetic advance (GA) was worked out using the formula given by Lush (1949) and Johnson *et al.* (1955).

$$GA = \frac{V_g}{V_p} \times (V_p)^{0.5} \times K$$

Where, V_g is genotypic variance of the trait, V_p is phenotypic variance of the trait and K is selection differential, ($K=2.06$ at 5 percent selection intensity).

Genetic gain (GG) was estimated as per the method suggested by Johnson *et al.* (1955).

$$GG = \frac{GA}{\text{mean}} \times 100$$

Where, GA is genetic advance of the trait

Genotypic (r_g) and phenotypic (r_p) correlation coefficients were worked out for all the possible pairs of characters (Goulden, 1952).

$$r_g = \frac{\text{Cov.xy(g)}}{\sqrt{V_x(g) \times V_y(g)}} \text{ and } r_p = \frac{\text{Cov.xy(p)}}{\sqrt{V_x(p) \times V_y(p)}}$$

Where, Cov.xy(g) and Cov.xy(p) are the genotypic and phenotypic covariance for a pair of characters and $V_x(g)$, $V_y(g)$, $V_x(p)$ and $V_y(p)$ are the genotypic and phenotypic variances for the respective characters.

Results and Discussion

It is axiomatic for successful breeding programme that sufficient variability should be available in the material. The efficiency of selection in terms of sizeable genetic advance depends upon the amount of additive genetic variability and the magnitude of masking effect of environment and the interaction components of variability on the additive genetic variability (Allard, 1960). The greater the variability of the germplasm, better are the chances of selection of superior genotypes. The selected morphometric (growth, biomass and leaf and branch) traits of *Salix alba* have been recorded and presented under table 2. From the perusal of data presented under table 2, it is clearly revealed that there exists significant variation among the CPT's for these characteristics. Variation with respect to these characters among the CPT's of *Salix alba* were shoot height (75.3 - 113.3 cm), collar diameter (4.5 - 7.6 mm), volume index (15.47 - 65.69 cm^3), above ground biomass (6.20 - 10.63 g), below ground biomass (3.47 - 7.63 g), total biomass (10.23 - 17.86 g), root/shoot ratio (0.51-0.83), leaf length (6.8 - 11.5 cm), leaf width (1.1 - 1.9cm), length/width ratio (4.8 - 7.3), petiole length (1.3 - 3.6 mm), position of maximum width (2.4 - 4.8 cm), number of branches per plant (1.8-4.6) and branch angle (50.9° - 64.1°). The results are in conformity with findings of Huse *et al.* (2008) and Singh *et al.* (2012) on willow clones. Satoh *et al.* (2011) in a study on the above ground biomass yield of willow (*Salix* spp.) clones found the difference in productivity in different clones. This was in conformity with the present study. Variability was reported in leaf characteristics of willow clones by Singh *et al.* (2012) which lend support to the present findings.

Genetic parameters: In the present investigation based on analysis of variance, the genetic components like genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation, heritability, genetic gain and genetic advance were computed. The results obtained for different characters with regard to variability parameters indicated that values have a wide range depicting the presence of sizeable amount of variation. Phenotypic variance was greater than genotypic variance. Phenotypic coefficient of variation was higher than genotypic coefficient of variation for all the characters (Table 3). Highest PCV (40.7%) and GCV (40.4%) were recorded for volume index. Similar results were reported by Singh *et al.* (2012) in willow clones where maximum PCV, GCV and ECV were recorded for volume index. High heritability was recorded for all the characters under study ranging from 0.982 for shoot height to 0.914 for leaf length. Little difference between PCV and GCV and high heritability estimates for all the characters under study revealed the heritable nature of variability present. High heritability estimates were exhibited by all the characters under present study.

High heritability estimates have been reported for growth and biomass characters by Huse (2004) and Singh *et al.* (2012), Genetic advance ranged from 0.4 to 31.1 whereas genetic gain ranged from 12.5 to 82.8 which is an indication of scope for improvement through clonal selection. Singh *et al.* (2012) while evaluating juvenile growth traits in various *Salix* clones reported maximum genetic gain (75.24%) for volume index which is in conformity with the present studies where maximum genetic gain (82.8%) was also recorded for volume index.

High heritability indicates the effectiveness of selection based on good phenotypic performance but does not necessarily mean a high genetic gain for a particular trait. Heritability estimates in broad sense will be reliable if accompanied by genetic gain. However, Johnson *et al.* (1955) reported that heritability estimates along with expected gain is more useful and realistic than heritability alone in predicting the resultant effect for selecting the best genotype. In the present investigation high estimates of heritability along with high to moderate genetic gain were observed for all the characters under study. This indicates the higher proportion of additive gene effects for expression of these traits. Hence individual clone selection would be effective for improvement of these characters.

Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients: Inter character correlation coefficients were estimated at genotypic and phenotypic levels. The knowledge of genotypic inter-relationship between characters is of theoretical interest because a genetic correlation may be derived from genetic linkage, pleiotropy or from developmentally induced relationship between components that are only indirect consequence of gene action. In the present investigation, positive and significant correlation was observed among various morphometric traits at both genotypic and phenotypic level (Table 4). Shoot height was positively correlated with majority of traits. Significant correlation between height and diameter has been demonstrated in several tree species. Singh *et al.* (2012) reported significantly positive correlation between height, basal diameter and volume index in willow clones which is in line with the present findings. Maximum correlation (0.874, 0.849) was found between collar diameter and volume index. Studies conducted by Singh *et al.* (2012) also revealed highest correlation (0.959) between basal diameter and volume index while working on juvenile traits in the recently introduced clones of *Salix* species. Biomass traits were significantly correlated with each other and with growth characters. In the present study, leaf length was positively correlated with leaf width, length/width ratio, petiole length and position of maximum width. While evaluating *Populus*

deltoides clones for various morphological traits, Lone *et al.* (2013) revealed positive and significant correlation of leaf length with leaf breadth, petiole length and length/breadth ratio which is in conformity with the present findings.

Conclusion

From the present investigation, it is clearly evident that wide variation existed in morphometric characteristics of selected CPT's of *Salix alba*. CPT-26, CPT-53, CPT-56, CPT-75, CPT-37 and CPT-45 performed better with respect to the rest of the CPT's. Hence these are recommended for further tree improvement programmes however these should be tested for their stability by employing GxE interaction studies. Strong genetic association between growth, biomass and leaf characteristics revealed that selection can be effective in tree improvement programmes of the tree species in question.

References

- Anonymous, 1983. Revised working plan of Haran forests from 1983-84 to 1998-99. J&K Govt. Forest Department, Srinagar, pp.12.
- Argus, G.W. 1997. Infrageneric classification of *Salix* L. (*Salicaceae*) in the New World. *Systematic Botany Monographs* 52.
- Burton, G.W. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. *Proceedings of the 6th International Grassland Congress*, 1: 227-283.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. 1984. Statistical procedure for Agricultural Research. 2nd edition. John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York.
- Goulden, C.H. 1952. Some distance properties of latent root and vector methods used in multivariate analysis. *Biometrika*, 53: 325-338.
- Huse, S.A., Singh, N.B., Gupta, A and Anand, R.K. 2008. Genetic improvement of tree willows in India. **In:** Exotics in Indian forestry (SK Chauhan, SS Gill, R Chauhan and SC Sharma eds). Agrotech publishing academy, Udaipur, India pp. 591-614.
- Huse, S.K. 2004. Evaluation of arborescent willow clones for growth at nursery stage. M. Sc. Thesis. Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Solan H P (India) 81p.
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E. 1955. Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in Soya beans. *Agronomy J.*, 47: 314-318.
- Lone, A., Bashir, A., Tewari, S.K., Majeed, M. and Ahmad, H. 2013. Estimates of inter- character association of Eastern Cottonwood (*Populus deltoides* Bartr.) clones. *The Bioscan* 8(1): 153-157.
- Luna, R.K. 1995. Plantation trees. Publishing Corporation. IBD, Dehradun, pp 640-645
- Lush, J.L. 1949. Heritability of quantitative characters in farm animals. *Proceedings of the 8th international congress on genetics*. Genetics supliment volume. *Heredity*, 356-395.
- Sahoo, D.P., Rout, G.R., Das, S., Aparajita, S., and Mahapatra, A.K. 2011. Genotypic variability and correlation studies in pod and seed characteristics of *Pongamia pinnata* (L.)



-
- Pierre in Orissa, India. *International Journal of Forestry Res.*, **1**: 1-6.
- Singh, N.B., Sharma, J.P., Huse, S.K., Thakur, I.K., Gupta, R.K. and Sankhyan, H.P. 2012. Heritability, genetic gain, correlation and principal component analysis in introduced willow (*Salix* species) clones. *Indian Forester*, **138**(12): 1100-1109.

Table 1. Characteristics of the selected CPT's of *Salix alba*

CPT's	Site (District)	Height (m)	DBH (cm)	Volume (m ³)	Bole height (m)	Crown diameter (m)
CPT-1	Shalbugh (Ganderbal)	13.2	29.7	0.58	6.0	4.2
CPT-2		13.7	27.9	0.54	6.4	3.9
CPT-3		12.7	31.4	0.63	5.7	4.4
CPT-4		12.2	27.2	0.45	5.3	3.7
CPT-5		13.2	25.5	0.43	5.7	3.0
CPT-6		11.9	28.8	0.50	5.4	4.0
CPT-7		11.4	29.8	0.51	5.2	3.7
CPT-8	Dab (Ganderbal)	12.3	33.5	0.69	5.8	4.1
CPT-9		12.5	30.5	0.58	5.6	3.9
CPT-10		11.6	35.1	0.72	5.4	4.3
CPT-11	Haran (Ganderbal)	14.0	37.2	0.98	6.2	4.2
CPT-12		14.5	35.0	0.89	6.1	4.2
CPT-13		13.8	31.7	0.70	5.9	3.9
CPT-14		13.7	29.3	0.59	5.4	3.7
CPT-15		13.6	24.9	0.42	5.8	3.3
CPT-16		10.3	26.6	0.37	4.6	2.8
CPT-17		11.9	27.2	0.44	5.2	3.0
CPT-18	Chandun (Ganderbal)	9.9	22.2	0.24	4.5	2.5
CPT-19		11.2	25.8	0.38	4.7	2.9
CPT-20		11.6	31.4	0.58	5.0	3.1
CPT-21		12.3	28.6	0.51	5.9	3.6
CPT-22	Gundrehman (Ganderbal)	10.4	27.2	0.39	4.5	3.2
CPT-23		11.6	28.2	0.46	5.2	3.4
CPT-24		10.1	21.7	0.24	4.4	3.0
CPT-25		12.6	28.9	0.53	5.2	3.6
CPT-26		13.2	34.9	0.81	6.0	4.2
CPT-27	Sehpora (Ganderbal)	13.8	34.2	0.82	6.2	4.4
CPT-28		14.4	35.8	0.93	6.1	4.5
CPT-29		13.3	34.8	0.81	5.7	4.2
CPT-30		13.5	32.5	0.72	5.4	4.0
CPT-31	Dagpora (Ganderbal)	12.3	29.7	0.55	4.9	4.1
CPT-32		12.5	35.5	0.79	5.3	4.0
CPT-33		13.2	30.5	0.62	5.8	3.6
CPT-34		12.9	24.2	0.38	5.7	3.0
CPT-35		13.2	23.4	0.36	5.4	2.6
CPT-36	Wakura (Ganderbal)	14.7	25.9	0.50	5.7	4.4
CPT-37		14.4	23.5	0.40	6.2	3.2
CPT-38		13.8	25.9	0.47	5.8	3.7
CPT-39		14.1	31.3	0.70	5.3	4.2
CPT-40		14.5	24.8	0.45	6.1	3.9
CPT-41	Tulmulla (Ganderbal)	12.1	32.9	0.66	5.3	4.1
CPT-42		11.6	31.2	0.57	5.1	3.5
CPT-43		11.3	22.5	0.29	4.6	3.0
CPT-44		11.0	28.3	0.44	4.6	2.9
CPT-45		10.7	27.2	0.40	4.7	2.5
CPT-46	Butwana (Ganderbal)	10.9	25.5	0.36	4.5	2.6
CPT-47		11.5	21.1	0.26	5.3	3.1
CPT-48		11.1	24.4	0.33	5.1	2.9
CPT-49		11.7	29.2	0.50	4.8	3.4
CPT-50		11.8	25.2	0.37	5.2	3.9
CPT-51	Aloosa (Bandipora)	11.9	27.4	0.45	4.3	3.4
CPT-52		10.7	29.5	0.47	4.9	2.8
CPT-53		11.7	31.2	0.57	5.1	3.2
CPT-54		10.9	31.7	0.55	4.7	4.0
CPT-55		10.3	36.3	0.68	4.4	4.3

Table 1. Contd.,

CPT's	Site (District)	Height (m)	DBH (cm)	Volume (m³)	Bole height (m)	Crown diameter (m)
CPT-56	Ashtangoo (Bandipora)	12.6	23.4	0.35	5.8	2.7
CPT-57		13.1	20.5	0.28	5.4	3.2
CPT-58		12.9	24.8	0.40	6.2	3.0
CPT-59		13.3	28.9	0.56	5.1	3.5
CPT-60		13.6	27.2	0.51	5.2	3.4
CPT-61	QuilMuqaam (Bandipora)	11.1	25.0	0.35	4.5	3.0
CPT-62		11.9	25.8	0.40	5.4	2.8
CPT-63		10.2	25.5	0.33	4.7	2.5
CPT-64		10.6	23.6	0.30	4.6	3.0
CPT-65		11.5	24.2	0.34	5.2	3.3
CPT-66	Zaalwan (Bandipora)	14.2	29.5	0.62	6.2	3.6
CPT-67		14.5	33.6	0.83	6.3	3.7
CPT-68		13.8	35.1	0.86	5.8	3.9
CPT-69		13.6	33.6	0.77	5.4	4.1
CPT-70		13.7	32.1	0.71	5.7	4.1
CPT-71	Ajas (Bandipora)	13.1	24.8	0.41	5.5	3.9
CPT-72		12.2	30.6	0.58	5.6	3.7
CPT-73		12.9	28.3	0.52	5.3	3.0
CPT-74		12.9	28.6	0.53	5.9	2.6
CPT-75		12.4	23.4	0.34	5.4	2.7
CPT-76	Kaimbachoo (Bandipora)	14.8	20.5	0.31	6.8	3.3
CPT-77		13.8	27.8	0.54	6.3	3.2
CPT-78		13.7	25.1	0.43	6.3	2.9
CPT-79		14.0	31.1	0.68	5.8	3.5
CPT-80		14.2	21.9	0.34	6.2	2.9
CPT-81	Hajin (Bandipora)	11.1	31.2	0.54	5.1	2.8
CPT-82		10.3	31.9	0.53	4.7	3.2
CPT-83		11.6	31.7	0.58	5.3	3.4
CPT-84		11.3	28.6	0.46	5.2	3.7
CPT-85		11.9	25.7	0.39	4.9	3.8
CPT-86	Sonawari (Bandipora)	13.6	22.8	0.36	6.2	3.4
CPT-87		12.9	29.4	0.56	5.7	3.3
CPT-88		12.8	25.1	0.40	5.8	2.7
CPT-89		13.2	27.2	0.49	5.5	2.8
CPT-90		13.5	32.7	0.72	6.3	3.0
CPT-91	Saderkote (Bandipora)	10.4	31.2	0.51	4.8	2.5
CPT-92		10.8	25.5	0.35	4.6	2.8
CPT-93		10.9	27.5	0.41	4.5	3.2
CPT-94		11.4	33.6	0.65	5.2	3.5
CPT-95		11.2	35.0	0.69	5.1	3.7
CPT-96	Sumbal (Bandipora)	13.1	27.1	0.48	5.7	2.9
CPT-97		13.3	29.9	0.60	5.8	3.9
CPT-98		14.0	34.5	0.84	6.5	3.8
CPT-99		13.6	31.2	0.67	6.2	3.4
CPT-100		13.8	35.9	0.90	6.0	3.7
	Mean	12.5	28.7	0.53	5.4	3.4
	Minimum	9.9	20.5	0.24	4.3	2.5
Range	Maximum	14.8	37.2	0.98	6.8	4.5

Table 2. Top ten performers among the selected CPT's of *Salix alba*

CPT's	Shoot height (cm)	Collar diameter (mm)	Volume index (cm ³)	Above ground biomass (g)	Below ground biomass (g)	Total biomass (g)	R/S ratio	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf width (cm)	L/W ratio	Petiole length (mm)	Position of max. width (cm)	Branches/plant	Branch angle (°)
CPT-26	113.2	7.6	65.4	10.4	6.8	17.2	0.65	11.4	1.7	6.7	3.5	4.8	4.1	62.8
CPT-86	112.3	7.4	61.5	10.0	6.4	16.4	0.64	11.2	1.7	6.5	3.6	4.8	4.4	62.3
CPT-53	113.3	7.6	64.9	10.4	6.3	16.7	0.61	9.8	1.5	6.5	3.4	3.8	3.7	62.7
CPT-75	111.4	6.5	47.1	10.6	7.2	17.9	0.68	11.3	1.6	7.1	3.6	4.6	4.4	58.8
CPT-45	109.9	7.4	59.7	10.4	6.9	17.3	0.66	11.5	1.8	6.3	2.9	4.7	4.1	60.0
CPT-37	112.7	7.3	60.5	10.1	7.2	17.3	0.70	11.3	1.9	6.0	3.6	4.5	3.6	58.7
CPT-62	111.6	7.4	61.1	10.2	6.1	16.3	0.60	9.8	1.6	6.1	2.4	3.8	3.6	56.8
CPT-81	112.6	7.3	60.6	10.1	5.3	15.4	0.53	10.6	1.6	6.6	3.2	4.1	3.9	58.1
CPT-32	109.9	7.6	63.5	9.6	5.6	15.2	0.58	9.9	1.7	5.8	2.5	3.9	3.7	60.6
CPT-12	106.4	7.3	56.7	10.2	7.6	17.8	0.75	10.7	1.8	5.9	3.2	4.2	4.0	64.1

Table 3. Estimates of genetic parameters of selected characteristics of ramets of *Salix alba* CPT's

S.No	Parameters	Variance		Coefficient of Variation		Genetic components		
		Vp	Vg	PCV	GCV	H ²	GA	GG
1.	Shoot height (cm)	129.9	127.5	16.6	16.4	0.982	23.0	32.7
2.	Collar diameter (mm)	0.84	0.83	14.8	14.7	0.961	1.8	30.2
3.	Volume index (cm ³)	234.9	232.0	40.7	40.4	0.974	31.1	82.8
4.	Above ground biomass (g)	1.54	1.49	15.1	14.9	0.965	2.4	31.0
5.	Below ground biomass (g)	0.82	0.81	17.4	17.2	0.957	1.8	35.1
6.	Total biomass (g)	4.23	4.16	15.1	14.9	0.938	4.1	30.6
7.	Root/shoot ratio	0.004	0.004	10.1	9.8	0.949	0.1	19.7
8.	Leaf length (cm)	1.21	1.20	12.5	12.4	0.914	2.3	25.5
9.	Leaf width (cm)	0.04	0.04	13.9	13.8	0.926	0.4	28.7
10.	Length/width ratio	0.23	0.22	7.8	7.6	0.923	0.9	15.5
11.	Petiole length (mm)	0.48	0.46	14.3	14.1	0.933	1.5	28.6
12.	Position of maximum width (cm)	0.38	0.37	17.9	17.6	0.948	1.2	35.8
13.	Number of Branches/plant	0.55	0.53	24.3	23.8	0.915	1.4	48.2
14.	Branch angle (°)	13.7	13.0	6.4	6.2	0.928	7.2	12.5

Table 4. Genotypic (G) and phenotypic (P) correlation matrix between selected characteristics of ramets of *Salix alba* CPT'S

Traits	Type	CD	VOL	AGB	BGB	TB	R/S	LL	LW	L/W	PL	PMW	B/P	BA
SH	P	0.647**	0.759**	0.545**	0.362**	0.537**	-0.009	0.224*	0.215*	-0.022	0.104	0.057	0.425**	0.045
	G	0.691**	0.794**	0.586**	0.384**	0.561**	-0.008	0.234*	0.223*	-0.022	0.104	0.075	0.453**	0.068
CD	P		0.849**	0.331**	0.325**	0.354**	0.105	-0.077	-0.028	-0.044	0.108	-0.007	0.090	0.059
	G		0.874**	0.346**	0.337**	0.365**	0.109	-0.075	-0.023	-0.039	0.107	-0.004	0.093	0.062
VOL	P			0.452**	0.314**	0.449**	0.056	0.221*	0.156	-0.024	0.109	0.196*	0.111	0.066
	G			0.467**	0.322**	0.461**	0.078	0.223*	0.158	-0.021	0.100	0.198*	0.117	0.071
AGB	P				0.482**	0.561**	-0.265*	0.042	0.095	-0.038	-0.051	0.075	0.029	-0.048
	G				0.489**	0.569**	-0.273*	0.051	0.099	-0.035	-0.057	0.078	0.031	-0.043
BGB	P					0.327**	0.469**	0.010	0.064	-0.053	0.101	-0.070	0.073	-0.025
	G					0.331**	0.473**	0.012	0.068	-0.051	0.107	-0.069	0.079	-0.022
TB	P						0.105	0.031	0.088	-0.048	0.104	0.015	0.095	-0.040
	G						0.109	0.035	0.098	0.044	0.108	0.019	0.098	-0.039
R/S	P							-0.060	-0.026	-0.047	0.104	-0.026	0.007	0.053
	G							-0.056	-0.023	-0.045	0.107	-0.025	0.009	0.059
LL	P								0.213*	0.682**	0.347**	0.485**	-0.155	-0.042
	G								0.216*	0.691**	0.351**	0.491**	-0.152	-0.041
LW	P									-0.346**	0.013	-0.002	0.109	0.105
	G									-0.331**	0.019	-0.002	0.100	0.109
L/W	P										0.106	0.053	-0.050	-0.053
	G										0.109	0.058	-0.048	-0.051
PL	P											0.202*	-0.144	-0.101
	G											0.205*	-0.142	-0.100
PMW	P												-0.098	-0.064
	G												-0.096	-0.062
B/P	P													0.076
	G													0.081

**=significant at 5%, *= significant at 1%

SH=Shoot height, CD=Collar diameter, VOL = Volume index (cm³), AGB = Above ground biomass (g), BGB = Below ground biomass (g), TB = Total biomass (g), R/S = Root/shoot ratio, LL = Leaf length (cm), LW = Leaf width (cm), L/W = Length/width ratio, PL= Petiole length (mm), PMW = Position of maximum width (cm), B/P = Number of Branches/plant and BA = Branch angle (°)