

Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding



Research Article

Stability analysis for yield attributing traits and total alkaloid content in ashwagandha (*Withania somnifera* L.)

Iqbal Ahmed* and R. B. Dubey

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding,
Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur, Rajasthan
*E-Mail: ahmed.iqbal562@gmail.com

Abstract

An experiment to study stability of Ashwagandha genotypes was conducted during *Kharif* 2018-19 at three different environments. High influence of environment was observed as G x E was significant for all the studied traits. Based upon stability parameters, the genotypes JA-20, UWS-305, UWS-309 and UWS-314 were identified as stable for above average environment, whereas JA-134 was found stable under below average environment for root yield at harvest. UWS-305 showed below average stability for total alkaloid content. Out of the 45 crosses, above average stability was depicted by UWS-305 x UWS-10 and UWS-304 x RVA-100 while below average stability was showed by UWS-309 x RVA-100 for root yield at harvest along with total alkaloid content. Hence, above identified stable genotypes may be beneficial in breeding programme for improvement of root yield and alkaloid content in ashwagandha.

Keywords: Ashwagandha, G x E interaction, Root yield, Total alkaloid content

INTRODUCTION

Herbal plants with medicinal properties have world-wide importance and the global market is around \$6.2 billion, which will touch to \$5 trillion by the year 2050 (Ahmed *et al.*, 2022; Kumar *et al.*, 2020^a). Ashwagandha (*Withania somnifera* L.) a type of herbal plant, commonly known as "Winter-cherry" which contains chromosome number 2n=48 (Mozaffarian, 2003). It is primarily an autogamous and self-compatible crop and belongs to Solanaceae family (Mir *et al.*, 2012). The worldwide interest of Ashwagandha and popularity for its roots give adequate opportunity to develop this plant at business scale furthermore, the cost of roots is also alluring (Shinde *et al.*, 2014). It contains highly effective anti-oxidants viz., glutathione peroxides and superoxide dismutase, which have beneficial effects on human body, such as anti-swelling, anti-strain as well as wrinkle-free skin. Furthermore, the alkaloid ingredients of ashwagandha are not only used in Ayurveda but also in Unani medicinal formulations due to their curative effect specially in hiccups, dropsy, rheumatism, bronchitis,

stomach pain, lung inflammation, various female disorders and skin diseases (Kumar *et al.*, 2011).

Ashwagandha gives gainful monetarily return in contrast with traditional crops due to its medicinal properties. However, it remains largely as an underutilized and less exploited crop in terms of genetic and breeding point of view. So, crop improvement strategies should be formulated to get desirable results, as we know that a cultivar to be successful at commercial level, must perform well across the wide range of environments. The quantitative trait such as yield is highly influenced by the environment and any deviation from the consistent performance of a genotype over the environments is termed as genotype x environment interaction (Dwivedi *et al.*, 2020; Philanim *et al.*, 2022). In Ashwagandha, total alkaloid content is of the ultimate economic importance which is greatly influenced by environmental conditions (Li *et al.*, 2018; Munaro *et al.*, 2011), therefore, the

stability analysis should be carried out to access reliable performance of promising new varieties/ hybrids over the environments. Hence in ashwagandha, an experiment was conducted on genotype x environmental interaction by adopting the model suggested by Eberhart and Russell (1966).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out during *Kharif* season of 2018-19, at three different locations/ environments of Southern region of Rajasthan viz., Instructional Field, Rajasthan College of Agriculture-Udaipur (E_1), Krishi Vigyan Kendra- Chittorgarh (E_2) and Agriculture Research Sub-Station- Vallabh Nagar (E_3) and at each location, the trial was laid out in three replications. The experimental material comprised of 15 lines (collected from Medicinal Plant Unit, MPUAT, Udaipur) viz., UWS-301 (L_1), UWS-302 (L_2), UWS-303 (L_3), UWS-304 (L_4), UWS-305 (L_5), UWS-306 (L_6), UWS-307 (L_7), UWS-308 (L_8), UWS-309 (L_9), UWS-310 (L_{10}), UWS-311 (L_{11}), UWS-312 (L_{12}), UWS-313 (L_{13}), UWS-314 (L_{14}) and UWS-315 (L_{15}); 3 testers viz., UWS-10 (T_1), WS-90-146 (T_2), RVA-100 (T_3), (both T_1 and T_2 testers from AICRP-M&AP, Udaipur and T_3 from JNKVV, Jabalpur) and their 45 F_1 s along with 2 checks (JA-134 and JA-20, both from JNKVV, Jabalpur). The 45 F_1 s experimental hybrids were produced through hybridization including 15 lines (females) along with 3 testers (males) in line x tester mating design (Kempthorne, 1957) during *Kharif* season of 2017-18. Observations were recorded on following characters viz., days to 75 per cent maturity (on plot basis), while for other remaining traits on plant basis by using 10 randomly selected plants i.e. number of secondary branches/ plant, number of berries/ plant, number of secondary and tertiary roots/ plant, root yield at harvest, dry matter content of root, test weight of seed and total alkaloid content (Misra, 1996). The pooled data of all the three environments of above characters were

subjected to statistical analysis for stability as per model suggested by Eberhart and Russell (1966).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance based upon phenotypic stability (Table 1) indicated that the mean squares due to genotypes along with environment + (genotypes x environments) and G x E (linear) were found highly significant for all characters under study. The genotypes or treatments differed considerably with respect to their stability and prediction for these traits would be difficult because mean squares due to pooled deviation were significant, which suggested that linear and non-linear components played an important role in total G x E interactions for these characters. The character wise parameters of stability are presented in Table 2.

Stability parameter for days to 75 per cent maturity indicated that six parents viz., T_2 , T_3 , L_4 , L_6 , L_7 , L_{15} and one check (JA-134) along with five hybrids viz., $L_7 \times T_1$, $L_9 \times T_1$, $L_7 \times T_2$, $L_4 \times T_3$, $L_8 \times T_3$ were stable under above average environment, while one parent T_1 and nine hybrids viz., $L_2 \times T_1$, $L_4 \times T_1$, $L_{10} \times T_1$, $L_{11} \times T_1$, $L_{13} \times T_1$, $L_{15} \times T_1$, $L_{13} \times T_2$, $L_{12} \times T_3$, $L_{13} \times T_3$ were identified to be stable under below average environment, whereas, the only parent L_{12} was identified as well adapted and stable across the environments. In case of number of secondary branches per plant, none of the hybrid, but only one parent i.e. T_3 was found stable across the environments, while for higher number of berries per plant only one cross i.e. $L_5 \times T_1$ was found suitable with average stability over the environments. In terms of the trait i.e. secondary and tertiary roots per plant, the genotypes i.e. T_3 , JA-20 and five hybrids viz., $L_2 \times T_1$, $L_5 \times T_1$, $L_9 \times T_1$, $L_7 \times T_2$, $L_1 \times T_3$ exhibited non-significant deviation from regression (S^2_d) and regression coefficient less than unity ($b < 1$) with higher mean over the population mean. Therefore these genotypes were considered suitable and stable in above

Table 1. ANOVA for stability for different characters in ashwagandha (Eberhart and Russel, 1966 Model)

S.No.	Characters	Genotypes	E+(G x E)	E (L)	G x E (L)	Pooled deviation	Pooled error
		[64]	[130]	[1]	[64]	[65]	[384]
1	Days to 75 per cent maturity	135.71**	107.35**	1.03	136.28**	80.49**	17.72
2	Number of secondary branches per plant	9.81**	0.21**	0.00	0.25**	0.17**	0.04
3	Number of berries per plant	3329.41**	177.72**	1.34	195.10**	163.32**	35.84
4	Number of secondary and tertiary roots per plant	1.27**	0.09**	0.00	0.10**	0.09**	0.02
5	Root yield at harvest	26.87**	2.41**	0.02	3.13**	1.73**	0.35
6	Dry matter content of root	42.94**	11.93**	0.01	12.19**	11.87**	1.21
7	Test weight of seed	0.07**	0.01**	0.00	0.01**	0.02**	0.00
8	Total alkaloid content	0.01**	0.00**	0.00	0.00**	0.00**	0.00

*, ** Significant at 5% and 1%, respectively

Table 2. Stability parameters for different characters in Ashwagandha

S.No.	Geno-type	Days to 75 per cent maturity			Number of secondary branches per plant			Number of berries per plant			Number of secondary and tertiary roots per plant		
		μ	b	S ² d	μ	b	S ² d	μ	B	S ² d	μ	b	S ² d
1	T ₁	168.21	1.49	-12.053	7.66	-3.58	0.064	91.99	-0.86+	-35.242	4.77	1.07	0.070*
2	T ₂	176.58	0.30	-10.470	9.02	-0.80+	-0.036	143.41	1.23	-5.156	4.94	0.49	0.083*
3	T ₃	181.00	0.88	22.924	13.12	1.05	-0.025	181.44	1.71	-32.796	4.38	-1.08	0.053
4	L ₁	188.77	1.45	-14.073	8.73	2.28	0.066	117.29	1.49***	-35.834	3.56	-0.24	0.279**
5	L ₂	185.97	0.76	12.319	6.22	2.96	0.447**	81.27	1.13	-33.451	3.12	1.75	0.196**
6	L ₃	173.95	1.11	107.561**	6.76	1.92	-0.003	80.95	1.54	-29.962	2.45	2.10	0.167**
7	L ₄	178.28	0.36	13.451	5.87	1.45	0.004	72.84	-0.70	12.781	3.22	2.49	0.190**
8	L ₅	189.14	-0.23	134.782**	11.27	4.21	2.024**	165.72	0.87	-34.581	4.56	3.79	0.286**
9	L ₆	179.59	0.83	-13.217	5.57	1.77	0.678**	73.40	1.80	-24.895	3.19	3.07	0.127**
10	L ₇	177.65	0.46	36.281	6.69	1.99	-0.000	77.77	0.43	-10.842	4.33	-0.77	0.083*
11	L ₈	192.55	1.43	1.166	8.45	-0.12	0.116*	95.78	0.38	120.687*	5.25	-0.82	0.075*
12	L ₉	193.91	1.31	70.420*	12.62	1.40	0.293**	163.54	-0.77	-5.276	5.92	3.67	0.030
13	L ₁₀	183.56	0.80	18.874	6.77	1.53	-0.035	78.57	1.28	114.798*	3.66	-2.01	0.092*
14	L ₁₁	184.18	0.85	19.797	5.83	1.61	0.104*	75.71	-0.62	3.813	3.21	0.93	0.069*
15	L ₁₂	180.93	0.98*	-17.381	7.38	0.49	0.608**	82.99	0.46	-29.408	2.15	2.58	-0.004
16	L ₁₃	192.45	1.48	122.559**	6.23	-2.66	0.219**	73.07	2.29	66.912	4.34	-1.44	0.200**
17	L ₁₄	191.84	0.27	76.127*	12.69	-0.46	1.295**	171.77	-0.65	33.222	4.24	-1.39	0.120**
18	L ₁₅	180.68	0.81*	-17.668	10.42	5.01*+	-0.036	130.40	0.61	250.490**	2.96	0.77	0.193**
19	L ₁ x T ₁	184.42	2.02	104.535**	9.19	4.03	0.043	96.95	0.46	184.870*	3.58	2.14	0.043
20	L ₂ x T ₁	183.54	1.97	-3.999	8.45	1.28*	-0.036	89.42	-2.20	36.348	3.74	-3.78	0.027
21	L ₃ x T ₁	185.36	0.17	155.021**	9.20	-0.22	-0.033	107.04	-0.22	131.348*	3.02	3.90	0.035
22	L ₄ x T ₁	177.61	1.38	-3.971	8.13	0.77	0.046	75.99	1.04	-26.400	2.95	3.49	0.032
23	L ₅ x T ₁	189.84	-0.21	118.874**	11.00	0.32	0.052	151.27	1.02	75.901	3.58	-1.70	-0.004
24	L ₆ x T ₁	173.68	1.21	120.609**	7.82	0.03	-0.017	76.67	0.79	-31.687	2.85	2.84	-0.015
25	L ₇ x T ₁	182.99	0.53	48.209	8.85	-1.65	-0.025	84.49	0.64	199.667*	2.89	1.14	-0.017
26	L ₈ x T ₁	192.04	1.59	120.618**	8.73	2.17	0.059	89.83	-0.85	342.158**	3.94	2.66**	-0.020
27	L ₉ x T ₁	173.17	0.93	27.781	11.93	1.74*	-0.036	148.82	2.38	-16.272	3.64	-1.58+	-0.020
28	L ₁₀ x T ₁	175.28	2.58*	-16.421	8.66	2.51	0.043	75.03	2.44	351.854**	2.66	3.63	-0.018
29	L ₁₁ x T ₁	179.77	2.24**	-17.671	8.34	0.52	0.005	78.43	0.69	168.626*	3.20	-0.34	0.299**
30	L ₁₂ x T ₁	172.42	1.04	237.951**	8.92	-0.39	-0.025	111.73	1.04	158.750*	3.44	2.90	-0.015
31	L ₁₃ x T ₁	180.58	2.49	3.053	7.91	-0.63	-0.028	82.83	-0.59	207.389**	2.94	0.32	-0.012
32	L ₁₄ x T ₁	184.32	-0.96	-14.219	11.34	1.34	0.327**	143.67	2.19	84.174	3.21	1.39	-0.006
33	L ₁₅ x T ₁	179.55	2.77	16.446	8.13	-5.01	0.063	95.81	1.14	-21.282	3.88	4.75	-0.003
34	L ₁ x T ₂	195.53	1.71	77.366*	9.51	-0.27	0.078	116.79	1.29	393.640**	3.51	-3.47	-0.014
35	L ₂ x T ₂	194.82	1.60	-3.742	9.16	-1.27	0.207*	103.11	2.31*	-32.982	2.94	-3.09	0.040
36	L ₃ x T ₂	183.08	0.60	201.180**	9.13	1.00	0.201*	89.42	1.13	374.227**	3.05	1.67*	-0.020
37	L ₄ x T ₂	174.87	0.79	85.339*	9.81	-0.51	0.060	105.77	0.77	2.440	3.01	-0.48	0.002
38	L ₅ x T ₂	194.08	0.15	-2.246	12.21	0.74	-0.026	139.37	1.00	156.707*	3.76	1.75	0.003
39	L ₆ x T ₂	177.70	0.59	202.761**	9.08	0.84	0.087	96.03	-0.44	99.150*	3.54	2.44	0.257**
40	L ₇ x T ₂	174.42	-1.32***	-17.716	9.11	-0.18	0.095	88.31	1.94	-12.228	3.56	0.58	0.000
41	L ₈ x T ₂	188.17	1.78	-15.210	10.28	4.45	0.182*	113.37	1.40	643.583**	3.32	1.37	0.083*
42	L ₉ x T ₂	188.90	1.38	228.504**	10.74	-1.65	-0.007	151.14	2.74**	-35.754	3.67	2.57	-0.006
43	L ₁₀ x T ₂	195.14	0.68	60.680*	8.73	-1.28	0.102*	89.70	0.75	295.444**	3.01	-4.41	0.538**
44	L ₁₁ x T ₂	180.98	3.10	57.933*	8.86	-0.86	0.032	86.44	1.28	154.912*	2.98	1.06	0.011
45	L ₁₂ x T ₂	182.59	1.55	452.818**	9.03	0.85	-0.027	114.13	0.72	380.788**	2.78	2.01	0.016
46	L ₁₃ x T ₂	174.18	1.67	-17.718	8.83	-0.25	0.347**	93.94	3.06**	-35.620	3.59	-1.47	0.083*
47	L ₁₄ x T ₂	188.60	-1.62	259.047**	12.95	0.62	-0.036	175.17	1.64	-30.168	3.07	-0.10	-0.015
48	L ₁₅ x T ₂	181.33	1.43	347.736**	11.73	4.00*+	-0.037	130.16	2.52	143.876*	3.65	2.08	0.037
49	L ₁ x T ₃	186.46	1.85	-10.083	10.68	1.30	0.168*	143.00	2.20	-15.548	3.98	-0.20	-0.015
50	L ₂ x T ₃	186.90	2.34	-7.205	9.40	2.89	0.030	114.18	0.79	495.648**	3.23	2.68	0.027
51	L ₃ x T ₃	186.60	1.29	-3.637	8.83	3.44	0.032	94.78	0.07	230.465**	3.52	-1.86	0.018
52	L ₄ x T ₃	179.59	-0.48	16.386	11.49	1.75	-0.033	155.18	0.18	431.194**	3.34	-4.43	-0.014
53	L ₅ x T ₃	190.83	-0.59	242.782**	10.79	-1.05	-0.025	180.85	1.53	46.529	4.09	1.95*	-0.020
54	L ₆ x T ₃	184.28	1.63	-15.497	9.66	3.18	0.049	117.74	1.26	-2.707	3.84	1.44	0.535**
55	L ₇ x T ₃	190.18	0.90	54.186*	9.70	2.71	0.059	116.19	1.08	528.879**	3.91	8.93	-0.008
56	L ₈ x T ₃	175.71	-0.63	43.913	9.73	-1.75	0.072	103.33	1.14	939.231**	3.46	2.99	-0.006
57	L ₉ x T ₃	193.08	2.66	5.425	10.90	1.07	0.025	174.20	1.51	128.285*	3.82	2.77	-0.018
58	L ₁₀ x T ₃	176.05	-0.66	145.025**	8.52	0.29	0.116*	85.95	1.44	175.035*	3.23	-0.72	0.313**
59	L ₁₁ x T ₃	184.17	1.82	-7.636	9.17	1.87	0.169*	106.20	2.82	-11.509	3.08	1.57	0.071*
60	L ₁₂ x T ₃	179.68	1.74	2.692	9.53	2.97	0.001	123.43	-1.52	157.658*	4.14	1.96	0.008
61	L ₁₃ x T ₃	178.82	2.41	-8.258	8.97	2.70	0.273**	91.24	2.64	308.361**	3.95	2.35	0.002
62	L ₁₄ x T ₃	193.54	-0.50	175.345**	12.23	3.42*	-0.033	182.45	1.81	-33.649	3.24	4.75*	-0.018
63	L ₁₅ x T ₃	190.27	0.59	105.070**	9.59	2.84	0.083	122.70	2.32	268.610**	3.92	2.65	-0.014
64	JA-20	185.85	0.59	-13.788	11.12	1.92	-0.024	157.63	0.21	3.624	3.76	0.59	0.039
65	JA-134	181.03	0.84	-9.546	11.02	2.37*	-0.036	161.54	1.82	-32.617	3.54	-1.67	0.192**

*, **, +, ++ significantly deviating from zero and unity at 5% and 1%, respectively

(Table 2. Cont....)

S.No	Genotype	Root yield at harvest			Dry matter content of root			Test weight of seed			Total alkaloid content		
		μ	b	S ² d	μ	b	S ² d	μ	b	S ² d	μ	b	S ² d
1	T ₁	9.78	-1.02	0.231	16.28	-5.09	1.160	2.11	1.82	0.000	0.32	-1.04	0.001**
2	T ₂	13.85	1.78	-0.145	17.71	1.71	6.705*	2.27	0.01	0.013*	0.35	1.42	0.002**
3	T ₃	17.77	1.04	0.653	23.81	3.49	11.450**	2.30	4.62	0.008	0.39	1.87	0.001**
4	L ₁	10.78	2.48	-0.011	23.18	6.48	3.291	2.13	-0.86	0.012*	0.27	3.21	0.000**
5	L ₂	11.59	2.80*	-0.327	18.16	5.98	5.729*	1.73	1.01	0.005	0.34	-1.10	0.000**
6	L ₃	10.41	1.37	-0.319	22.97	-0.17	-1.035	2.05	0.22	0.025**	0.33	1.12	0.001**
7	L ₄	6.82	-0.42	0.148	25.07	-5.03	-0.675	1.98	-2.40	0.003	0.32	0.91	0.001**
8	L ₅	14.63	-0.73	-0.197	25.95	-1.16	14.028**	2.34	-1.94	-0.002	0.43	3.01	-0.000
9	L ₆	6.81	1.31	-0.032	19.61	3.55	-0.784	1.66	-2.42	0.008	0.33	-0.70	0.001**
10	L ₇	7.89	1.60	0.028	28.83	4.76	19.412**	1.96	0.06	0.005	0.30	-1.21	0.002**
11	L ₈	15.02	0.85	5.475**	22.02	-1.53	28.181**	2.07	-3.24	0.010*	0.31	3.86	0.000
12	L ₉	14.13	-1.21	0.663	26.67	-8.11	14.023**	2.38	0.56	-0.001	0.40	-0.68	0.000**
13	L ₁₀	8.38	-1.23	5.999**	29.80	-3.02	43.734**	1.94	-2.60	0.011*	0.25	1.74	0.000*
14	L ₁₁	7.50	2.16	-0.112	15.26	1.68	6.618*	1.92	1.10	0.009*	0.27	2.35	0.000*
15	L ₁₂	7.59	0.12	-0.151	32.47	-9.44	0.285	2.10	4.28	0.003	0.25	2.33	0.000**
16	L ₁₃	6.85	1.67	-0.320	24.81	7.00	65.523**	1.90	3.50	0.003	0.33	1.24	0.001**
17	L ₁₄	14.33	0.77	-0.190	24.58	1.69	7.316**	2.36	-2.75	-0.001	0.42	2.76	0.001**
18	L ₁₅	14.99	2.01	-0.056	23.25	1.32	4.139*	2.01	1.52	-0.001	0.38	-2.83	0.000**
19	L ₁ x T ₁	10.42	2.50	0.352	22.19	9.28	6.705*	2.07	4.76	0.038**	0.28	-2.18	0.000**
20	L ₂ x T ₁	9.19	-0.28	0.016	21.38	-2.28	0.067	1.86	1.60	0.039**	0.28	1.30	-0.000
21	L ₃ x T ₁	8.26	1.90	-0.198	24.49	3.89	-0.353	1.82	0.60	-0.001	0.34	3.66	0.000
22	L ₄ x T ₁	8.53	1.52	1.413*	23.91	-1.12	3.334	1.96	-0.88	0.002	0.32	2.65	0.001**
23	L ₅ x T ₁	16.77	0.93	0.075	22.27	-0.98	-0.483	1.94	-0.39	0.009*	0.38	-2.16+	-0.000
24	L ₆ x T ₁	7.73	-0.25	0.636	22.58	-6.15	0.178	2.03	0.22	0.008	0.32	2.36	0.000
25	L ₇ x T ₁	7.47	1.58	-0.121	26.16	-1.16	-0.201	2.12	2.80	0.005	0.38	-2.39	0.000**
26	L ₈ x T ₁	15.55	-0.89	5.214**	17.37	-5.31	13.308**	2.20	7.10	0.018**	0.34	2.54	0.001**
27	L ₉ x T ₁	13.40	1.14	5.147**	27.03	0.03	32.181**	1.99	0.10	0.018**	0.41	3.39	0.001**
28	L ₁₀ x T ₁	7.57	0.76	2.874**	26.96	-1.46	-0.991	1.93	5.55	0.019**	0.33	1.43	0.002**
29	L ₁₁ x T ₁	11.16	1.61	-0.286	14.81	0.00	-1.069	1.98	1.63	0.024**	0.27	-1.13	0.001**
30	L ₁₂ x T ₁	11.88	-1.45	0.235	22.10	-8.70*+	-1.190	2.18	2.44	-0.002	0.31	3.30	0.002**
31	L ₁₃ x T ₁	8.70	0.91	-0.007	21.28	4.84	8.187**	1.97	4.19	0.020**	0.31	3.86	0.000
32	L ₁₄ x T ₁	13.10	-0.62	1.502*	25.93	-1.11	17.376**	2.04	-1.98	0.015*	0.37	0.90	0.001**
33	L ₁₅ x T ₁	14.20	1.23	2.351**	17.06	0.46	2.718	1.99	3.67	0.006	0.33	1.11	0.000**
34	L ₁ x T ₂	13.94	3.42	0.000	21.48	6.79	6.117*	1.96	1.35	0.041**	0.29	2.44	0.002**
35	L ₂ x T ₂	11.79	2.36*	-0.339	20.67	7.63	1.069	1.86	-2.17	0.009*	0.32	1.78	0.001**
36	L ₃ x T ₂	8.21	0.43	-0.101	23.49	-1.21	0.026	2.06	1.47	0.014*	0.29	-0.81	0.001**
37	L ₄ x T ₂	8.11	1.46	-0.288	26.00	2.48	19.125**	2.00	0.44	0.027**	0.35	1.44	0.002**
38	L ₅ x T ₂	13.38	1.09	-0.174	29.38	-0.69	-0.999	2.34	-0.28	-0.001	0.43	0.46	0.000**
39	L ₆ x T ₂	13.73	2.14	0.459	17.65	3.94	7.036**	1.85	4.28	0.026**	0.29	-2.57	0.000**
40	L ₇ x T ₂	11.07	1.96	3.107**	21.88	5.50	-1.009	2.06	-0.58	0.051**	0.32	-5.36	0.000**
41	L ₈ x T ₂	13.88	1.17	4.533**	20.89	1.16	31.442**	2.06	5.92	0.029**	0.34	3.01*+	-0.000
42	L ₉ x T ₂	14.75	0.44	0.642	28.31	-0.35	5.713*	2.14	-1.13	0.024**	0.44	1.34	0.001**
43	L ₁₀ x T ₂	8.42	-0.26	-0.104	26.53	-2.82	35.970**	2.10	-4.18	0.033**	0.39	4.75	0.000*
44	L ₁₁ x T ₂	9.95	-1.10	0.580	19.69	-4.74	-0.939	2.20	3.98	0.006	0.34	1.55	0.001**
45	L ₁₂ x T ₂	12.67	1.87	0.629	21.59	3.99	-0.978	1.99	2.04	0.015*	0.28	2.60	0.000**
46	L ₁₃ x T ₂	13.24	1.79*	-0.348	17.44	6.40	16.805**	2.11	1.78	0.009*	0.36	1.95	0.000**
47	L ₁₄ x T ₂	14.59	0.56	0.235	26.59	2.87	-0.870	2.34	3.59	-0.003	0.40	-0.38	0.001**
48	L ₁₅ x T ₂	14.24	-1.41	3.376**	23.93	1.10	3.206	2.09	3.57	0.001	0.40	-2.17	0.000*
49	L ₁ x T ₃	15.95	2.03	-0.319	24.51	3.01	10.140**	2.23	1.83	-0.002	0.38	2.43	0.001**
50	L ₂ x T ₃	14.14	1.16	9.704**	19.88	-0.48	33.962**	2.04	0.22	0.006	0.30	-1.33	0.001**
51	L ₃ x T ₃	11.65	1.69	-0.181	23.28	0.88	2.151	1.99	-2.64	0.002	0.31	-1.02	0.001**
52	L ₄ x T ₃	12.64	-2.39+	-0.306	24.33	-7.39	-0.911	2.25	-2.79	0.029**	0.35	-4.73*+	0.000
53	L ₅ x T ₃	16.10	3.53	1.313*	27.51	3.43	5.855*	2.32	1.00	-0.003	0.48	2.38	0.000**
54	L ₆ x T ₃	10.85	1.58	0.242	25.82	7.17	10.575**	1.91	-1.47	0.028**	0.37	0.37	0.001**
55	L ₇ x T ₃	8.69	1.38	-0.214	27.28	2.29	10.366**	2.05	1.75	0.038**	0.44	3.03	0.000*
56	L ₈ x T ₃	9.85	1.94	-0.322	27.84	4.64	1.320	1.90	2.89	0.001	0.42	-4.69	0.001**
57	L ₉ x T ₃	15.51	2.60	0.483	29.15	3.18	8.538**	2.29	-2.94	0.004	0.49	3.22*+	-0.000
58	L ₁₀ x T ₃	10.23	1.18	4.429**	22.97	5.07	51.761**	1.99	4.75	0.033**	0.36	3.62	0.001**
59	L ₁₁ x T ₃	11.50	1.07	6.007**	22.25	-1.09	14.889**	2.18	4.64	0.013*	0.30	-1.88	0.001**
60	L ₁₂ x T ₃	14.14	1.96	6.790**	21.16	4.86	11.132**	2.13	4.36	0.030**	0.33	2.35	0.000**
61	L ₁₃ x T ₃	12.68	1.02	8.812**	22.37	5.87	72.000**	1.94	3.62	0.011*	0.43	0.61	0.000**
62	L ₁₄ x T ₃	14.89	0.81	0.908	28.31	3.48	-0.323	2.11	1.90	-0.002	0.47	2.30	0.002**
63	L ₁₅ x T ₃	15.85	1.86	10.010**	21.91	2.32	32.807**	2.02	-3.09	0.008	0.43	5.20	0.000**
64	JA-20	14.62	0.47	-0.330	25.51	0.33	-0.533	2.18	-1.94	-0.002	0.41	1.68	0.000**
65	JA-134	14.90	1.24	-0.338	25.64	1.02	-1.200	2.15	-1.02	-0.001	0.43	4.53	0.000**

*, **, +, ++ significantly deviating from zero and unity at 5% and 1%, respectively

Table 3. Stable genotypes identified with suitability in desirable direction for different characters of ashwagandha

S.No.	Characters	Different environments (b=1)	Above average environments (b <1)	Below average environments (b >1)
1	Days to 75 % Maturity	-	(T ₂ , T ₃ , L ₄ , L ₆ , L ₇ & L ₁₅), (JA-134), (L ₇ x T ₁ , L ₉ x T ₁ , L ₇ x T ₂ , L ₄ x T ₃ & L ₈ x T ₃)	(T ₁), (L ₂ x T ₁ , L ₄ x T ₁ , L ₁₀ x T ₁ , L ₁₁ x T ₁ , L ₁₃ x T ₁ , L ₁₅ x T ₁ , L ₁₃ x T ₂ , L ₁₂ x T ₃ & L ₁₃ x T ₃)
2	Number of secondary branches/ plant	(T ₃)	(L ₅ x T ₁ , L ₁ x T ₂ , L ₄ x T ₂ , L ₅ x T ₂ , L ₉ x T ₂ , L ₁₄ x T ₂ , L ₅ x T ₃ & L ₈ x T ₃)	(L ₁₅), (JA-20 & JA-134), (L ₉ x T ₁ , L ₁₅ x T ₂ , L ₂ x T ₃ , L ₄ x T ₃ , L ₆ x T ₃ , L ₇ x T ₃ , L ₉ x T ₃ , L ₁₂ x T ₃ , L ₁₄ x T ₃ & L ₁₅ x T ₃)
3	Number of berries/ plant	(L ₅ x T ₁)	(L ₅ , L ₉ & L ₁₄), (JA-20)	(T ₂ , T ₃ & L ₁), (JA-134), (L ₉ x T ₁ , L ₁₄ x T ₁ , L ₉ x T ₂ , L ₁₄ x T ₂ , L ₁ x T ₃ , L ₅ x T ₃ , L ₆ x T ₃ & L ₁₄ x T ₃)
4	Number of secondary and tertiary roots/ plant	-	(T ₃), (JA-20), (L ₂ x T ₁ , L ₅ x T ₁ , L ₉ x T ₁ , L ₇ x T ₂ & L ₁ x T ₃)	(L ₉), (L ₁ x T ₁ , L ₈ x T ₁ , L ₁₅ x T ₁ , L ₅ x T ₂ , L ₉ x T ₂ , L ₁₅ x T ₂ , L ₅ x T ₃ , L ₇ x T ₃ , L ₉ x T ₃ , L ₁₂ x T ₃ , L ₁₃ x T ₃ & L ₁₅ x T ₃)
5	Root yield at harvest	(T ₃)	(L ₅ , L ₉ & L ₁₄), (JA-20), (L ₅ x T ₁ , L ₁₂ x T ₁ , L ₉ x T ₂ , L ₁₄ x T ₂ , L ₄ x T ₃ & L ₁₄ x T ₃)	(L ₁₅), (JA-134), (L ₁ x T ₂ , L ₅ x T ₂ , L ₆ x T ₂ , L ₁₂ x T ₂ , L ₁₃ x T ₂ , L ₁ x T ₃ & L ₉ x T ₃)
6	Dry matter content of root	(JA-134)	(L ₄ & L ₁₂), (JA-20), (L ₄ x T ₁ , L ₇ x T ₁ , L ₁₀ x T ₁ , L ₃ x T ₂ , L ₅ x T ₂ & L ₄ x T ₃)	(L ₃ x T ₁ , L ₁₄ x T ₂ , L ₁₅ x T ₂ , L ₈ x T ₃ & L ₁₄ x T ₃)
7	Test weight of seed	(L ₅ x T ₃)	(L ₅ , L ₉ & L ₁₄), (JA-20 & JA-134), (L ₅ x T ₂ & L ₉ x T ₃)	(T ₁ , T ₃ & L ₁₂), (L ₇ x T ₁ , L ₁₂ x T ₁ , L ₁₁ x T ₂ , L ₁₄ x T ₂ , L ₁₅ x T ₂ , L ₁ x T ₃ & L ₁₄ x T ₃)
8	Total alkaloid content	-	(L ₅ x T ₁ & L ₄ x T ₃)	(L ₅), (L ₉ x T ₃)

average environments, whereas, the parent *i.e.* L₉ and 12 hybrids *viz.*, L₁ x T₁, L₈ x T₁, L₁₅ x T₁, L₅ x T₂, L₉ x T₂, L₁₅ x T₂, L₅ x T₃, L₇ x T₃, L₉ x T₃, L₁₂ x T₃, L₁₃ x T₃, L₁₅ x T₃ were found stable under below average environments.

Six hybrids *viz.*, L₅ x T₁, L₁₂ x T₁, L₉ x T₂, L₁₄ x T₂, L₄ x T₃, L₁₄ x T₃ and one check (JA-20) showed stability under unfavorable environment, whereas one parent *i.e.* L₁₅ and seven crosses *viz.*, L₁ x T₂, L₅ x T₂, L₆ x T₂, L₁₂ x T₂, L₁₃ x T₂, L₁ x T₃, L₉ x T₃ along with the check (JA-134) showed the performance as stable in favorable environments, while T₃ was found suitable for general cultivation across the environments for higher root yield at harvest. Two parents (L₄ and L₁₂) and 6 hybrids *viz.*, L₄ x T₁, L₇ x T₁, L₁₀ x T₁, L₃ x T₂, L₅ x T₂, L₄ x T₃ along with one check (JA-20) exhibited stability with suitability under poor environmental condition, while the check (JA-134) indicated its average stability for general cultivation, whereas five hybrids *viz.*, L₃ x T₁, L₁₄ x T₂, L₁₅ x T₂, L₈ x T₃ and L₁₄ x T₃ were considered stable under below average environment for higher dry matter content of root. Three parents *viz.*, L₅, L₉, L₁₄ and two hybrids *viz.*, L₅ x T₂, L₉ x T₃ along with both the checks were reported suitable with specific adaptation to unfavorable environments, while another three parents, T₁, T₃, L₁₂ and seven hybrids *viz.*, L₇ x T₁, L₁₂ x T₁, L₁₁ x T₂, L₁₄ x T₂, L₁₅ x T₂, L₁ x T₃, L₁₄ x T₃ exhibited their stability

under favorable environments, whereas, the only cross *i.e.* L₅ x T₃ showed average stability for higher test weight of seed. For total alkaloid content, two hybrids *viz.*, L₅ x T₁ and L₄ x T₃ exhibited non-significant deviation from regression (S²d) and regression coefficient less than unity (b <1) with higher mean value than the population mean, hence they were considered suitable and stable in unfavorable environments, while L₅ and L₉ x T₃ showed their suitability along with stable performance under favorable environment. On the basis of G x E interaction study (Table 3), few genotypes were identified stable for general cultivation across the environments with high *per se* performance in desirable directions *viz.*, T₃ for number of secondary branches per plant and root yield at harvest; L₅ x T₁ for number of berries per plant; JA-134 for dry matter content of root; L₅ x T₃ for test weight of seed.

Among the lines, the above average stability for root yield at harvest showed by L₅, L₉ & L₁ whereas for total alkaloid content below average stability was showed by L₅. Among the testers, T₃ was identified with above average stability for days to 75 % maturity along with number of secondary and tertiary roots per plant, while it showed below average stability for numbers of berries per plant and test weight of seed; Among the checks, JA-134 was exhibited below average stability and JA-20 showed

above average stability for root yield at harvest. For root yield at harvest, along with total alkaloid content, out of the crosses, above average stability was depicted by $L_5 \times T_1$ and $L_4 \times T_3$ and $L_9 \times T_3$ could be suitable for below average environments. In the study it was observed that root yield and alkaloid content were highly influenced by genotype $\times$ environmental interactions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology Udaipur, India for providing the resources for conducting the experiments.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed I., Dubey, R.B. and Husain S. 2022. Hybrid vigour studies for root yield traits across the environments in Ashwagandha (*Withania somnifera* L.). *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*, **82** (2): 245-248. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Dwivedi A., Basandrai D. and Sarial A. K. 2020. AMMI biplot analysis for grain yield of basmati lines (*Oryza sativa* L.) in North Western Himalayan Hill regions. *Indian Journal Genetics and Plant Breeding*, **80** (2): 140-146. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Eberhart S. A and Russell R. A. 1966. Stability parameters for comparing varieties. *Crop Science*, **6**: 36-40. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Kempthorne O. 1957. An introduction to genetical statistics. John Wiley and Sons Incompany, New York. pp. 323-331.
- Kumar M., Patel M., Chauhan R., Tank C., Solanki S., Patel P., Bhadauria H., Gami R., Pachchigar, Soni N., Patel P., Singh A., Patel N. and Patel R. 2020^a. Elucidation of genotype–environment interactions and genetic stability parameters for yield, quality and agromorphological traits in ashwagandha (*Withania somnifera* (L.) Dunal). *Journal of Genetics*, **99**: 59. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Kumar R. R., Reddy L. P. A., Subbaiah J. C., Kumar A. N., Prasad H. N. N. and Bhukya B. 2011. Genetic association among root morphology, root quality and root yield in ashwagandha (*Withania somnifera*). *Genetika*, **43** (3): 617-624. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Li Z., Coffey L., Garfin J., Miller N. D., White M. R., Spalding E. P., Leon N., Kaeppler S. M., Schnable P. S., Springer N. M. and Hirsch C. N. 2018. Genotype-by-environment interactions affecting heterosis in maize. *PLOS ONE*, **14** (8): 1-16. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Mir B. A., Koul S., Kuar A., Sharma S., Kaul M. K. and Soodan A. S. 2012. Reproductive behaviour and breeding system of wild and cultivated types of [*Withania somnifera* (L.) Dunal]. *Journal of Medicinal Research*, **6** (5): 754-75. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Munaro E. M., Eyherabide G. H., Andrea K. E. D., Cirilo A. G. and Otegui M. E. 2011. Heterosis $\times$ environment interaction in maize: What drives heterosis for grain yield? *Field Crops Research*, **3**: 441-449. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Misra S. N. 1996. Quick method for estimation of total alkaloid in Ashwagandha. In: Biennial report of All India Coordinated Research Project on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants. NRCM & AP Anand, India. pp. 254.
- Mozaffarian V. 2003. Trees and shrubs of Iran. Farhange Moaser: Tehran, Iran. pp. 874-877.
- Philanim W. S., Kumar A., Shittegar N., Sankar S. M., Bharadwaj C., Ngangkham U. and Bhattacharjee B. 2022. Stability analysis of yield and yield related traits in ricebean [*Vigna umbellata* (Thunb.) Ohwi and Ohashi]. *Indian Journal Genetics and Plant Breeding*, **82**(2): 208-216. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)
- Shinde A., Gahunge P. and Rath S. K. 2014. Yield and phytochemical evaluation of wild and cultivated samples of ashwagandha. *Journal of Biological Science Opinion*, **2** (2): 153:155. [\[Cross Ref\]](#)